# 2012 FONR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HABITAT AND RARE PLANT SPECIES SURVEY RESULTS OPERABLE UNIT 1 FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA



Prepared for:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District 1325 J Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2922

Contract No. DACA45-03-D-0029 Delivery Order CM01

Prepared by:

HydroGeoLogic, Inc. 14142 Denver West Parkway, Suite 225 Lakewood, Colorado 80401-3127

February 2013



This page was intentionally left blank.

# 2012 FONR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HABITAT AND RARE PLANT SPECIES SURVEY RESULTS OPERABLE UNIT 1 FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District 1325 J Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2922

Contract No. DACA45-03-D-0029 Delivery Order CM01

Prepared by:

HydroGeoLogic, Inc. 14142 Denver West Parkway, Suite 225 Lakewood, Colorado 80401-3127

February 2013

This page was intentionally left blank.

#### 2012 FONR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HABITAT AND **RARE PLANT SPECIES SURVEY RESULTS OPERABLE UNIT 1** FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District 1325 J Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2922

Prepared by

HydroGeoLogic, Inc. 14142 Denver West Parkway, Suite 225 Lakewood, Colorado 80401-3127

Contract No. DACA45-03-D-0029 Delivery Order CM01

Approved:

Prepared by: Roy Evans, P.E. Project Manager

Approved:

thank de Reviewed by: Rachel Lee **Project Scientist** 

Date: 2/01/2013

Date: <u>2/01/2013</u>

U

This page was intentionally left blank.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### Section

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION
	1.1	SITE DESCRIPTION
	1.2	FONR 1-4
	1.3	SUMMARY OF SITE ACTIVITIES
		1.3.1 2012 Rare Plant and Habitat Surveys
		1.3.2 2012 Sampling Activities
		1.3.3 2012 Road Erosion and Road Repair Activities 1-7
	1.4	IMPACT PREVENTION AND MITIGATION MEASURES 1-7
2.0	OVE	RVIEW OF 2012 RARE PLANT SURVEY RESULTS
	2.1	RARE PLANT SURVEY METHODS2-1
	2.2	SAND GILIA SURVEY RESULTS
	2.3	MONTEREY SPINEFLOWER SURVEY RESULTS
3.0	DISC	USSION OF 2012 SURVEY RESULTS
	3.1	SAND GILIA
		3.1.1 Reference Area
		3.1.2 Destroyed Well Sites
	3.2	MONTEREY SPINEFLOWER
		3.2.1 Reference Area
		3.2.2 Destroyed well Sites
4.0	IMPA	CT ASSESSMENT AND CONCLUSIONS
	41	$\mathbf{O} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} D$
	7.1	OPERABLE UNIT I IMPACTS ON MONTEREY SPINEFLOWER
	7.1	4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction
	7.1	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	7.1	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	7.1	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	7.1	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	7.1	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	7.1	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	<ul> <li>4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction</li></ul>
	4.2	OPERABLE UNIT TIMPACTS ON MONTERET SPINEFLOWER       4-2         4.1.1       Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction       4-3         4.1.2       Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before but Not After       4-3         4.1.3       Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before and After       4-3         4.1.4       Monterey spineflower was Not Detected Before But was       4-4         4.1.5       Well Was Construction       4-4         4.1.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-5         OPERABLE UNIT 1 IMPACTS ON SAND GILIA       4-5         4.2.1       Sand Gilia Not Detected Before or After Construction       4-6         4.2.2       Sand Gilia was Detected Before but Not After Construction       4-6         4.2.3       Sand Gilia was Detected Before and After Construction       4-6         4.2.4       Sand Gilia was Detected Before but Not After Construction       4-6         4.2.3       Sand Gilia was Detected Before But was Detected After Construction       4-7         4.2.4       Sand Gilia was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7
	4.2	OPERABLE UNIT TIMPACTS ON MONTERET SPINEFLOWER       4-2         4.1.1       Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction       4-3         4.1.2       Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before but Not After construction       4-3         4.1.3       Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before and After construction       4-4         4.1.4       Monterey spineflower was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction       4-4         4.1.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-5         OPERABLE UNIT 1 IMPACTS ON SAND GILIA       4-5         4.2.1       Sand Gilia Not Detected Before or After Construction       4-6         4.2.2       Sand Gilia was Detected Before but Not After Construction       4-6         4.2.3       Sand Gilia was Detected Before and After Construction       4-7         4.2.4       Sand Gilia was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction       4-6         4.2.3       Sand Gilia was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction       4-7         4.2.4       Sand Gilia was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Cons
5.0	4.2 4.3 RECO	OPERABLE UNIT TIMPACTS ON MONTERET SPINEFLOWER       4-2         4.1.1       Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction       4-3         4.1.2       Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before but Not After       4-3         4.1.3       Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before and After       4-4         4.1.4       Monterey spineflower was Not Detected Before But was       4-4         4.1.5       Well Was Construction       4-4         4.1.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-5         OPERABLE UNIT 1 IMPACTS ON SAND GILIA       4-5         4.2.1       Sand Gilia Not Detected Before or After Construction       4-6         4.2.2       Sand Gilia was Detected Before but Not After Construction       4-6         4.2.2       Sand Gilia was Detected Before and After Construction       4-7         4.2.4       Sand Gilia was Not Detected Before But was Detected After       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey       4-7         4.2.5       Well Was C

Table 1.1	Wells Within the Fort Ord Natural Reserve
Table 1.2	Soil Borings and Wells Destroyed 2004 - 2011 within the Fort Ord Natural
	Reserve
Table 1.3	Summary of 2012 Groundwater Long Term Monitoring Program
Table 3.1	Rare Plant Survey Results for Reference Plot – 2010 through 2012
Table 3.2	Rare Plant Survey Results Relative to OU-1 Well Locations
Table 3.3	Fort Ord Precipitation Data – 1998-2012

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Former Fort Ord
	1 0111101 1 011 014

- Figure 1.2 OU-1 FONR TCE Concentration in Groundwater September 2012
- Figure 1.3 OU-1 Soil Borings, Wells, and Piezometers Constructed within the FONR
- Figure 1.4 Fort Ord Natural Reserve (FONR) OU-1 Remediation System Areas
- Figure 1.5 Locations of OU-1 Roadway Erosion Repair Areas
- Figure 1.6 OU-1 Roadway Photographs, Before and After Repair
- Figure 1.7 Year 2012 OU-1 Weed Control Segment Locations
- Figure 4.1 OU-1 Construction Activities 2004 2012
- Figure 4.2 Former Fort Ord OU-1 Wells Destroyed in 2011
- Figure 4.3 Summary of Rare Plant Survey Results 1998 2005

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Results of	f 2012	Mon	terey Spir	neflower ar	nd Sand	Gilia	Surveys

Appendix B Report on Weed Control Segment Treatments Spring 2012

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

ACL	aquifer cleanup level
COC	contaminant of concern
DD&A	Denise Duffy and Associates, Inc.
FDA FONR	Fire Drill Area Fort Ord Natural Reserve
GIS GPS GWETS	geographic information system global positioning system groundwater extraction and treatment system
$ft^2$	square feet
HGL	HydroGeoLogic, Inc.
LTM	long term monitoring
NWTS	Northwest Treatment System
OU	operable unit
ROD RTE	Record of Decision rare, threatened, or endangered
TCE	trichloroethene
UCNRS UCSC USACE USFWS	University of California Natural Reserve System University of California at Santa Cruz U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 2012 FONR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HABITAT AND RARE PLANT SPECIES SURVEY RESULTS OPERABLE UNIT 1 FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

HydroGeoLogic, Inc. (HGL) was contracted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)– Sacramento District to conduct a Fixed-Price Remediation with Insurance scope of work for Operable Unit (OU)-1 at the former U.S. Army Base Fort Ord located in Monterey County, California. This work was contracted in December 2003 by the USACE–Omaha District, under Contract Number DACA45-03-D-0029, and was administered through the USACE–Sacramento District. The overall goal of this effort is to achieve the primary remediation objectives specified in the Record of Decision (ROD) signed in July of 1995 by the U.S. Army, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the California Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. Army, 1995). Those remediation goals are as follows:

- Establish hydraulic control and contain contaminated groundwater.
- Extract and treat groundwater exceeding aquifer cleanup levels (ACLs).

A groundwater extraction and treatment system (GWETS) was constructed in 1988 to remediate trichloroethene (TCE) and other groundwater contaminants.

A key factor affecting the design and implementation of the groundwater cleanup is that the area including and surrounding the OU-1 contaminant plume is part of the University of California Natural Reserve System (UCNRS), which is designated as the Fort Ord Natural Reserve (FONR). The FONR area potentially affected by the construction of OU-1 remediation facilities and activities is approximately 130 acres. Therefore, the project has the additional constraint that activities undertaken to achieve the OU-1 cleanup adequately protect and maintain the critical habitat and protected species found within the FONR. The FONR is managed by staff at the University of California at Santa Cruz (UCSC).

Figure 1.1 illustrates the location of Former Fort Ord and the OU-1 source area. The source area was the former Fort Ord Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area (FDA). Activities conducted at the FDA between 1962 and 1985 resulted in contaminants being released to soils and groundwater. Although 10 volatile organic compounds have been identified as contaminants of concern (COCs) in groundwater underlying the FDA, TCE is the contaminant detected at the highest concentrations and across the greatest extent of the affected aquifer. Data show that the footprint of the TCE plume encompasses the extent of contamination contributed to by the other nine COCs. Figure 1.2 shows the estimated extent of the TCE plume in September 2012.

The U.S. Army consulted with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) in 1998 to assess potential impacts to the sand gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora ssp. arenaria*) and Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens*) populations resulting from groundwater investigation and remediation activities within the FONR. The opinion was issued on 30 March 1999. The Army

consulted the USFWS again in 2002 and 2007 to address impacts to Monterey spineflower critical habitat and the California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*) (USFWS, 2002 and 2007). Various mitigation measures were identified as a result of these consultations and are implemented before, during, and after work within the FONR.

Intermittent biological surveys were conducted within the OU-1 area by others since 1998 (Harding Lawson Associates, 1998). While delivery order CM01 was being executed, HGL conducted annual biological surveys focusing on mapping the extent and population of federally protected rare, threatened, or endangered (RTE) plant species within the FONR, including the endangered sand gilia and the threatened Monterey spineflower. The findings of these surveys were submitted in the following reports:

- Appendix A of the Draft Remedial System Modification Plan, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California (HGL, 2004a)
- Results of 2004 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys, OU-1, Former Ft. Ord, California (HGL, 2004b)
- Results of 2005 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys, OU-1, Former Ft. Ord, California (HGL, 2005)
- Final 2006 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results (HGL 2007a)
- 2007 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Survey Results (HGL, 2008a)
- 2008 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results (HGL, 2009a)
- 2009 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results (HGL, 2009b)
- 2010 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results (HGL, 2011a)
- 2011 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results (HGL, 2012a)

This document presents the results of the 2012 rare plant survey and discusses the potential impact to date on those plants associated with the OU-1 remediation activities conducted since 2004. The 2012 rare plant survey was conducted by Denise Duffy and Associates (DD&A) under subcontract to HGL; DD&A completed the 2006 through 2011 surveys as well. The following information also is included in this report:

- A description of the FONR site and overview of past activities,
- Descriptions of the actions taken and site management protocols implemented to minimize adverse impacts to the FONR habitat,
- A summary of the site activities conducted by HGL during 2012 and planned future activities, and
- Results of the 2012 rare plant survey and interim impact assessment.

#### **1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION**

Fort Ord was established in 1917 as a military training base for infantry troops. In January 1991, the U.S. Secretary of Defense announced the downsizing/closure of the base. In August 1994, portions of the property were transferred to UCSC, and the FONR was established in June 1996. The former Fort Ord is located near Monterey Bay, approximately 80 miles south of San Francisco. The base consists of approximately 28,000 acres near the cities of Seaside, Sand City, Monterey, Del Rey Oaks, and Marina. Monterey Bay marks the western boundary of the former Fort Ord. Toro Regional Park borders the base to the southeast and land use to the east is primarily agricultural.

OU-1 occupies approximately 590 acres of the FONR in the southwestern corner of the former Fritzsche Army Airfield, west of Imjin Road and north of Reservation Road. The dominant habitats within the OU-1 portion of the FONR are coast live oak woodland, coastal scrub, maritime chaparral and annual grassland. The maritime chaparral is considered a rare habitat by the California Department of Fish and Game. The overall former Fort Ord area contains large areas of maritime chaparral habitat.

Several federally protected RTE species are known or suspected to be present within the FONR. These include the endangered sand gilia, the threatened Monterey spineflower, and the threatened California tiger salamander. Several plant and animal species of concern are also present in the FONR. Other plant species of concern include the following:

- Coast wallflower (*Erysimum ammophilum*);
- Eastwood's ericameria (Ericameria fasciculata);
- Monterey ceanothus (*Ceanothus cuneatus var. rigidus*);
- Sandmat manzanita (Arctostaphylos pumila); and
- Toro manzanita (Arctostaphylos montereyensis).

The California black legless lizard (Anniella pulchra nigra), coast horned lizard (Phrynosoma coronatum), and the Monterey ornate shrew (Sorex ornatus salarius) are animal species of concern.

The northern boundary of OU-1 is adjacent to a large expanse of privately owned, non-native grassland. Transmission of non-native grass species into OU-1 is accelerated by the prevailing southern winds, which blow the seeds into the OU-1 area (Fusari, 2004). Non-native grasses and weedy forbs are already present throughout much of the OU-1 area. The significant expansion of these non-native grasses could cause federally listed plant populations to decline.

Sand gilia appears to be less tolerant of competing plant cover than the Monterey spineflower. This hypothesis is based on the observation that numerous small Monterey spineflower populations were identified within the dense grassland habitat bordering the main FONR habitat to the east and north or on the roadways bordering this grassland in the initial 1998 survey. Subsequent rare plant surveys conducted between 2004 and 2007 also observed Monterey spineflower in this region. Although sand gilia was not detected in this region during the 1998 through 2007 surveys, sand gilia population patches were observed in 2007 at open areas within a small zone of grassland species inside the more extensive oak woodland habitat near the OU-1

plume source area (sand gilia patches 20 through 22 appear on Figure A3.4 in Appendix A of the 2007 FONR Impact Report [HGL, 2008]). The small open area in which the sand gilia population was observed is approximately 300 feet east of the source area and is bordered by grasses that are surrounded by oak woodland and understory habitat. Several Monterey spineflower populations also were observed thriving within dense patches of non-native grasses in the same vicinity.

#### **1.2** OVERVIEW OF OU-1 REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE FONR

Numerous wells and soil borings were constructed within the FONR as part of the investigative effort to define the extent of environmental contamination and remediate contamination. Table 1.1 lists the wells that were installed within the OU-1 portion of the FONR. Table 1.2 lists the soil borings that were drilled within the FONR portion of OU-1 between 2004 and 2011 without constructing a well. Table 1.2 also lists the wells that were destroyed during that period. Figure 1.3 illustrates the OU-1 well and soil boring locations. No new wells or soil borings have been constructed by HGL within the FONR since 2006. In September 2011, 53 wells were destroyed within the FONR. Figure 1.4 illustrates the layout and components of the OU-1 groundwater remediation system within the FONR as of September 30, 2012.

Note that typical well identification formats—"MW-" prefix for monitoring wells, "EW-" prefix for extraction wells, and "IW-" prefix for injection wells—do not correspond to well function in all cases. The boundaries of the contaminated groundwater zone in OU-1 were refined as the remedial design progressed. The initial system performance pilot test and other field tests provided data that described potential pumping rates for several wells. These data were used during design of the FONR component. Formulating and evaluating design alternatives showed that the most effective OU-1 remedy required that some wells be used for different purposes than originally intended. Consequently, some wells that were intended and named as monitoring wells (MW-OU1-46-AD, MW-OU1-85-A, and MW-OU1-87-A) became extraction wells. Conversely, numerous wells with the EW- prefix have been used only for monitoring groundwater quality. Only the following EW- prefix wells have been used for groundwater extraction:

EW-OU1-60-A	EW-OU1-63-A	EW-OU1-71-A
EW-OU1-62-A	EW-OU1-66-A	

Several wells were named as potential injection well sites but only two (IW-OU1-73-A and IW-OU1-74-A) were connected to the Northwest Treatment System (NWTS) for this purpose. The rest of the "IW-" prefix wells have been used only for monitoring groundwater quality, with one exception: well IW-OU1-10-A was converted to an extraction well in October 2010.

#### **1.3 SUMMARY OF SITE ACTIVITIES**

In 1987, about 4,000 cubic yards of contaminated soils were excavated and replaced with clean fill. The OU-1 ROD (U.S. Army, 1995) indicated that remediation of the contaminated soils at the FDA was complete; the ROD also defined groundwater extraction and treatment as the selected remedy for OU-1 groundwater. A GWETS was constructed in 1988 to remediate TCE and other related groundwater contaminants. The 1988 GWETS consisted of extraction wells EW-OU1-17-A and EW-OU1-18-A and was located a short distance downgradient (north) of the

FDA. Extracted groundwater was piped to a treatment facility located at the former FDA, where dissolved organic compounds were removed using granular activated carbon vessels. The treated effluent was spray-irrigated in the southern portion of the FDA.

Despite a steady overall decline in contaminant levels within the groundwater capture zone of the 1988 GWETS, COCs were subsequently detected at concentrations above ACLs in groundwater downgradient from the capture zone. Additional wells installed between 1997 and 2001 (MW-OU1-21-A through MW-OU1-46-A) revealed that TCE exceeded the ACL as far as 2,100 feet downgradient from the existing capture zone. Groundwater modeling showed that contaminated groundwater north and west of extraction well EW-OU1-17A was not captured by the extraction system (AHTNA, 2003).

The HGL remediation contract was awarded in December 2003. A draft design to expand the original GWETS was presented in the *Draft Remedial System Modification Plan* (HGL, 2004a). New wells were installed and aquifer testing began in 2004 and continued through 2007. The draft GWETS expansion design was adjusted as data from the newly installed wells and aquifer testing were processed; the final design was issued in the three-volume Final Engineering Design Report in 2006 (HGL, 2006a; 2006b; and 2006c).

In 2006, the first component of the GWETS expansion, the *Hydraulic Control Pilot Project* (HGL, 2006d), was constructed. Four additional extraction wells (the FONR system) were constructed from July through September 2007 to further expand the GWETS. These construction activities are described in detail in the *Final Hydraulic Control Pilot Project Construction Report* (HGL, 2007b) and the *Draft FONR System Construction Report* (HGL, 2008b). Additional details concerning the GWETS expansion and a summary of OU-1 site activities conducted during 2007 relating to habitat monitoring and impacts were provided in the 2007 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Survey Results (HGL, 2008a).

During 2010, HGL conducted sampling activities and constructed an underground pipeline and underground powerline within the FONR habitat area. The underground pipeline and powerline connected IW-OU1-10-A to the terminus of the existing remediation system (at extraction well MW-OU1-87-A). The underground piping was laid within the existing roadway to minimize environmental impacts to the surrounding habitat. Converting IW-OU1-10-A to an extraction well has accelerated the overall groundwater cleanup. The design parameters for this expansion are described in the Remediation System Expansion Design Technical Memorandum (HGL, 2010). The 2010 construction activities and associated environmental monitoring are described in the *IW-OU1-10-A System Expansion Construction Report* (HGL, 2011b).

During 2011, activities conducted by HGL within the FONR habitat area included sampling activities, the 2011 rare plant survey, and destroying 55 monitoring wells (53 of which are located within the FONR). The 2011 well destruction activities are described in the *Well Destruction Report* (HGL, 2011c).

HGL conducted the following activities during 2012 within the FONR habitat area:

• Collected performance monitoring samples from eight extraction wells and from the NWTS;

- Collected samples from the wells composing the OU-1 groundwater long term monitoring (LTM) network;
- Performed the 2012 rare plant survey; and
- Repaired erosion and traffic rut conditions on FONR roads.

Only light-duty vehicles (pickup trucks or sedans) were used for sampling activities, and travel routes were limited to established roadways. A water truck, sheep's foot compaction roller, bobcat, and a backhoe were used during road repair. All vehicles traveled only on existing roadways to the well sites.

In addition to the HGL activities listed above, UCSC performed weed control activities in selected areas (see Appendix B). The following sections describe the 2012 activities and the 2012 rare plant survey.

#### **1.3.1 2012** Rare Plant and Habitat Surveys

DD&A conducted surveys for sand gilia and Monterey spineflower on 16, 17, and 18 April 2012. The timing of the survey was intended to correspond with the plants' peak blooming period (late April to early May). Survey dates were determined through communications with UCSC natural resource staff and by observing Monterey spineflower and sand gilia populations in the reference area near the FONR. The 2012 rare plant survey covered three areas:

- The reference area near the intersection of Reservation Road and Imjin Parkway,
- The roadway and access route leading to well IW-OU1-10-A where construction occurred during 2010, and
- Those well sites within the FONR habitat area where wells was destroyed in September 2011.

Section 2.0 of this report presents an overview of the biological survey results, and Appendix A provides a detailed description.

#### **1.3.2 2012** Sampling Activities

During 2012, HGL did not conduct drilling, construction, or aquifer testing activities within OU-1. Groundwater samples were collected during 2012 from many of the existing wells within the FONR as part of the OU-1 groundwater LTM program. As the remediation effort progresses, the number of wells included in the LTM network decreases and the monitoring frequency is reduced at others. Before 2009, wells included in the LTM network were typically sampled on a quarterly, semiannual, or annual basis. The quarterly sampling usually occurred in March, June, September, and December of each year. In 2009, the LTM sampling program was modified and samples from individual wells are now collected only on a semiannual or annual basis. Performance monitoring samples originally were collected at the NWTS on a bimonthly basis, but in 2010 the sampling frequency was decreased to quarterly and in 2012 sampling was reduced to a semiannual frequency. Previous results from the groundwater quality monitoring program showed that cleanup targets within the capture zone of the original GWETS extraction wells (Figure 1.4) were achieved during 2005. Groundwater pumping and treatment from the existing GWETS area was suspended in February 2006 as part of the rebound evaluation. A rebound evaluation to assess whether the improved groundwater quality could be sustained without additional remediation was completed during 2007. The *Draft Rebound Evaluation Report* (HGL, 2007c) was submitted for regulatory review and it was agreed that the groundwater sampling frequency in this region can be greatly reduced. Sampling from selected groundwater monitoring wells in this region continued for some wells at a reduced frequency into 2011. Sample results confirmed that groundwater quality meets the ACLs and all wells within this area were destroyed in September and October 2011.

Based on the cleanup progress, HGL and regulatory agencies agreed to reduce the groundwater pumping and sampling efforts in 2012 within the interior portion of the OU-1 FONR area. Pumping and sampling along the northwest boundary continued at the same rate and schedule. The decreased pumping and sampling in the interior portion of the OU-1 FONR reduced the number of site visits and vehicle miles traveled on FONR roads, thereby reducing the potential impact to the FONR habitat.

In 2012, LTM samples and NWTS performance samples were collected in March and September. Table 1.3 summarizes the 2012 LTM and NWTS sampling events conducted at each of the OU-1 wells. At some wells only water level measurements are taken.

Groundwater elevations are measured semiannually at most wells within the OU-1 LTM network and are taken either concurrently with or within a few days of sample collection. Groundwater measurements collected from wells that are no longer sampled also are listed in Table 1.3.

#### **1.3.3** 2012 Road Erosion and Road Repair Activities

Road erosion and repair activities were conducted by Reber Construction from 15 to 19 October 2012 and on 2 November 2012 for the roads associated with OU-1 at Former Fort Ord. The repair activities were timed to avoid the peak blooming period (late April to early May). The erosion and road rut conditions were restored to the original grade, including filling or leveling ruts caused by previous site activities. The locations of the OU-1 roadway repair areas are provided on Figure 1.5. Before and after photographs of the roadways are provided on Figure 1.6.

HGL submitted a Natural Resource Protection Plan developed in accordance with the Habitat Management Plan (U.S. Army, 1997) and with input from the UCNRS management. The subcontractor performed the road erosion and road repair activities in compliance with the Natural Resource Protection Plan and the Habitat Management Plan.

#### 1.4 IMPACT PREVENTION AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Activities conducted within the FONR are limited to those that are essential to achieving the remediation goals for the project. The remedial actions and ongoing operation of the remedial system have been and will continue to be consistent with the various biological opinions and

guidance regarding mitigation measures. Compliance with these measures reduces or avoids impacts to RTE species of concern on the project site. Guidance for the remedial design and action(s) are as follows:

- The 30 March 1999 Biological and Conference Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (1-8-99-F/C-39R) and supporting documentation, such as Enclosure 2 to the request for consultation (Harding Lawson Associates, 1998);
- The 22 October 2002 Biological Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects Monterey spineflower Critical Habitat, (USFWS, 2002);
- The 14 March 2005 Biological Opinion on the Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields (USFWS, 2005);
- The 1 June 2007 Amendment to Biological Opinion 1-8-04-F-25R, Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields (USFWS, 2007);
- Guidance and direction from UCNRS staff; and
- Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan (U.S. Army, 1997).

To avoid or minimize impact to the FONR during ecologically sensitive periods (defined as the rainy season, which typically ranges from November to April), construction is sequenced to avoid this time frame as much as possible within the overall project constraints. For example, the final FONR system construction began in July 2007 and was completed in September 2007 before the seasonal rains began. Likewise, the well destruction and road repair activities were performed in September and October and completed before the rainy season began.

In addition to complying with the guidance listed above, beginning in 2007, HGL subcontracted with UCSC to implement manual and mechanical weed control measures at selected locations within the OU-1 portion of the FONR. The weed control program has been renewed annually and implemented by UCSC through 2012. UCSC staff began weed control treatments on 29 March 2012 and continued through 17 July 2012. Each area included in the weed control program received between one and three treatments (using a weed-eater and/or hand pulling) depending on site-specific phenology, observed response to past treatments, and species composition. UCSC staff also surveyed well sites to identify the composition of the plant population in the immediate vicinity of the wells. UCSC prepared a report that describes and summarizes their efforts regarding weed control and plant surveys; the report describing the 2012 weed control program is included as Appendix B.

The objectives of the weed control activities are as follows:

- Cut down or remove undesirable vegetation from areas disturbed by past OU-1 construction activities—particularly those completed during 2004 through 2006—before such vegetation released seeds into the environment.
- Prevent or reduce the expansion of non-native plants into areas disturbed by construction related to OU-1 activities.

• Prevent the occurrence of unacceptable impacts to the Monterey spineflower and sand gilia populations within that portion of the FONR affected by OU-1 remediation activities.

Figure 1.7 illustrates the locations where weed control measures were performed. During 2012, weed control consisted of cutting the weeds using manual methods (hand pulling, clipping) and mechanical devices (such as powered string trimmers or similar, easily portable equipment) as described in Appendix B. Herbicides or similar poisons have not been used as part of this effort in any year. Disposal of cut weeds was dependent on both the plant species and the timing of the weed cutting episode. Cut weeds were left on the ground if there was no danger that the seeds would germinate and sprout after cutting; otherwise, the cut weeds were bagged and removed from the site for proper disposal. The species subject to weed control included plant species that are listed as a noxious weed by the California Department of Food and Agriculture, included on invasive plant lists maintained by the California Invasive Plant Council, or considered to be a problematic species by the UCSC FONR natural resource staff.

This page was intentionally left blank.

# 2.0 OVERVIEW OF 2012 RARE PLANT SURVEY RESULTS

The objectives of the 2012 rare plant survey and habitat inventory were to accomplish the following:

- 1. Identify locations and estimate rare plant populations at an identified reference site and at FONR well destruction sites, and at sites where construction for the remediation system took place; and
- 2. Map Monterey spineflower and sand gilia populations for comparison to past surveys and to facilitate planning if future construction or maintenance activities are needed.

The reference site encompasses approximately one-half acre located approximately 3,000 feet southeast of the former OU-1 source area. DD&A biologists have used this site for several years to identify the peak of the blooming period for Monterey spineflower and sand gilia. The time to initiate the rare plant surveys at former Fort Ord and other locations has been based, in part, on observations of plants within the reference area to ensure that such surveys are conducted at appropriate times.

Coast live oak woodland is the dominant habitat in the reference area. Grassland and coast live oak woodland is adjacent to the reference site on the northwestern boundary. All other sides of the reference area are bordered by developed roads (Reservation Road, Mbest Drive, and University Drive). Non-native grasses and weedy forbs are already present throughout much of the reference area.

The 2012 rare plant survey was conducted at the reference site, along the IW-OU1-10-A pipeline route, and at 35 well sites within OU-1. This section presents a summary of the key findings from those surveys. The complete survey report is presented in Appendix A.

A DD&A biologist and a DD&A technician conducted surveys for sand gilia and Monterey spineflower on 16, 17, and 18 April 2012 using a global positioning system (GPS). The survey was timed to coincide with the peak blooming period insofar as possible. The peak blooming period was determined through communications with UCSC FONR natural resource management staff and by observing a known occurrence of sand gilia at the Fort Ord reference site near the FONR.

Each of the rare plant surveys was conducted along existing or proposed roadways and access routes. When rare plants were absent, the width of the survey area was approximately 10 feet beyond the edge of the roadway on either side. If a rare plant was identified, the survey in that area was extended to the boundary of the population encountered.

#### 2.1 RARE PLANT SURVEY METHODS

Large areas of Monterey spineflower and sand gilia were mapped as polygons using a Trimble Pathfinder ProXH GPS unit. Smaller plant groups and individuals were mapped as points with attributes to identify the number of individuals at each location. When a rare plant was identified, the survey in that area was extended to the boundary of the population encountered.

Individual counts were made for all sand gilia populations whether they were mapped as points (population less than five) or polygons (population greater than five). The polygon boundary was drawn to include all plants identified as a distinct population. However, Monterey spineflower was only counted as individuals when groups of less than five were mapped. For larger populations, Monterey spineflower was mapped as polygons and characterized according to the percent of cover; specifically, the percentage of the polygon covered by the Monterey spineflower divided by the total area enclosed within the polygon. The cover classes are defined as follows:

- Very Sparse (corresponding to an absolute cover of less than 3 percent);
- Sparse (3 to 25 percent);
- Medium Low (26 to 50 percent);
- Medium (51 to 76 percent);
- Medium High (76 to 97 percent); and
- Very High (greater than 97 percent).

GPS data were exported to a shapefile format for use in a geographic information system (GIS) (ESRI ArcGIS) and mapped on high-resolution aerial photography. These maps are presented in Appendix A (Figures A3.1, A3.2, and A3.3).

### 2.2 SAND GILIA SURVEY RESULTS

Sand gilia was observed and mapped at the DD&A reference site and at 9 of the 40 well sites. A total of 60 populations (38 points and 22 polygons) of sand gilia were mapped within the 2012 survey area (see Appendix A Table A3.1 and Figures A3.1, A3.2, and A3.3). A total of 1,052 individual plants were mapped at the 60 populations.

#### 2.3 MONTEREY SPINEFLOWER SURVEY RESULTS

A total of 43 populations (8 points and 35 polygons) of Monterey spineflower were mapped at the reference site and at 21 of the 40 well sites within the FONR (Table A3.2 and Figures A3.1, A3.2, and A3.3 in Appendix A). Because Monterey spineflower population size estimates are not as easily quantified as the sand gilia populations, individual Monterey spineflower plants were not counted within the GIS polygons. Populations of Monterey spineflower were estimated as a percentage of the overall ground cover using visual estimation. Of the 35 populations of Monterey spineflower that were mapped as polygons, five populations had a Medium Low cover class (26 to 50 percent cover), and 30 populations were identified as Sparse (3 to 25 percent cover).

# 3.0 DISCUSSION OF 2012 SURVEY RESULTS

As noted earlier, the 2012 rare plant survey area overlapped the previous surveys only at those wells that were destroyed in 2011 and at IW-OU1-10-A. Most of the destroyed wells were installed before the year 2000 and were not disturbed during the construction efforts completed from 2004 through 2010. Consequently, the destroyed wells were not included in the OU-1 rare plant surveys conducted between 2007 and 2010. The 2011 rare plant survey was conducted in April and included the well sites that were subsequently destroyed in October. Thus, the 2012 survey results may be compared only to the pre-destruction observations made in April 2011 and the results of previous surveys from 1998 through 2007 in nearly all cases.

The annual reference plot rare plant survey was initiated in 2010. Table 3.1 summarizes the survey results at the reference plot. Table 3.2 summarizes the results for all rare plant surveys conducted within the OU-1 footprint since 1998. This section focuses on comparing the 2012 survey and 2011 survey results. Section 4.0 compares the 2012 rare plant survey results with the 1998 through 2012 dataset.

During well construction or destruction activities, the work area and drill rig footprint is approximately 30 feet in diameter and centered on the well borehole. Discussions comparing survey results in this report assume that a plant population or polygon is attributed to a given well site if any part of the population or polygon is within the potentially disturbed area. In some cases, observation wells were constructed within approximately 30 feet of an existing well. For the purpose of this impact assessment, these locations are considered and counted as a single location and data point.

Numerous environmental factors affect the growth of the rare plants monitored in this survey. Precipitation is an important factor, particularly during the rainy season that typically occurs from late October through May. The annual rare plant surveys are timed to coincide with the peak blooming season and are typically performed in April or May. The total precipitation for the October through March period preceding the annual rare plant survey is provided in Table 3.3 for reference in subsequent discussions.

Fifty-three wells were destroyed in the FONR in 2011. Thirty-seven of the destroyed wells were located at 32 sites within the FONR habitat area and were included in the 2012 rare plant survey. In addition, the IW-OU1-10-A / PZ-OU1-10-A1 well pair is considered to be one site and was included in the survey as part of the 3-year post-construction monitoring that began after IW-OU1-10-A was converted to an extraction well in 2010. The remaining destroyed wells were located in the grassland portion of the FONR or in grassland outside the FONR property and are therefore not included in any rare plant surveys at OU-1. The overview of survey results presented in Section 3.0 is thus based on the 2012 survey results at 33 sites (including 39 wells) within the FONR habitat as compared to the 2011 survey results. Section 4.0 presents a comprehensive overall review of the 2004 through 2012 rare plant data for all wells within the FONR habitat area.

### 3.1 SAND GILIA

Within the FONR area surveyed, sand gilia populations were observed in more locations than Monterey spineflower populations for the second consecutive year. In each OU-1 rare plant survey performed from 2004 through 2007, Monterey spineflower populations were observed in more locations (no rare plant surveys were conducted from 2008 through 2010 within the 2012 survey area). Because of the significant differences in rainfall and in the size of the 2007 versus 2012 survey areas, as well as the complexity of environmental factors affecting sand gilia, it is not possible to assess the significance of the greater number of sand gilia locations in comparison to Monterey spineflower locations.

#### 3.1.1 Reference Area

In 2011, 16 populations totaling 318 individual sand gilia (four polygons and 12 points) were mapped within the DD&A reference area. In 2012, 16 populations totaling 70 individual sand gilia (four polygons and 12 points) were mapped within the DD&A reference area. Table 3.1 summarizes the results for years 2011 and 2012. The sand gilia polygons covered 1,409.5 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>) in 2011, and decreased by 85 percent to approximately 209.6 ft<sup>2</sup> in 2012 (a decrease of 1,199.9 ft<sup>2</sup>).

The most significant change in sand gilia cover was located in the middle of the reference area (see Figure A3.1 in Appendix A). Sand gilia observed during the 2012 survey effort was substantially less when compared to the 2011 survey effort. The October 2011 through March 2012 rainfall totaled 11.3 inches and was significantly less than the 17.3 inches recorded in 2011. This difference in rainfall may have affected the sand gilia population. The reference area is located on property that is relatively undisturbed by anthropogenic activities. The decrease in plant population is therefore assumed to represent natural variation and may indicate that any decreases in sand gilia population within the OU-1 construction areas in 2012 are related to environmental factors rather than potential construction impacts.

#### 3.1.2 Destroyed Well Sites

Sand gilia was found in 2011 at 9 of the 33 sites surveyed before well destruction activities were performed (Table 3.2). At eight of these nine sites, sand gilia also was found in the 2012 survey, indicating no adverse impact to the plant population between 2011 and 2012. At one site (EW-OU1-54-A), sand gilia was identified before the well destruction activity but not after. However, at two sites (MW-BW-10-A and MW-OU1-01-A) sand gilia was not observed before the destruction activity but was found afterward. Overall, sand gilia was found at 9 sites in the 2011 pre-destruction survey and at 10 sites in the 2012 post-destruction survey. Together with the observed decline in sand gilia at the reference site, these data support the conclusion that the well destruction activities did not impact sand gilia populations in the survey area.

#### **3.2 MONTEREY SPINEFLOWER**

Previous rare plant surveys conducted by DD&A indicate that populations of Monterey spineflower were often observed in areas with sparse to moderately abundant non-native annual grass cover, suggesting that this species may be somewhat more tolerant of annual grass cover

variations and environmental factors than sand gilia. Although sand gilia populations were observed more often than Monterey spineflower in 2012, both species were widespread in the 2011 and 2012 surveys.

#### 3.2.1 Reference Area

One population (Sparse polygon) of Monterey spineflower was mapped at the DD&A reference site in 2011. Three Monterey spineflower populations (two Sparse polygons and one point) were mapped within the DD&A reference area in 2012. Table 3.1 summarizes the results. The total area covered by Monterey spineflower in the reference area was nearly identical from 2010 to 2011 (approximately 2,850 ft<sup>2</sup>) with a difference of 19.3 ft<sup>2</sup>. The difference of 1,371.9 ft<sup>2</sup> between 2011 and 2012 was substantially greater than the change from 2010 to 2011 and represents a 48 percent decrease in the polygon area. There are several environmental factors that affect the amount of Monterey spineflower that blooms in a given year. Because the reference site is relatively undisturbed, it is likely that the environmental factors supporting Monterey spineflower growth were not as pronounced in 2012 as compared to the previous 2 years.

#### 3.2.2 Destroyed Well Sites

Monterey spineflower was found in 2011 at 24 of the 33 sites surveyed before well destruction activities were performed (Table 3.2). At 20 of these 24 sites, Monterey spineflower also was found in the 2012 survey, indicating no adverse impact to the plant population between 2011 and 2012. At four sites (MW-OU1-02-A, MW-OU1-04-A, MW-OU1-20-A, and EW-OU1-54-A), Monterey spineflower was identified in the 2011 survey before the well destruction activity but not after. However, at three of these four sites (MW-OU1-04-A, MW-OU1-20-A, and EW-OU1-54-A), Monterey spineflower was not present in the baseline 1998 or 2004 surveys.

At the EW-OU1-18-A/PZ-OU1-16-A co-located site, Monterey spineflower was not observed in the 2011 survey before the destruction activity but was found afterward—this was the first observation of Monterey spineflower at this location since it was reported in the 1998 and 2004 surveys. The site was included in three previous annual surveys (it was not detected in 2005, 2006, or 2011). Other than foot traffic to collect samples, the destruction activity was the first disturbance at this location since before the 1998 survey was conducted. Thus, the 2012 occurrence may indicate a potential beneficial impact on Monterey spineflower. Together with the observed decline in Monterey spineflower at the reference site, these observations and data support the conclusion that the well destruction activities did not impact Monterey spineflower populations in the survey area.

This page was intentionally left blank.

## 4.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND CONCLUSIONS

Construction efforts were undertaken by HGL during the 2004 through 2012 time period to remediate contaminated groundwater within the OU-1 portion of the FONR. Construction activities included the following:

- Drilling soil borings;
- Constructing extraction, injection, and monitoring wells;
- Installing water conveyance pipelines;
- Installing infiltration trenches;
- Constructing a groundwater treatment facility;
- Converting IW-OU1-10-A from a monitoring well to an extraction well;
- Destroying 53 wells within the FONR; and
- Road repair to address ruts created by heavy equipment traffic and erosion.

Figure 4.1 illustrates the areas in which construction occurred during 2004 through 2012. The locations of wells destroyed in 2011 are shown on Figure 4.2.

A critical concern throughout the project has been the protection of the rare plant species within the FONR. To that end, direct impacts of construction activities within the footprint of known populations of Monterey spineflower or sand gilia were minimized by using the results of the 1998 rare plant survey (Harding Lawson Associates, 1998). The results of the 1998 rare plant survey are provided on Figure 4.3. In addition, a pre-construction survey was conducted in the spring of 2004 (HGL, 2004b) to delineate population locations. The survey results were used to adjust the location of remediation facilities to avoid previously identified rare plant locations wherever possible. As discussed below, this strategy enabled the construction activity to avoid overlapping known rare plant populations except in a few cases as described later in this section.

UCSC staff responsible for the management of the FONR expressed a significant concern that construction activities would cause indirect impacts to the rare plant species by altering the habitat in the work areas. They were concerned that the practice of clearing existing native vegetation to enable equipment access for well or pipeline construction may provide a pathway for non-native, invasive plant species from the surrounding areas to encroach farther into the FONR. The UCSC concern is that such encroachment may result in declining rare plant populations as the non-native newcomers out-compete the existing plants and come to dominate the overall species distribution. To address this concern, HGL has conducted annual rare plant surveys from 2004 through 2012 (through subcontractors) and conducted habitat surveys in 2006 and 2007. The data resulting from these surveys are evaluated annually and have not shown evidence of significant impact to rare plant populations.

In addition, HGL has contributed funds to support manual and mechanical weed control efforts by UCSC from 2007 through 2012. The most recent effort is described in detail in Appendix B. UCSC is confident that the weed abatement efforts are having a positive impact on reducing weed populations on the OU-1 cleanup sites and, very importantly, have removed a large portion of the invasive weed seed source for the 2012 growing season.

Table 3.2 summarizes the rare plant populations observed at the OU-1 well sites. To date, the survey results show that the impact-minimization and abatement efforts and proactive construction management techniques employed throughout the construction effort to mitigate impacts have been successful in minimizing the impact to rare plant populations. The data from Table 3.2 are discussed in the following sections.

In total, 108 wells were installed as part of the investigation and remediation of contaminated groundwater within the OU-1 portion of the former Fort Ord boundary. Twenty-five of these wells were constructed in the grassland area that borders the critical FONR habitat. These 25 wells were not included in the rare plant surveys because the grassland area is not suitable habitat for Monterey spineflower or sand gilia. One well was installed at the same location to replace a damaged well (MW-OU1-24AR replaced MW-OU1-24-A) and thus the 108 well total double-counts that well location. Well MW-OU1-12-A was destroyed before the 2004 survey and the area was not included in subsequent surveys.

Multiple wells were constructed within approximately 30 feet of one another at eight locations and, in these cases, the group of wells was considered to be a single site for the purpose of evaluating the rare plant survey results. Forty-five new OU-1 wells were constructed at forty-two new well locations within the FONR between 2004 and 2006. Several wells constructed between 1986 and 2002 also were co-located. The following sets of wells are counted as a single location because they are within 30 feet of each other.

- MW-OU1-46-AD and PZ-OU1-46-AD2;
- EW-OU1-49-A and PZ-OU1-49-A1;
- IW-OU1-10-A and PZ-OU1-10-A1;
- IW-OU1-02-A and PZ-OU1-02-A;
- EW-OU1-17-A, PZ-OU1-13-A, and PZ-OU1-14-A;
- EW-OU1-18-A, PZ-OU1-15-A, and PZ-OU1-16-A;
- MW-OU1-29-A and PZ-OU1-35-A; and
- MW-OU1-32-A and MW-OU1-33-A.

After excluding individual wells as noted above, rare plant population data were evaluated for 74 well locations and at 3 equipment staging areas used during the 2004 construction activities—77 total sites. The following sections discuss the evaluation results of the rare plant surveys conducted from 1998 through 2012.

#### 4.1 OPERABLE UNIT 1 IMPACTS ON MONTEREY SPINEFLOWER

Evaluation of the data showed that these 77 total locations fall into five categories:

- Monterey spineflower not detected before or after construction (26 locations);
- Monterey spineflower detected before but not after construction (8 locations);
- Monterey spineflower detected before and after construction (11 locations);

- Monterey spineflower not detected before construction but was detected after construction (13 locations); and
- The well was constructed before the initial rare plant survey in 1998 (19 locations).

The rare plant survey results with respect to Monterey spineflower are discussed in the following subsections.

#### 4.1.1 Monterey Spineflower Not Detected Before or After construction

Approximately 34 percent of the sites fell within this category (26 of the 77 total). These data cannot be used to assess site-specific impacts.

#### 4.1.2 Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before but Not After Construction

There were only eight locations where the 1998 or 2004 rare plant survey identified Monterey spineflower populations that were not observed at least once in subsequent surveys:

•	MW-OU1-25-A	•	MW-OU1-57-A	•	MW-OU1-61-A
•	MW-OU1-40-A	•	EW-OU1-60-A	•	MW-OU1-65-A
•	EW-OU1-49-A/	•	EW-OU1-66-A		

• EW-OU1-49-A/ PZ-OU1-49-A1

At six of the eight locations where previously existing Monterey spineflower populations were identified in 1998, the wells were constructed along the roadways bordering the adjacent grasslands to the north and east. The rare plant populations in these areas are considered of marginal value because they are adjacent to grassland habitat that is unsuitable for sustaining the rare plant species (HGL, 2006b). HGL discussed these proposed well locations with UCSC management staff before construction began to confirm that the potential disruption of these plant populations was an acceptable approach and would not present a significant impact. These six well locations were as follows:

•	EW-OU1-49-A/	•	EW-OU1-60-A	•	MW-OU1-65-A
	PZ-OU1-49-A1	•	EW-OU1-66-A		

• MW-OU1-57-A • MW-OU1-61-A

Of the six wells listed above, only wells EW-OU1-49-A/ PZ-OU1-49-A1 were surveyed in 2004. The other five wells were surveyed from 2005 through 2007. Plant surveys were not performed at these six well sites after 2007, except at EW-OU1-60A and MW-OU1-61-A, which also were surveyed in 2008. Annual rare plant surveys at these wells were stopped after 2008 because 3 years of monitoring had been completed. Monterey spineflower was not detected at these locations during the surveys completed in 2005, 2006, and 2007. It is not possible to conclude that the absence of these populations—since their detection in 1998—is the result of the construction activity because their location is adjacent to grassland areas and is susceptible to varying environmental conditions. Natural variables, including precipitation (Table 3.3), may be responsible for the lack of detected populations in post-construction surveys. In any case, these

populations are of marginal value given that they are present within the edge of the grassland habitat.

Monterey spineflower was observed in 1998 at the site of two future wells (MW-OU1-25-A and MW-OU1-40-A); MW-OU1-25-A was installed in 1998 and MW-OU1-40-A was installed in 1999. There was no activity other than sampling in this area thereafter. Given the population variability observed at the undisturbed reference plot, the data are inconclusive concerning the impact of construction activity on the Monterey spineflower population. Also, the fact that the 1998 data represented a "great year" for Monterey spineflower and sand gilia (UCSC, 2006) suggest that natural variability rather than construction impacts may be the reason it was not found in subsequent surveys.

#### 4.1.3 Monterey Spineflower was Detected Before and After Construction

There were 11 locations where the 1998 or 2004 rare plant survey identified Monterey spineflower populations that also were observed at least once in subsequent surveys. This group makes up approximately 33 percent of the sites that identified Monterey spineflower in any survey between 1998 and 2012. The data provided below indicate that OU-1 remediation activities did not impact Monterey spineflower populations at these sites.

Location	Number of pre- construction survey detections	Number of post- construction surveys	Number of post- construction survey detections
MW-OU1-39-A	2	2	2
MW-OU1-44-A	1	2	2
MW-OU1-46-A	1	6	3
MW-OU1-46-AD*	1	5	2
EW-OU1-53-A	1	3	2
IW-OU1-01-A	1	5	4
IW-OU1-25-A	1	4	2
MW-OU1-50-A	1	5	4
MW-OU1-56-A	1	3	1
Staging Area #1	1	3	2
Staging Area #2	1	3	3

\*Indicates results for all co-located wells.

Additional survey results are provided in Table 3.2.

# 4.1.4 Monterey spineflower was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction

The rare plant survey results indicated potentially beneficial impacts on Monterey spineflower populations at 13 sites where this species was not observed before the OU-1 remediation activities beginning in 2004 but was detected in post-construction surveys. The site locations and data are summarized below:

Location	Number of pre- construction surveys (no detections)	Number of post- construction surveys	Number of Monterey spineflower detections
MW-OU1-32-A*	2	2	2
MW-OU1-38-A	2	3	2
EW-OU1-54-A	2	5	2
EW-OU1-55-A	2	5	2
IW-OU1-05-A	2	4	4
IW-OU1-24-A	2	4	3
MW-OU1-59-A	1	3	1
Staging Area #3	2	3	2
EW-OU1-71-A	2	4	1
IW-OU1-74-A	1	5	3
MW-OU1-82-A	1	5	2
MW-OU1-83-A	2	5	2
MW-OU1-84-A	1	5	2

\*Indicates results for all co-located wells.

These data suggest that the site disturbances from remediation activities had a potentially beneficial effect on Monterey spineflower conditions. Additional survey results details are provided in Table 3.2.

#### 4.1.5 Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey

Monterey spineflower was observed in one or more rare plant surveys from 1998 through 2011 at 19 locations where wells were constructed before the 1998 survey was performed. Because these wells pre-date the earliest available survey results from 1998, it is not known whether rare plants were present before the wells were constructed. In total, 25 wells were constructed at 21 locations before the 1998 survey. One well was located within the source area and those soils were excavated and replaced with non-native fill. Thus, Monterey spineflower was observed at a detection frequency of 95 percent (19 out of 20 native soil locations) in these wells.

Monterey spineflower was not detected in any survey at 25 of the 57 total locations constructed after 1998—thus, 32 detections were observed for a 56 percent detection frequency. As noted earlier, the 1998 rare plant survey represented a "great year" for Monterey spineflower and sand gilia (UCSC, 2006). Because pre-construction rare plant populations are unknown at these locations, it is not possible to make site-specific comparisons to the post-construction rare plant survey results. However, the fact that Monterey spineflower was found at 95 percent of the well locations in this category versus 56 percent for later wells supports the conclusion that no adverse impact to plant populations occurred as a result of OU-1 remediation activities.

#### 4.2 OPERABLE UNIT 1 IMPACTS ON SAND GILIA

As above, the 77 total well locations and staging areas fall into five categories:

• Sand gilia was not detected in any survey (54 locations);

- Sand gilia was detected before but not after construction (3 locations);
- Sand gilia was detected before and after construction (2 locations);
- Sand gilia was not detected before construction but was detected after construction (6 locations); and
- The well was constructed before the initial rare plant survey in 1998 (12 locations).

The rare plant survey results with respect to sand gilia are discussed in the following subsections.

#### 4.2.1 Sand Gilia Not Detected Before or After Construction

Approximately 70 percent of the sites fell within this category (54 of the 77 total). These data cannot be used to assess site-specific impacts but they suggest that sand gilia is not widespread within the OU-1 portion of the FONR.

#### 4.2.2 Sand Gilia was Detected Before but Not After Construction

There were only three locations where the 1998 or 2004 rare plant survey identified sand gilia populations that were not observed at least once in subsequent surveys:

- IW-OU1-25-A;
- Staging Area #3; and
- MW-OU1-44-A.

At well IW-OU1-25-A, sand gilia was observed in the 2004 survey but was absent in the 1998 survey. Sand gilia was not observed at this location in post-construction surveys from 2005 through 2006 and in 2011 or 2012. As noted previously, 1998 presented optimum environmental conditions to promote rare plant growth. Given the absence of sand gilia at this location in 1998 and the population variability observed at the undisturbed reference plot, the data are inconclusive concerning the effect of construction activity on the sand gilia population.

At Staging Area #3, a similar situation occurred but the years were reversed—sand gilia was observed in the 1998 survey but was absent in the 2004 survey. Sand gilia was not observed at this location in post-construction surveys from 2005 through 2006. Because there was no activity in this area after 2004, it was not included in subsequent surveys. Given the absence of sand gilia in 2004 at this location and the population variability observed at the undisturbed reference plot, the data are inconclusive concerning the impact of construction activity on the sand gilia population. Again, 1998 presented optimum environmental conditions for the sand gilia (UCSC, 2006). The fact that the sand gilia was not found in the pre-construction survey of 2004 also suggests that natural variability—rather than construction impacts—may be the reason the species was not found in the 2005 through 2007 surveys.

Well MW-OU1-44-A was installed in 2000. There was no activity other than sampling in this area thereafter. Consequently, it was not included the 2004 survey or in post-construction rare plant surveys until 2011, when it was scheduled to be destroyed. Consequently, there are only three data points for comparison at this site—the very favorable condition year of 1998 and years 2011 and 2012. In 2012, the total rainfall during the October through March period preceding the

survey was 11.3 inches (Table 3.3). This total is 3 inches less than the average total of the 10year database and approximately 11 inches less than the 1998 total. Because of the limited data at this site and the wide variation in rainfall conditions, the data are inconclusive concerning the impact of construction activity on the sand gilia population.

#### 4.2.3 Sand Gilia was Detected Before and After Construction

There were two locations where the 1998 or 2004 rare plant survey identified sand gilia populations that also were observed at least once in subsequent surveys:

- Staging Area #2; and
- MW-OU1-38-A.

At Staging Area #2, sand gilia was detected in the 1998 survey and in every annual survey conducted between 2004 and 2007. At well MW-OU1-38-A, sand gilia was found in the 1998 survey but not in the 2004 survey. This site was included in the rare plant surveys conducted in 2007, 2011, and 2012. Sand gilia was observed in the 2011 and 2012 surveys. These data indicate that OU-1 remediation activities did not impact sand gilia populations at these sites.

#### 4.2.4 Sand Gilia was Not Detected Before But was Detected After Construction

The rare plant survey results indicated potentially beneficial impacts on sand gilia populations at six sites where this species was not observed before the OU-1 remediation activities beginning in 2004 but was detected in post-construction surveys. The site locations and data are summarized below:

Location	Number of pre-construction	Number of post-	Number of sand
Location	surveys (no detections)	construction surveys	gilia detections
MW-OU1-39-A	2	2	2
EW-OU1-53-A	2	3	2
EW-OU1-54-A	2	5	1
IW-OU1-01-A	2	5	1
MW-OU1-59-A	1	3	1
Staging Area #1	2	3	1

Additional survey results details are provided in Table 3.2.

#### 4.2.5 Well Was Constructed Before the Initial Rare Plant Survey

Sand gilia was observed in one or more rare plant surveys from 1998 through 2011 at 12 locations where wells were constructed before the 1998 survey was performed. Because these wells pre-date the earliest available survey results from 1998, it is not known whether rare plants were present before the wells were constructed. In total, 25 wells were constructed at 21 locations before the 1998 survey. One well was located within the source area and those soils were excavated and replaced with non-native fill. Thus, sand gilia was observed at a detection frequency of 60 percent (12 out of 20 native soil locations) in these wells.

Sand gilia was not detected in any survey at 46 of the 57 locations constructed after 1998—this results in a 20 percent detection frequency. As noted earlier, the 1998 rare plant survey represented exceptionally favorable environmental conditions for Monterey spineflower and sand gilia (UCSC, 2006). Because pre-construction rare plant populations are unknown at these locations, it is not possible to make site-specific comparisons to the post-construction rare plant survey results. However, the fact that sand gilia was found at 60 percent of the well locations in this category versus 20 percent for later wells supports the conclusion that no adverse impact to plant populations occurred as a result of OU-1 remediation activities.

#### 4.3 SUMMARY

The post-construction rare plant surveys were compared with the 1998 and 2004 pre-construction rare plant surveys to assess construction impacts on the FONR rare plant populations. The results of that comparison indicate that the construction activity has not had significant adverse effects on the Monterey spineflower and sand gilia plant populations. Overall, the number of post-construction rare plant populations exceeded the number of populations found in pre-construction surveys. A summary of the supporting observations for this conclusion were described in the preceding paragraphs and are summarized below:

- At locations disturbed by remediation activities after the initial baseline survey in 1998, Monterey spineflower was detected at 32 of the 56 well sites surveyed. Thirteen of the fifty-one well sites did not detect Monterey spineflower in the pre-construction surveys but did find it post-construction. The reverse is true at only eight well sites. Eleven of the fifty-one wells showed Monterey spineflower populations similar to or greater than those in the pre-construction surveys.
- Monterey spineflower was found at 19 of the 21 locations where remediation activities were performed before the 1998 baseline survey.
- Sand gilia was detected at 11 of the 56 well sites where remediation activity began after 1998. Six sites showed sand gilia populations in the post-construction survey but not in any pre-construction survey. The reverse was true at three well sites. Two of the twenty-three well sites showed sand gilia populations similar to or greater than those in the pre-construction surveys.
- Sand gilia was found at 12 of the 21 locations where remediation activities were performed before 1998.

The survey results show there were approximately twice as many cases where rare plant populations were found after remediation activities were performed but not before; this situation indicates that such activities were potentially beneficial to the rare plant species. The 2012 survey results support the conclusion that the OU-1 well construction activity did not significantly impact the OU-1 Monterey spineflower or sand gilia populations.

Manual and mechanical (nonchemical) weed control efforts were initiated throughout the UCSC in 2007 and continued through 2012 as a preventive measure (see Section 1.4 and Appendix B). Visual observations of the extent of the weed populations were made by UCSC field staff in

2012 to determine the effectiveness of the weed control program. UCSC stated that the weed control efforts significantly reduced the survivorship, seed production, and abundance of the target species (see Appendix B). Each year the weed control program removes a large portion of the invasive weed seed source for the subsequent year, thus building on the effectiveness of the program.

This page was intentionally left blank.
#### 5.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

After evaluating the data from the 1998 and 2004 through 2012 annual rare plant monitoring (providing 10 years of survey data), HGL concluded that the construction activities associated with the OU-1 groundwater remediation program have not significantly affected rare plant populations within the FONR. The number of rare plant populations that were present after remediation activities (and which were not present in pre-construction surveys) was almost double the number of rare populations that were present before construction activities, but were absent afterward. Three years of annual survey data—at a reference plot unaffected by OU-1 or other anthropogenic activities—showed significant year-to-year variations of rare plant populations. Specifically, there were changes in the physical extent or population of up to plus or minus 85 percent. Rare plant populations in any given year also are highly dependent on environmental factors such as the volume and timing of precipitation and fluctuations in temperature. The remainder of this section presents recommendations for 2013 rare plant monitoring.

The well sites and roadways that border the adjacent grasslands to the north and east of the FONR represent marginal habitat for Monterey spineflower and sand gilia. Although isolated patches of Monterey spineflower are sometimes encountered in this area (sand gilia has not been detected), the predominant pre-construction populations are weeds and invasive grasses. Consequently, the potential impact due to the construction activities is not significant and the rare plant survey program will remain suspended in this region.

As shown in Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2, construction and well destruction activities were performed in the southern part of OU-1 within the FONR during 2010, 2011, 2012. HGL provided 2 years of monitoring for these sites, in addition to the previous 8 years of monitoring at other OU-1 well sites roadways. Based on the last 10 years of data, HGL proposes suspending further monitoring at the 2010 construction site (pipeline from well modification at IW-OU1-10-A to the OU-1 groundwater treatment plant) and the well location sites for the wells destroyed in 2011.

As noted above, the results from analysis of 10 years of comparative data indicate that the construction activity has not had significant adverse effects on the Monterey spineflower and sand gilia plant populations. Furthermore, HGL believes that the extended 10-year monitoring period has adequately characterized the impacts to Monterey spineflower and sand gilia populations from OU-1 remediation activities. Consequently, HGL will suspend the third year of rare plant monitoring specified in the Habitat Management Plan for the IW-OU1-10-A construction area. HGL also will suspend the second and third years of rare plant monitoring at the well sites where well destruction occurred in 2011.

In summary, the recommended rare plant survey and mitigation measures to be implemented or suspended for 2013 are as follows:

• Continue the weed control program in 2013. The same areas as in 2012 will undergo weed control using the same methods (Figure 1.7). Minimize roadway traffic during groundwater sampling activities to the extent practical.

- Suspend rare plant monitoring at those locations affected by extending the groundwater remediation system to well IW-OU1-10-A.
- Suspend rare plant monitoring at those wells within the FONR habitat that were destroyed in 2011.

#### 6.0 **REFERENCES**

- AHTNA Government Services Corporation, 2003. Draft Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination Pilot Study Report Operable Unit 1 Groundwater Remedy Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. Prepared for U.S. Department of the Army Sacramento District Corps of Engineers dated December 9, 2003. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-500\*.
- Fusari, Margaret, Ph.D., 2004. Director, University of California Santa Cruz Natural Reserves. Telephone conversations and e-mail correspondence with Amy Hiss, Gary Santolo, and Roy Evans regarding the federally listed species and noxious weeds. June.
- Harding Lawson Associates, 1998. Biological information for agency consultation related to groundwater remediation activities and quarterly groundwater sampling at FONR Fort Ord Natural Reserve. Biological and Conference Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (1-8-99-F/C-39R), Enclosure 2. Letter to Jane Holte.
- HydroGeoLogic, Inc. (HGL), 2004a. Draft Remedial System Modification Plan, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. July. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-509\*.
- HGL, 2004b. Results of 2004 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys, OU-1, Former Ft. Ord, California. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. June. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-532\*.
- HGL, 2005. Results of 2005 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys, OU-1, Former Ft. Ord, California. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. December. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-533\*.
- HGL, 2006a. Final 100% Engineering Design Report, Volume 1 of 3, Geology and Conceptual Site Model, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. September. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-538\*.
- HGL, 2006b. Final 100% Engineering Design Report, Volume 2 of 3, Groundwater Modeling and Design Analysis, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. July. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-535\*.
- HGL, 2006c. Final 100% Engineering Design Report, Volume 3 of 3, Engineering Calculations, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. October. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-537\*.
- HGL, 2006d. Final Work Plan Hydraulic Control Pilot Project Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. April. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-524\*.

- HGL, 2007a. Final 2006 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. February. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-534\*.
- HGL, 2007b. Final Hydraulic Control Pilot Project Construction Report, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. January. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-541\*.
- HGL, 2007c. Draft Rebound Evaluation Report Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. November. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-559\*.
- HGL, 2008a. 2007 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Survey Results, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. February. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-534\*.
- HGL, 2008b. Draft FONR System Construction Report, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. January. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-561\*.
- HGL, 2009a. 2008 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. January. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-564\*.
- HGL, 2009b. 2009 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. December. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-574\*.
- HGL, 2010. Final Fort Ord Natural Reserve (FONR) Remediation System Expansion Design Technical Memorandum, Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. October. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-538\*.
- HGL, 2011a. 2010 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. January. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-585\*.
- HGL, 2011b. IW-OU1-10-A System Expansion Construction Report Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. December. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-592A\*.
- HGL, 2011c. Well Destruction Report Operable Unit 1, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. December. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-593A\*.

- HGL, 2011d. Former Fort Ord Operable Unit 1, Recommended Well Destruction Final Technical Memorandum. August. Administrative Record Series Number OU1-586\*.
- HGL, 2012a. 2011 FONR Impact Assessment and Habitat and Rare Plant Species Survey Results, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area, Former Fort Ord, California. February. Administrative Record Series Number BW-2614\*.
- University of California Santa Cruz, 2006. Meeting with Dr. Margaret Fusari (UCSC), Sean McStay (UCSC), Gary Santolo (CH2MHill), Amy Hiss (CH2MHill), Michael Bombard (HGL) and Roy Evans (HGL) at University of California Santa Cruz campus. 10 January.
- U.S. Army, 1995. Record of Decision, Operable Unit I, Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area. Fort Ord, California. July 25.
- U.S. Army, 1997. Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan for Former Fort Ord, California. April. Administrative Record Series Number BW-1787\*.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 2002. Biological Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects Monterey spineflower Critical Habitat, (1-8-01-F-70R). October 22. Administrative Record Series Number BW-2233\*.
- USFWS, 2005. Biological Opinion on the Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields (1-8-04-F-25R). March 14. Administrative Record Series Number BW-2344\*.
- USFWS, 2007. Amendment to Biological Opinion 1-8-04-F-25R, Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields (1-8-04-F-25R). June 6. Administrative Record Series Number BW-2334\*.

This page was intentionally left blank.

TABLES

This page was intentionally left blank.

## Table 1.1Wells Within the Fort Ord Natural Reserve

				Wells Installed for F	Inchanced						
Wells II	nstalled/Sar	mpled Before 2004		Reductive Dechlorin	ation Pilot	Wells	Installed 20	004 through 2006			
				Study							
Identification	Year Installed	Identification	Year Installed	Identification	Year Installed	Identification	Year Installed	Identification	Year Installed		
MW-B-10-A	1976	MW-OU1-24-A	1997	IW-OU1-ERD-01-A	2002	IW-OU1-01-A	2004	PZ-OU1-10-A1	2005		
MW-OU1-01-A	1986	MW-OU1-24-AR	2003	MW-OU1-ERD-01-A	2002	IW-OU1-02-A	2004	PZ-OU1-46-AD2	2005		
MW-OU1-02-A	1986	MW-OU1-25-A	1998	IW-OU1-ERD-02-A	2002	PZ-OU1-02-A1	2004				
MW-OU1-03-A	1986	MW-OU1-26-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-02-A	2002	IW-OU1-05-A	2004				
MW-OU1-04-A	1986	MW-OU1-27-A	1998	IW-OU1-ERD-03-A	2002	IW-OU1-10-A	2004	EW-OU1-60-A	2006		
MW-OU1-05-A	1986	MW-OU1-28-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-03-A	2002	IW-OU1-13-A	2004	MW-OU1-61-A	2006		
MW-OU1-06-A	1986	MW-OU1-29-A	1998	IW-OU1-ERD-04-A	2002	IW-OU1-24-A	2004	EW-OU1-62-A	2006		
MW-OU1-07-A	1986	MW-OU1-30-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-04-A	2002	IW-OU1-25-A	2004	EW-OU1-63-A	2006		
MW-OU1-08-A	1986	MW-OU1-32-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-05-A	2002	MW-OU1-46-AD	2004	MW-OU1-64-A1	2006		
MW-OU1-09-A	1986	MW-OU1-33-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-06-A	2002	EW-OU1-47-A	2004	MW-OU1-64-A2	2006		
MW-OU1-10-A	1987	MW-OU1-34-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-07-A	2002	EW-OU1-48-A*	2004	MW-OU1-65-A	2006		
MW-OU1-11-SVA	1986	PZ-OU1-35-A	1998	MW-OU1-ERD-08-A	2002	EW-OU1-49-A	2004	EW-OU1-66-A	2006		
<i>MW-OU1-12-A</i>	1988	MW-OU1-36-A	1999			PZ-OU1-49-A1	2004	MW-OU1-67-A	2006		
PZ-OU1-13-A	1988	MW-OU1-37-A	1999			MW-OU1-50-A	2004	MW-OU1-68-A	2006		
PZ-OU1-14-A	1988	MW-OU1-38-A	1999			MW-OU1-51-A	2004	EW-OU1-71-A	2006		
PZ-0U1-15-A	1988	MW-OU1-39-A	1999			EW-OU1-52-A	2004	EW-OU1-72-A	2006		
PZ-OU1-16-A	1988	MW-OU1-40-A	1999			EW-OU1-53-A	2004	IW-OU1-73-A	2006		
EW-0U1-17-A	1987	MW-OU1-41-A	2001			EW-OU1-54-A	2004	IW-OU1-74-A	2006		
EW-0U1-18-A	1987	MW-OU1-43-A	2001			EW-OU1-55-A	2004	MW-OU1-82-A	2006		
MW-OU1-19-A	1993	MW-OU1-44-A	2001			MW-OU1-56-A	2004	MW-OU1-83-A	2006		
MW-OU1-20-A	1993	MW-OU1-45-A	2001			MW-OU1-57-A	2004	MW-OU1-84-A	2006		
MW-BW-10-A	1997	MW-OU1-46-A	2001			MW-OU1-58-A	2004	MW-OU1-85-A	2006		
MW-OU1-21-A	1997	MW-OU1-01-180	2000			MW-OU1-59-A	2004	MW-OU1-86-A	2006		
MW-OU1-22-A	1997	MW-OU1-02-180	2000					MW-OU1-87-A	2006		
MW-OU1-23-A	1997	MW-OU1-03-180	2000			-		MW-OU1-88-A	2006		

Notes:

Well name in Italics indicates that well has been abandoned.

ERD - enhanced reduction dechlorination

EW - extraction well

IW - injection well

MW - monitoring well

OU1 - Operable Unit 1

PZ - piezometer

SVA - Salinas Valley Acquiclude

# Table 1.2Soil Borings and Wells Destroyed 2004 - 2011within the Fort Ord Natural Reserve

Identification	Year Boring Abandoned	Identification	Year Boring Abandoned	Identification	Year Boring Abandoned
Identification	or Well Destroyed	Identification	or Well Destroyed	Identification	or Well Destroyed
SB-OU1-2004-I	2004	MW-BW-10-A	2011	MW-OU1-32-A	2011
SB-OU1-2004-J	2004	MW-OU1-01-180	2011	MW-OU1-33-A	2011
SB-OU1-2004-K	2004	MW-OU1-01-A	2011	MW-OU1-34-A	2011
SB-OU1-2004-L	2004	MW-OU1-02-180	2011	MW-OU1-36-A	2011
SB-OU1-2004-M	2004	MW-OU1-02-A	2011	MW-OU1-37-A	2011
SB-OU1-46-AD1	2005	MW-OU1-03-180	2011	MW-OU1-38-A	2011
SB-OU1-60-A	2005	MW-OU1-03-A	2011	MW-OU1-39-A	2011
EW-OU1-48-A	2006	MW-OU1-04-A	2011	MW-OU1-42-A	2011
EW-OU1-17-A	2011	MW-OU1-05-A	2011	MW-OU1-44-A	2011
EW-OU1-18-A	2011	MW-OU1-06-A	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-01-A	2011
EW-OU1-54-A	2011	MW-OU1-07-A	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-02-A	2011
EW-OU1-55-A	2011	MW-OU1-08-A	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-03-A	2011
IW-OU1-01-A	2011	MW-OU1-09-A	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-04-A	2011
IW-OU1-05-A	2011	MW-OU1-10-A	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-05-A	2011
IW-OU1-13-A	2011	MW-OU1-11-SVA	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-06-A	2011
IW-OU1-24-A	2011	MW-OU1-19-A	2011	MW-OU1-ERD-07-A	2011
IW-OU1-25-A	2011	MW-OU1-20-A	2011	PZ-OU1-13-A	2011
IW-OU1-ERD-01-A	2011	MW-OU1-21-A	2011	PZ-OU1-14-A	2011
IW-OU1-ERD-02-A	2011	MW-OU1-28-A	2011	PZ-OU1-15-A	2011
IW-OU1-ERD-03-A	2011	MW-OU1-30-A	2011	PZ-OU1-16-A	2011
IW-OU1-ERD-04-A	2011	MW-OU1-31-A	2011	PZ-OU1-35-A	2011

#### Notes:

OU-1- operable unit 1 EW - extraction well SB - soil boring MW- monitoring well

PZ- piezometer

IW- injection well

	Groundwater S	ampling Events*
Well Identification	Mar-12	Sep-12
MW-OU1-46-AD	X	
EW-OU1-60-A		
EW-OU1-62-A		
EW-OU1-63-A		
EW-OU1-66-A	Х	
EW-OU1-71-A	Х	
MW-OU1-85-A	Х	
MW-OU1-87-A	Х	
IW-OU1-10-A	Х	
IW-OU1-02-A	water le	evel only
PZ-OU1-10-A1	Х	
MW-OU1-22-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-23-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-24-AR	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-25-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-26-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-27-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-29-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-40-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-41-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-43-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-45-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-46-A	water le	evel only
EW-OU1-47-A	water le	evel only
EW-OU1-48-A	no longe	r sampled
EW-OU1-49-A	water le	evel only
PZ-OU1-49-A1	X	
MW-OU1-50-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-51-A	water le	evel only
EW-OU1-52-A	water le	evel only
EW-OU1-53-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-56-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-57-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-58-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-59-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-61-A	X	
MW-OU1-64-A1	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-64-A2	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-65-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-67-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-68-A	water le	evel only

Table 1.3Summary of 2012 Groundwater Long Term Monitoring Program

Well Identification	Groundwater S	ampling Events*
wen identification	Mar-12	Sep-12
MW-OU1-69-A2	Х	
MW-OU1-70-A	Х	
EW-OU1-72-A	Х	
IW-OU1-73-A	water le	evel only
IW-OU1-74-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-82-A (MW-G)	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-83-A (MW-F)	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-84-A (MW-E)	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-86-A (MW-C)	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-88-A (MW-A)	water le	evel only
MW-BW-10-A	water le	evel only
MW-OU1-ERD-08-A	water le	evel only

## Table 1.3Summary of 2012 Groundwater Long Term Monitoring Program

Notes:

\* includes sampling of extraction wells

Italicized well name indicates the well is not located within the Fort Ord Natural Reserve.

Identification in parantheses indicates temporary well name used in early planning documents.

X - sample collected

-- no sample collected

ERD - enhanced reductive dechlorination

EW - extraction well

IW - injection well

SVA - Salinas Valley Aquiclude

OU1 - Operable Unit 1

MW - monitoring well

PZ - piezometer

			Sand Gilia					
Year Surveyed	Number of Point Populations	Number of Individuals at Point Populations	Number of Polygon Populations	Number Polygo	of Individual on Population	s at s Tot	al Number of	Individuals
2010	7	18	7		1068		1086	
2011	12	40	4		278		318	
2012	12	21	4		49		70	
		М	lonterey Spineflow	ver				
Vara Garaga d	Number of	Total Number of	Number of Populations with	Plant Cove	er Density Su	mmary for A Plants	Areas With > 5	Individual
i ear Surveyed	Individual Plants	Individual Plants	> 5 Individual Plants	Sparse	Medium- Low	Medium	Medium- High	Very High
2010	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0
2011	1	4	1	0	1	0	0	0
2012	1	4	2	2	0	0	0	0

Table 3.1Rare Plant Survey Results for Reference Plot - 2010 through 2012

Monterey Spineflower Plant Cover Density Categories Based on Percentage of Plant Cover of Total Ground Area

Very Sparse (less than 3 percent),

Sparse (3 to 25 percent),

Medium Low (26 to 50 percent),

Medium (51 to 76 percent),

Medium High (76 to 97 percent),

Very High (greater than 97 percent).

Well Identification	1998	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				R	emarks Regarding	g Results for Give	en Year		
	2000	2001	2000	2000	-007	2000	-005	2010	-011		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
												Wells Installed	Before 1998						
EW-OU1-17-A*	SG; MS	Ν		Ν	Ν				MS	MS								MS#91[ML]; MS#92[S] nearby	MS#90[S]; MS#74[S]
EW-OU1-18-A*	SG; MS	SG; MS		Ν	SG				SG	MS, SG	SG#07[100]; MS#07[1000]			SG#22[75]				SG#35[4]; SG#36[2]	MS#93[S]; SG#48[13]; SG#6[4], SG#52[10]
PZ-OU1-13-A*	MS	MS		MS	Ν				MS	MS	MS#216[100]		MS#46[S]					MS#91[ML]	MS#90[S]; MW#74[S]
PZ-OU1-14-A*	SG; MS	Ν		Ν	MS				MS	MS				MS#49[VS]				MS#91[ML]; MS#92[S] nearby	MS#90[S]; MW#74[S]
PZ-OU1-15-A*	SG; MS	SG; MS		Ν	Ν				MS, SG	MS, SG	SG#07[100]; MS#07[1000]							MS#109[S]; SG#[35]; SG#[38]; SG#[37]	MS#93[S]; SG#48[13]; SG#6[4], SG#52[10]
PZ-OU1-16-A*	SG; MS	SG; MS		Ν	SG				SG	MS, SG	SG#07[100]; MS#07[1000]			SG#22[75]				SG#37[9]; SG#38[3]; SG#39[2]	MS#93[S]; SG#48[13]; SG#6[4], SG#52[10]
MW-BW-10-A*	Ν		Ν	Ν	N				MS	MS, SG	MS#166, 167 & 215 nearby							MS#[78]; MS# [67], MS#[66]	MS#82[S]; SG#9[1]; SG#10[2], SG#11[1]
MW-OU1-01-A*	SG; MS								MS	MS, SG								MS#68[1]; MS#79[S]; MS#80[S]; MS#81[S]	MS#42[1]; SG#53[17]
MW-OU1-02-A*	SG; MS								SG, MS	SG								SG#11[2]; SG#7[3]; SG#8[3]; SG#9[1]; SG#10[4]; MS#111[ML]; SG#12, 54, 55, 56, 57, & 58 nearby	SG#55[10]; SG#15[1]; SG#16[4]; SG#17[1]; SG#14[2]; SG#54[10]; SG#13[2]; SG#56[11]
MW-OU1-03-A*	MS	SG; MS	Ν	Ν	SG; MS				SG, MS	MS, SG	SG#07[100]; MS#07[1000]			SG#21[100]; MS#44[S]				MS#99[S]; SG#34[5]	MS#93[S]; SG#48[13]
MW-OU1-04-A* MW-OU1-05-A*	N MS	N SG	 N	 N	 N				MS MS	N MS	SG#261[25]							MS#70[2]; MS#71[5] MS#69[1]; MS#88[S]	MS#75[S]
MW-OU1-06-A*	SG								MS	MS								MS#82[S]; MS#83[M], MS#84 & 85 across street	MS#102[ML]
MW-OU1-07-A*	Ν	Ν	Ν		Ν				Ν	Ν									
MW-OU1-08-A*	SG	MS							MS	MS	MS#20[100]							MS#103[ML]	MS#40[1]; MS#80[S]
MW-OU1-09-A*	MS	MS	MS						MS, SG	MS, SG	MS#20[100]	MS#82[S]						MS#94[ML]; SG#1-6 along access road	MS#73[S]; MS#100[ML]; MW#45[1]; SG#25[1]; SG#26[3]
MW-OU1-10-A*	MS	Ν	Ν						Ν	Ν									
MW-OU1-11-SVA*	MS	MS			SG, MS				SG, MS	MS, SG	MS#220[1000]; extends far beyond well			SG#20; MS#42				SG#27[3]; SG#28[1]; SG#29[2]; SG#30[2]; SG#40[1]; SG#61[18]; MS#100[S]	MS#98[S]; MS#71[S]; SG#8[2]; SG#7[1]; SG#52[10], SG#6[4]
	•	T		1					ſ		W	ells Installed Befor	e 1998 (Continued	l)					
MW-OU1-12-A*	N											1	1	1	Well dest	royed in 2002	1		
MW-OU1-19-A*	SG; MS	MS		SG; MS					SG, MS	MS, SG	MS#5/[1000]; extends far beyond well		SG#33[375]; MS#45[S]					SG#53[697]; MS#89[S]	MS#76[S]; MS#77[S], MS#95[S]; SG#64[562]
MW-OU1-20-A*	Ν	Ν	MS						MS	Ν	bejona wen	MS#126[VS]						MS#95[S]	
MW-OU1-21-A*	Ν		Ν						MS	MS								MS#102[S]	MS#88[S]
MW-OU1-22-A	N	MS	N	N	N						MS#90[1000]; extends far								
MW-OU1-23-A	MS	N								N	beyond well								
MW-OU1-24-A	MS													Well de	stroyed in 2003; see	e replacement wel	1 MW-24-AR		
MW-OU1-24-AR	MS	Ν	Ν	MS	Ν								MS#59[VS]						
	1	r	1	1					r	1	•	Wells Installed fr	om 1998 - 2001		I		1	1	-
MW-OU1-25-A	MS	N								N									
MW-OU1-26-A	N	N		N	N								M6#70[6]	Me#2c(c)					
MW-OU1-30-A* MW-OU1-32-A*	N N	N N		M5	M5				MS	 MS			M3#79[3]	M3#20[5]				MS#76[1]: MS#101[S]	MS#41[3]: MS#97[S]
MW-OU1-32-A MW-OU1-33-A*	N	N							MS	MS								MS#76[1]; MS#101[S]	MS#41[3]; MS#97[S]
MW-OU1-36-A*	Ν								N	Ν									
MW-OU1-37-A*	Ν	Ν							Ν	Ν									
MW-OU1-38-A*	SG	Ν			N				MS, SG	MS, SG								MS#105[S]; MS#106, 107, & 108, SG#31, 32, & 33 nearby	MS#96[S]; MS#92[S]; SG#2[1]; SG#47[10], SG#3[1]
MW-OU1-39-A*	MS	MS							SG, MS	MS, SG	MS#220[1000]; extends far beyond well							SG#17[5]; SG#18[2]; SG#15[1]; SG#16[4]; MS#98[MD]	MS#98[S]; SG#49[18]

Well Identification	1998	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				1	Remarks Regardin	g Results for Giv	ven Year		
											2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
								•			Well	s Installed from 19	998 - 2001 (Continu	ied)			•		
MW-OU1-39-A west access road	MS	SG, MS							SG, MS	MS, SG	MS#220 [1000]; SG#003 [50]; MS#002 [100]							MS#98[MH]; SG#59[66]; SG#19[1], SG#20[4]; SG#21[2]; SG#22[1]; SG#23[1]; SG#60[41]	MS#98[S]; SG#50[53]; SG#4[4]; SG#51[13]; SG#5[1]
MW-OU1-39-A east access road	MS	MS							MS, SG	MS	MS#220[1000]							MS#98[MH]; SG#24[2]; SG#25[4]; SG#26[1]	MS#98[S]
MW-OU1-40-A	MS	Ν	Ν							Ν									
MW-OU1-44-A*	SG; MS								MS	MS								MS#[87]	MS#101[ML]
MW-OU1-45-A	N		Ν	N	Ν														
MW-OU1-46-A	MS	N	N	N	N	MS	MS	MS							MS#34[VS]	MS#27[M]			
MW-OU1-01-180*	N								N	N									
MW-OU1-02-180*	N								N	N									
MW-OU1-03-180*	N	N	N	N					N	N	XX7 11 X	. 11 1: 2004 4.6		1.0					
MW OUL 4C AD	MC	N	N	N	N	MC	MC		r		wells ins	tailed in 2004 Af	ter the Rare Plan	t Survey	M6#24[V[6]	M6#27DA1			-
MW-OUI-46-AD	MS	N	N	N	N	MS	MS								MS#34[VS]	MS#2/[M]	TD		
EW-OUI-47-A	IN N	IN N	IN N	IN N	IN N		IN								Located in gras	sland east of FOP	NR.		
EW-0U1-48-A	MS	N	N	N	N								1	1	Located in gras	stand east of 1-01	NK.		
EW-001-47-A	N	N	N	N	N														
EW-OU1-53-A	MS	N	N	MS, SG	MS,SG	i							MS#92[S]; SG#21-#25 & 30	SG#24[16]; MS#52[VS]; MS#53 [VS]					
EW-OU1-54-A*	Ν	Ν	MS	N	N				SG, MS	Ν		MS#126[VS]						MS#72[4]; MS#96[S]; MS#97[S]; SG#13 & #14 nearby	
EW-OU1-55-A*	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν				MS	MS								MS#90[S]	MS#78[S]
IW-OU1-01-A*	MS	Ν	Ν	MS, SG	MS				MS	MS			MS#46[S]; and SG#2-6 nearby	MS#50[S]				MS#91[ML]	MS#90[S]
IW-OU1-02-A	N	N		N	Ν														
IW-OU1-05-A*	N	N		MS	MS				MS	MS			MS#49[VS]	MS#46[VS]				MS#86[S]	MS#91[S]
IW-OU1-10-A	N	N		N	N					N				1		1 1 ( ( )			
IW-OUI-13-A*	 N	 N		 N										MC#25[VC]	Located in gras	sland east of FOP	NR.	MS#104[S]	MC#91[C]
IW-OU1-24-A*	N	MS, SG	N	N	N				MS	MS	MS#135[5];and SG#30 [2]			1vi3#35[v3]				MS#104[S] MS#73[4]; MS#74[4]; MS#75[4]	MS#86[S]
MW-OU1-51-A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				56//50 [2]							his#75[4]	
PZ-OU1-49-A1	MS	N	N	N	N														
		<u>.</u>									Wells	Installed in 2004	in Area Not Surv	veyed	•		-		-
MW-OU1-50-A	MS		MS	N	MS	MS	MS					MS#21[MD]		MS#61[ML]	MS#49[ML]; and MS#50[S]	MW#36[S]; MW#4[2]; MW#5[2]			
MW-OU1-56-A	MS		Ν	MS	Ν							MS#146[1] nearby	MS#76[VS] nearby						
MW-OU1-57-A	MS		Ν	Ν	Ν														
MW-OU1-58-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν														
MW-OU1-59-A	Ν		MS	SG	Ν							MS#153[2]	SG#26[13]						
	1	r	1	1	<b>.</b>		r	-	r —	1		Staging Areas	Used in 2004			1			
Area # 1	MS	Ν	N	SG; MS	MS								SG#7[1 ]; MS#50[S]; and MS#52[S]	MS#9[3]; MS#39[VS]; MS#40[S]					
Area # 2	SG; MS	SG	SG; MS	SG; MS	SG; MS	5					SG#011[10]	SG#045[1]; MS#047[S]	SG#35[110]; SG#37[80]; and MS#54[S]	SG#18[36]; MS#8[1]; MS#36[S]; MS#37[S]					
Area # 3	$SG^1$	Ν	Ν	MS	MS								MS#39[1]; MS#56[VS]; and MS#57[VS]	MS#41[S]					

Well Identification	1998	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Remarks Regarding Results for Given Year								
											2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
											Wells Inst	talled in 2005 Afte	er the Rare Plan	t Survey					
PZ-OU1-10-A1	Ν	Ν		Ν	Ν	1													
PZ-OU1-46-AD2	MS	Ν		Ν	Ν	MS	Ν								MS#4[1]				
						-			HCP	P Wells I	nstalled Along N	orthwest Bounda	ry Road in 2006	Before the Rai	re Plant Survey	-			
EW-OU1-60-A	MS		Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν													
EW-OU1-62-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν														
EW-OU1-63-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν														
EW-OU1-66-A	MS		Ν	Ν	Ν	1													
MW-OU1-61-A	MS		Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν													
MW-OU1-64-A1	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν														
MW-OU1-64-A2	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	1													
MW-OU1-65-A	MS		Ν	Ν	Ν														
MW-OU1-67-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	-													
MW-OU1-68-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	1													
Wells Installed in 2006 After the Rare Plant Survey																			
EW-OU1-71-A	Ν	Ν		Ν	Ν	MS	Ν								MS#42[S]				
EW-OU1-72-A	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν												
IW-OU1-73-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν												
IW-OU1-74-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	MS	MS	MS							MS#60[VS]	MS#39[S]	MS#41[S]; MS#33[ML]			
MW-OU1-82-A	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	MS	MS								MS#51[ML]	MS#10[2]			
MW-OU1-83-A	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	MS	MS								MS#26[1]; and MS#46[S] adjacent	MW#23[2]; MW#24[2]; MW#25[1]			
MW-OU1-84-A	Ν		N	Ν	Ν	MS	MS							MS#58 across the road	MS#37[ML]; and MS#36[ML] across road	MS#28[M]; MS#15[3]			
MW-OU1-85-A	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν												
MW-OU1-86-A	Ν	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν												
MW-OU1-87-A	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν												
MW-OU1-88-A	Ν	Ν		Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν												
									•	•							•	•	

Notes:

No new wells have been installed since 2006.

SG<sup>1</sup> - Given map scale, it is possible that the observed sand gilia population was just outside the northwest boundary of the staging area. #49 - indicates population ID number assigned in corresponding annual rare plant survey; [13] indicates number of plants.

\*This well has been abandoned. -- not surveyed

SG - Sand gilia SG#26[13] - population ID # [number of plants]

EW - extraction well FONR - Fort Ord Natural Reserve

HCCP - Hydraulic Control Pilot Project

VS - very sparse

MW - monitoring well

N - area was surveyed; but no rare plants were detected.

OU1 - operable unit 1

S - sparse

PZ - piezometer

MS - Monterey spineflower

ID - identification

IW - injection well

MD - medium high

ML - medium low

RP/HS - rare plant/habitat survey; population ID# & segment identification refers to Figures A3.1 through A3.3 in Appendix A. MS#49[VS] - population ID # [density category or number of plants]

## Table 3.3Fort Ord Precipitation Data - 1998-2012

Year	October - March Rainfall (inches)
1998	22.36
2004	10.32
2005	21.73
2006	14.18
2007	7.88
2008	9.71
2009	11.89
2010	16.85
2011	17.29
2012	11.3
Average	14.35

#### Notes:

Precipitation information obtained from <a href="http://met.nps.edu/~ldm/renard\_wx/">http://met.nps.edu/~ldm/renard\_wx/</a>

**FIGURES** 

This page was intentionally left blank.





## Figure 1.2 OU-1 FONR TCE Concentration in Groundwater September 2012

#### Legend

	Legenu
$\Phi$	Monitoring Well
¢	Extraction Well
<b>\$</b>	Injection Well
	Piezometer
	Groundwater Flow Direction
(27ft 7.1)	TCE Result from March 2012 Data
MW-0U1-21-A	Well Destroyed
MW-0U1-45-A	Location with September 2012 TCE Concentration at or above ACL (5 µg/L)
MW-0U1-45-A	Well ID
(122ft - 12) —	-September 2012 TCE Result (µg/L)
	(feet above mean sea level)
_	TCE Concentration Contour Based on
<b>—</b> 5 <b>—</b>	September 2012 Data
	Trail/Unimproved Road
× × × ×	Fence
	Treated Water Infiltration Trench
	Property Boundary
	Treatment Plant
	Building
	Former Fire Drill Area
Notes:	
Wells shown with	h an asterisk were not used to develop contour boundaries.
Trichloroethene (	(TCE) concentrations are reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L).
Green font indica Italicized font sh	ttes extraction or injection well. ows pumping suspended in March 2012.
*-Disconnected	extraction well. No longer operable
ACL=Aquifer Cl	eanup Level
J=Estimated valu	le
NA=Depth is not ND=nondetect	applicable–sample is from pumping well
NWTS=Northwe	st Treatment System
West-sry-01/holois	OIII I Ft Ord MSIW/IA Survey Report 2012
(1-02)TCE_GW_Se 1/9/2013 CNL	pt_12.mxd
Source: HGL	
WwW)	
	V HGL



### Figure 1.3 OU-1 Soil Borings, Wells, and Piezometers Constructed Within the FONR

#### Legend

- ✤ Well/Piezometer Drilled Before 2004
- Abandoned Soil Boring
- Well Destroyed September 2011
- 2004 Well/Piezometer
- 2004 Soil Boring (abandoned)
- 2005 Well/Piezometer
- 2005 Soil Boring (abandoned)
- 2006 Well/Piezometer
- MW-OU1-21-A Well or Boring ID
  - Trail/Unimproved Road



. .



Property Boundary

Former Fire Drill Area



Building

Notes: FONR=Fort Ord Natural Reserve OU-1=Operable Unit 1

\\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft\_Ord\\_MSIW\IA\_Survey\_Report\_2012\ (1-03)FONR\_Sampling.mxd 1/9/2013 CNL Source: HGL







### Figure 1.4 **Fort Ord Natural Reserve** (FONR) **OU-1 Remediation System Areas**

	Legend
\$	Well
	Piezometer
EW-OU1-18-A	Original GWETS Extraction Well
IW-OU1-74-A	FONR Injection Well
MW-OU1-46-AD	FONR Extraction Well
EW-OU1-63-A	NWTS Extraction Well
MW-0U1-31-A	Well Destroyed September 2011
	Trail/Unimproved Road
× × × ×	Fence
	Extraction Pipeline
	Treated Water Pipeline
	Treated Water Infiltration Trench
_	Property Boundary
	Treatment Plant
	Inactive Spray Irrigation Area
	Building
Notes: The treated water located in sepa roadway. The s exaggerated for FONR=Fort Ord	and extraction water pipelines are rate trenches within or near the existing separation shown in this figure is r clarity. Natural Reserve
GWETS=Ground NWTS=Northwe OU-1=Operable U	water Extraction and Treatment System st Treatment System Jnit 1
\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft_Or '1-04)GW_Remediation 1/9/2013 CNL Source: HGL	d\_MSIW\IA_Survey_Report_2012\ Sys.mxd
Ĩ	<b>V HGL</b>





### Figure 1.5 Locations of OU-1 Roadway Erosion Repair Areas

Legend

- ✤ Well/Piezometer Drilled Before 2004
- Abandoned Soil Boring
- Well Destroyed September 2011
- 2004 Well/Piezometer
- 2004 Soil Boring (abandoned)
- 2005 Well/Piezometer
- 2005 Soil Boring (abandoned)
- 2006 Well/Piezometer
- MW-OU1-21-A Well or Boring ID
  - Trail/Unimproved Road
- × × × × Fence

 $(\mathbf{A})$ 

- Potential Erosion Repair Area
- Roadway Segment Identifier
- Property Boundary
- Former Fire Drill Area
- Building
- Note: OU-1=Operable Unit 1

\\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft\_Ord\\_MSIW\IA\_Survey\_Report\_2012\ (1-05)Roadway\_Repairs.mxd 1/9/2013 CNL Source: HGL







Before Roadway Erosion Repairs:





\\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft\_Ord\\_MSIW\IA\_Survey\_Report\_2012\ (1-06)Roadway\_Photos.cdr 1/9/2013\_CNL Source: HGL

ĨM



Figure 1.6 OU-1 Roadway Photographs Before and After Erosion Repairs

Segment E





### Figure 1.7 Year 2012 OU-1 Weed Control Segment Locations

	Legend
\$	Well/Piezometer Drilled Before 2004
•	Abandoned Soil Boring
+	Well Destroyed September 2011
•	2004 Well/Piezometer
۲	2004 Soil Boring (abandoned)
•	2005 Well/Piezometer
	2005 Soil Boring (abandoned)
•	2006 Well/Piezometer
W-OU1-21-A	Well or Boring ID
	Weed Control Segment
1	Active Weed Control Segment Identification Number
	Trail/Unimproved Road
× × × ×	Fence
	Treated Water Infiltration Trench
	Property Boundary
	Southern Staging Area in 2004
	Treatment Plant
	Building
	Former Fire Drill Area
Note: OU-1=Operabl	e Unit 1

\\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft\_Ord\\_MSIW\IA\_Survey\_Report\_2012\ (1-07)Weed\_Control\_2012.mxd 1/9/2013 CNL Source: HGL



M





#### Figure 4.1 **OU-1** Construction Activities 2004-2012

#### Legend

Ф Well/Piezometer Drilled Before 2004 Abandoned Soil Boring . Well Destroyed September 2011 -2004 Well/Piezometer 6 2004 Soil Boring (abandoned) Ð 2005 Well/Piezometer 2005 Soil Boring (abandoned) 2006 Well/Piezometer  $\oplus$ Existing Well Modified in 2010 MW-OU1-21-A Well or Boring ID IW-OU1-10-A Pipeline Route **Extraction Pipeline** Infiltration Trench **Treated Water Pipeline** Trail/Unimproved Road Fence  $\times \times \times \rightarrow$ Property Boundary **Treatment Plant** Building Former Fire Drill Area

Notes:

The treated water and extraction water pipelines are located in separate trenches within or near the existing roadway. The separation shown in this figure is exaggerated for clarity.

FONR=Fort Ord Natural Reserve GWETS=Groundwater Extraction and Treatment System NWTS=Northwest Treatment System OU-1=Operable Unit 1

\\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft\_Ord\\_MSIW\IA\_Survey\_Report\_2012\ (4-01)Constr\_Activity.mxd 1/10/2013 CNL Source: HGL







### Figure 4.2 Former Fort Ord OU-1 Wells Destroyed in 2011

#### Legend

\$	Monitoring Well	
\$	Extraction Well	
<b></b>	Injection Well	
	Piezometer	
MW-OU1-88-A	Well ID	
MW-OU1-87-A	Active Well	
EW-OU1-62-A	Inactive Well	
MW2OU11-E0-A	Well Destroyed in Grassland or Northwest Boundary Road	
MW-OU1-02-A	Well Destroyed Within FONR Habitat Area	
	Trail/Unimproved Road	
× × × ×	Fence	
	Treated Water Infiltration Trench	
	Estimated Northwest Treatment System Capture Zone	
←	Groundwater Flow Direction	
	Property Boundary	
	Treatment Plant	
	Building	
	Former Fire Drill Area	
Notes: FONR=Fort Ord Natural Reserve NWTS=Northwest Treatment System OU-1=Operable Unit 1		
(gorshrou ngggis) (ri_Ora_mish (ri_Survey_Report_2012) (4-02) wells_destroyed_2011.mxd 1/10/2013 CNL Source: HGL		







## Figure 4.3 Summary of Rare Plant Survey Results 1998–2005

	Legend
\$	Monitoring Well
•	Northwest Treatment System Extraction Well
	Northwest Treatment System Performance Monitoring Well
•	FONR OU-1 Monitoring Well
•	FONR OU-1 Extraction Well
•	FONR OU-1 Injection Well
	Extraction Pipeline Route
	Infiltration Trench
	Treated Water Pipeline Route
×—×—	Fence
1998 Rare Plant Survey (UC Santa Cruz):	
	Sand Gilia
	Monterey Spineflower
2004 Rare Plant Survey (CH2MHill):	
	Sand Gilia
	Monterey Spineflower
2005 Rare Plant Survey (CH2MHill):	
•	Sand Gilia
•	Monterey Spineflower
	Sand Gilia
	Monterey Spineflower-High Density
	Monterey Spineflower-Medium Density
	Monterey Spineflower-Sparse Density
	Monterey Spineflower-Very Sparse Density
Notes:	
FONR=Fort Ord Natural Reserve NWTS=Northwest Treatment System	
OU-1=Op	erable Unit 1
\\gst-srv-01\hglgis\Ft_Ord\_MSIW\IA_Survey_Report_2012\ (4-03)98-05_Plant_Survey.mxd	
1/9/2013 CNL Source: HGL, CH2MHill, UC Santa Cruz ArrGIS Online Bing Mans Aerial	
AICOIS	onne bing maps nerva
ĬŀŤĬĬ	V HGL



#### 2012 FONR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HABITAT AND RARE PLANT SPECIES SURVEY RESULTS OPERABLE UNIT 1 FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA

Appendix A Results of 2012 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys This page was intentionally left blank.

# **Results of the 2012 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys**

**OU-1, Fort Ord Natural Reserve, California** 

Prepared for HydroGeoLogic Inc.

Prepared By Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc.





Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. 947 Cass St. Suite 5 Monterey, CA 93940 (831) 373-4341 facsimile (831) 373-1417

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

A1.0 Introduction	
A1.1 Survey Objectives	
A1.2 Site Location and Description	
A1.1.1 Sand Gilia A1.1.2 Monterey Spineflower	
A2.0 Rare Plant Survey Methods	
A3.0 Results	
A3.1 Rare Plant Survey Results	
A3.1.1 Sand Gilia	
A3.1.2 Monterey Spineflower	
A4.0 Conclusions	
A4.1 Comparisons	
A5.1 DD&A Reference Site	
A5.2 FONR-OU1 (Well Subset Comparison)	
A5.3 FONR-OU1 (All Well Locations Comparison)	
A5.4 Population Sustainability	
A5.0 References	

#### **List of Figures**

Figure A1.1 Project Vicinity Map
Figure A1.2 DD&A Reference Site Map
Figure A1.3 2011 FONR-OU1 Wells Planned for Dismantling
Figure A1.4 2012 FONR-OU1 IW-OU1-10-A Survey Area
Figure A3.1 2012 Rare Plant Survey Results – DD&A Reference Area
Figure A3.2 2012 Rare Plant Survey Results North FONR-OU1
Figure A3.3 2012 Rare Plant Survey Results South FONR-OU1

#### **List of Tables**

**Table A3.1** Sand Gilia Populations Identified During 2012 Survey**Table A3.2** Monterey Spineflower Populations Identified During 2012 Survey

#### Acronym List

California Department of Fish and Game
California Natural Diversity Database
Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc.
Fire Drill Area
Fort Ord Natural Reserve
square feet
geographic information system
global positioning system
groundwater extraction and treatment system
HydroGeoLogic, Inc.
Habitat Management Plan
operable unit
trichloroethene
University of California Natural Reserve System
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
volatile organic compound

## A1.0 Introduction

HydroGeoLogic, Inc. (HGL) is executing a groundwater remediation project at Operable Unit (OU)-1 at the former Fort Ord U.S. Army Base located in Monterey County, California (Figure A1.1). This work was awarded to HGL in December 2003 by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)-Sacramento District under Contract Number DACA45-03-D-0029. Denise Duffy & Associates (DD&A) performed the work described herein under subcontract to HGL.

Fort Ord was established in 1917 as a military training base for infantry troops. In January 1991, the Secretary of Defense announced the downsizing/closure of the base. In August 1994, portions of the property were transferred to the University of California and the Fort Ord Natural Reserve (FONR) was established in June 1996. The former Fort Ord is located near Monterey Bay approximately 80 miles south of San Francisco. The base consists of approximately 28,000 acres near the cities of Seaside, Sand City, Monterey, Del Rey Oaks, and Marina. Monterey Bay marks the western boundary, Toro Regional Park borders the base to the southeast, and land use to the east is primarily agricultural.

Activities conducted at the former Fort Ord Fritzsche Army Airfield Fire Drill Area (FDA) (the source area for OU1 contaminants) between 1962 and 1985 resulted in the release of contaminants to soils and groundwater. Although 10 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were identified as contaminants of concern in groundwater underlying OU1, trichloroethene (TCE) is the contaminant that was detected at the highest concentrations and across the greatest extent of the affected aquifer. A groundwater extraction and treatment system (GWETS) was constructed in 1988 to remediate TCE and other groundwater contaminants.

A key factor that affected the design and implementation of the groundwater cleanup is the fact that the groundwater plume lies beneath a part of the University of California Natural Reserve System (UCNRS) designated as the FONR. The FONR area potentially impacted by the construction of OU1 remediation facilities is approximately 130 acres. Rare plant surveys are required by the Habitat Management Plan (U.S. Army, 1997) (HMP) in areas that are disturbed during construction activities associated with remediation efforts. Project activities undertaken to achieve the OU1 cleanup must protect and maintain the special-status species found within the FONR, specifically two federally listed plant species: Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens* var. *pungens*) and sand gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora* ssp. *arenaria*). As part of the current remediation project, rare plant surveys were conducted in 2012 to meet the overall objective of protecting these two special status plant species in areas affected by construction activities. This report details the surveys completed in April 2012.

#### A1.1 Survey Objectives

The objectives of the 2012 rare plant surveys were to:
- 1. Map Monterey spineflower and sand gilia at a DD&A reference site southeast of the FONR property;
- 2. Map Monterey spineflower and sand gilia at well locations within the sensitive habitat portions of the FONR where existing wells were dismantled and destroyed in late 2011; and
- 3. Map Monterey spineflower and sand gilia in areas impacted by construction activities performed in 2010 to convert existing monitoring well IW-OU1-10-A into an extraction well and connect it to the treatment plant.

The DD&A reference site location is shown on Figure A1.2. The well locations that were dismantled and destroyed in 2011 within the FONR sensitive habitat area and then surveyed for Monterey spineflower and sand gilia in 2012 are shown on Figure A1.3. The area impacted by the IW-OU1-10-A construction activities in 2010 and then surveyed in 2012 is shown on Figure A1.4.

### A1.2 Site Location and Description

The dominant habitats in the area surrounding the well locations include coast live oak woodland, maritime chaparral, coastal scrub, disturbed/developed land, and annual grassland. Several special-status plant and wildlife species occur within the FONR, including sand gilia and Monterey spineflower. The northern and eastern boundary of OU1 is adjacent to a large expanse of non-native grassland. Transmission of non-native grass species into OU1 is accelerated by the prevailing southern winds, which blow seeds into the OU1 area (Fusari, 2004). Non-native grasses and weedy forbs are already present throughout much of the OU1 area. The spread of non-native, invasive species into newly disturbed areas might result in population declines of Monterey spineflower and, especially, sand gilia, which is less tolerant of competing plant cover than Monterey spineflower.

Coast live oak woodland is the dominant habitat in the reference area. Grassland and coast live oak woodland is adjacent to the reference site on the northwestern boundary. All other sides of the reference area are bordered by paved roadways (Reservation Road, MBEST Drive, and University Drive). Non-native grasses and weedy forbs are present throughout much of the reference area.

### A1.1.1 Sand Gilia

Sand gilia is a small annual in the phlox family (*Polemoniaceae*). Plants range in height from two to six inches with a small, basal rosette of leaves. The lower branches of the stem are generally densely glandular. Plants typically bloom from April through June and have funnel-shaped flowers with narrow, purple to pinkish petal lobes and a purple throat. This species occurs in open sandy soils in dune scrub, coastal sage scrub, and maritime chaparral habitats. Sand gilia is endemic to Monterey Bay and the peninsular dune complexes. A search of the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) revealed that there are 28 occurrences within Monterey County, including the occurrences at Fort Ord (CDFG, 2012). It is likely that some of these occurrences are no longer present and the exact number of extant (still in existence) occurrences are unknown.

#### A1.1.2 Monterey Spineflower

Monterey spineflower is a small, prostrate annual in the buckwheat family (*Polygonaceae*) that blooms from April to June. The white to rose floral tube of Monterey spineflower distinguishes it from the more common, but closely related diffuse spineflower (*Chorizanthe diffusa*), which has a lemon-yellow floral tube. This species typically occurs on open sandy or gravelly soils in coastal dune, coastal scrub, and maritime chaparral habitats. There are 24 records of Monterey spineflower within Monterey County in the CNDDB (CDFG, 2012); however, it is not known how many of these are extant.









### A2.0 Rare Plant Survey Methods

The survey areas consisted of the DD&A reference site outlined in Figure A1.2 and the OU1 FONR well locations shown on Figures A1.3 and A1.4. These areas were completely surveyed for the rare plants (i.e., Monterey spineflower and sand gilia) during three survey efforts conducted on April 16, 17, and 18, 2012.

Mapping of rare plant species was done using a Trimble Pathfinder ProXH GPS unit. When either rare plant was identified, the survey in that area was extended to the boundary of the population encountered. Large areas of Monterey spineflower and sand gilia were mapped as polygons, with attributes to identify number of individuals or percent absolute cover. Smaller groups and individuals were mapped as points with attributes to identify the number of individuals at each location.

Individual counts were made for all sand gilia populations whether they were mapped using points (population  $\leq 5$ ) or polygons (population  $\geq 6$ ). However, Monterey spineflower were only counted as individuals when groups of five or less were mapped. Monterey spineflower populations consisting of greater than five individuals were mapped as polygons and characterized according to the percent of cover. The categories used were:

- Very Sparse (corresponding to an absolute cover of less than 3 percent),
- Sparse (3-25 percent absolute cover),
- Medium Low (26-50 percent absolute cover),
- Medium (51-75 percent absolute cover),
- Medium High (76-97 percent absolute cover), and
- Very High (>97-100 percent absolute cover).

Locations were mapped using GPS units and data defining the population boundaries and/or point location(s) were exported to shapefile format. Shapefiles were imported for use in the Geographic Information System (GIS) ESRI ArcGIS and overlaid on high resolution aerial photography/satellite imagery. The populations identified for each species are shown on Figures A3.1, A3.2, and A3.3 and discussed below.







### A3.0 Results

#### A3.1 Rare Plant Survey Results

#### A3.1.1 Sand Gilia

Sand gilia was observed and mapped at the DD&A reference site and the OU1 FONR well locations. In all, 60 populations (38 points and 22 polygons) of sand gilia were mapped within the DD&A reference site and OU1 FONR well locations. The discrete populations are listed in Table A3.1 and shown on Figures A3.1, A3.2, and A3.3. A total of 1,052 individual plants were mapped at the 60 populations.

#### A3.1.2 Monterey Spineflower

A total of 43 populations (eight points and 35 polygons) of Monterey spineflower were mapped at the DD&A reference site and the OU1 FONR well locations. The discrete populations are listed in Table A3.2 and shown on Figures A3.1, A3.2, and A3.3. Population size estimates for Monterey spineflower are not as easily quantified as the sand gilia populations, and, therefore, individual Monterey spineflower plants were not counted within the GIS polygons. As noted previously, populations of Monterey spineflower were categorized as a percentage of cover based on visual estimation. Of the 35 populations of Monterey spineflower that were mapped as polygons, five populations were Medium Low (26-50 percent cover) and 30 populations were identified as Sparse (3-25 percent cover).

Population #	Number of Individuals	<b>GIS Feature Type</b>	Survey Date	Figure Number
1	3	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
2	1	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
3	1	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
4	4	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
5	1	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
6	4	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
7	1	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
8	2	Point	4/16/2012	A3.2
9	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
10	2	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
11	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
12	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
13	2	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
14	2	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
15	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
16	4	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
17	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
18	3	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
19	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
20	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
21	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
22	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.3
23	4	Point	4/17/2012	A3.2
24	2	Point	4/17/2012	A3.2
25	1	Point	4/17/2012	A3.2
26	3	Point	4/17/2012	A3.2
27	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
28	4	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
29	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
30	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
31	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
32	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
33	2	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
34	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
35	1	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
36	2	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
37	4	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
38	2	Point	4/18/2012	A3.1
47	10	Polygon	4/16/2012	A3.2
48	13	Polygon	4/16/2012	A3.2
49	18	Polygon	4/16/2012	A3.2
50	53	Polygon	4/16/2012	A3.2
51	13	Polygon	4/16/2012	A3.2
52	10	Polygon	4/16/2012	A3.2

 Table A3.1 Sand Gilia Populations Identified During 2012 Survey

53	17	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
54	10	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
55	10	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
56	11	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
57	18	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
58	89	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
59	18	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
60	52	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
61	14	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
62	8	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.3
63	7	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.2
64	562	Polygon	4/17/2012	A3.2
65	7	Polygon	4/18/2012	A3.1
66	27	Polygon	4/18/2012	A3.1
67	6	Polygon	4/18/2012	A3.1
68	9	Polygon	4/18/2012	A3.1

Population #	Number of Individuals or Percent Cover	Cover Class	Survey Date	Figure Number
39	2	N/A	4/16/2012	A3.2
40	1	N/A	4/16/2012	A3.2
41	3	N/A	4/16/2012	A3.2
42	1	N/A	4/17/2012	A3.3
43	3	N/A	4/17/2012	A3.3
44	1	N/A	4/17/2012	A3.3
45	1	N/A	4/17/2012	A3.2
46	4	N/A	4/18/2012	A3.1
69	5%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
70	5%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
71	5%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
72	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.3
73	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
74	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
75	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
76	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
77	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
78	5%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
79	5%	Sparse	4/18/2012	A3.1
80	10%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
81	10%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
82	10%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.3
83	10%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.3
84	10%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.3
85	10%	Sparse	4/18/2012	A3.1
86	15%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
87	15%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
88	15%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
89	15%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
90	15%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
91	15%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
92	20%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
93	20%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
94	20%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
95	20%	Sparse	4/17/2012	A3.2
96	25%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
97	25%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
98	25%	Sparse	4/16/2012	A3.2
99	30%	Medium Low	4/16/2012	A3.2
100	30%	Medium Low	4/17/2012	A3.2
101	30%	Medium Low	4/17/2012	A3.3
102	35%	Medium Low	4/17/2012	A3.3
103	40%	Medium Low	4/17/2012	A3.3

**Table A3.2** Monterey Spineflower Populations Identified During 2012 Survey

Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. | A3.0 Results 17

### A4.0 Conclusions

### A4.1 Comparisons

As required by the HMP, surveys are conducted for three years after the disturbance occurs in areas that are disturbed during the remediation effort. This section compares the results of the 2012 rare plant survey within the DD&A reference area and the OU1 FONR property with previous surveys in the same areas. Many of the well locations mapped during 2012 were constructed during or before the year 2000 and before the survey schedule in the HMP was implemented. As a result of this time lapse, the comparison section of the 2011 OU1 FONR rare plant report was limited to comparisons between a subset of the well locations that were surveyed in 2007. To remain consistent, the 2012 rare plant comparison analysis will compare the same well location subset used to compare the 2007 and 2011 rare plant surveys with the survey data recorded during the 2012 rare plant survey. Additionally, this rare plant survey for all wells surveyed within OU1 FONR. The reference area was not surveyed in 2007 and, therefore, the comparisons within the reference area are restricted to the surveys conducted in 2011 and 2012.

The well locations included in the 2007 rare plant surveys are listed below. All of these wells were installed during 2004 as part of HGL's initial construction effort.

EW-OU1-54-A	IW-OU1-24-A	IW-OU1-01-A
IW-OU1-25-A	EW-OU1-55-A	IW-OU1-05-A

The 2007 rare plant survey also included roadways and staging areas adjacent to the well locations listed below. These wells were installed between 1986 and 1999.

EW-OU1-17-A	PZ-OU1-13-A	PZ-OU1-14-A
EW-OU1-18-A	PZ-OU1-15-A	PZ-OU1-16-A
MW-OU1-11-SVA	MW-OU1-03-A	MW-OU1-38-A
MW-OU1-07-A	MW-BW-10-A	MW-OU1-05-A

The preceding list of well locations comprises the OU1 FONR well location subset used to compare rare plant surveys from 2007, 2011, and 2012

#### A5.1 DD&A Reference Site

In 2011, 16 populations of sand gilia (four polygons and 12 points) were mapped within the DD&A reference area. A total of 318 individual plants were mapped at the 16 populations. In 2012, 16 populations with a total of 70 individual sand gilia plants (four polygons and 12 points) were mapped within the DD&A reference area. The sand gilia polygons covered approximately 1,409.5 square feet ( $ft^2$ ) in 2011, and approximately 209.6  $ft^2$  in 2012, a decrease of 1,199.9  $ft^2$ .

The most significant change in sand gilia cover was located in the middle of the reference area. Sand gilia observed during the 2012 survey effort was substantially less when compared to the 2011 survey effort. The reference area is located on property that is relatively undisturbed by anthropogenic activities. Sand gilia fluctuate in a given year because of natural variation in rainfall, temperature, and other factors. Given the low numbers found at this undisturbed reference site, it is likely that the environmental factors necessary to yield abundant populations of sand gilia were not ideal in 2012.

One population (Sparse polygon) of Monterey spineflower was mapped at the DD&A reference site in 2011. Three Monterey spineflower populations (two Sparse polygons and one point) were mapped within the DD&A reference area in 2012. The Monterey spineflower polygons covered approximately 2,865.4 ft<sup>2</sup> in 2011 and approximately 1,493.5 ft<sup>2</sup> in 2012. This difference of 1,371.9 ft<sup>2</sup> is substantially more than the difference between 2010 and 2011 (19.3 ft<sup>2</sup>). As mentioned above for sand gilia, there are several environmental factors that are responsible for the amount of Monterey spineflower that blooms in a given year. The reference site is relatively undisturbed and, therefore, it is likely that the environmental factors necessary to yield abundant populations of Monterey spineflower were not ideal in 2012.

#### A5.2 OU1 FONR (Well Subset Comparison)

As described in the methods section, some populations of Monterey spineflower and sand gilia extend beyond the immediate vicinity of a well and are recorded as populations residing outside of the OU1 FONR well locations. To remain consistent, this analysis includes only polygons or points that are within approximately 30 feet of the well locations. At the subset of 18 well locations described above, seven polygons of Monterey spineflower (all Sparse cover class) totaling 3,352.3 ft<sup>2</sup> were mapped during the 2007 rare plant surveys. No Monterey spineflower points were recorded within approximately 30 feet of the 18 surveyed well locations in 2007. During the 2011 survey effort, 13 polygons of Monterey spineflower (one Medium Low and 12 Sparse cover class), totaling 6,948.1 ft<sup>2</sup>, were mapped. Also recorded were five point locations of Monterey spineflower, totaling 17 individuals. In 2012, 10 polygons of Monterey spineflower were mapped in the same survey areas (all Sparse cover class) totaling 6,401.3  $\text{ft}^2$ —a decrease of 546.8  $\text{ft}^2$  when compared to 2011, however an increase of 3,049 ft<sup>2</sup> when compared to 2007. No point locations of Monterey spineflower were recorded. Monterey spineflower was found at 12 of the 18 well locations surveyed in 2007, 17 of the 18 well locations surveyed in 2011 and at 12 of the 18 well locations in 2012.

At the 18 well locations described above, three polygons of sand gilia totaling 559.6  $\text{ft}^2$  (212 individuals) were recorded during the 2007 rare plant surveys. Also recorded were five point locations of sand gilia, totaling nine individual plants. During the 2011 rare plant surveys at the locations described above, one polygon of sand gilia totaling, 30.9  $\text{ft}^2$  (18 individuals) was recorded. Also recorded were 13 point locations of sand gilia totaling 45 individual plants. In 2012, two polygons of sand gilia totaling 50.3  $\text{ft}^2$  (23

individuals) were recorded —an increase of 19.4  $ft^2$  when compared to 2011 and a decrease of 509.3  $ft^2$  when compared to 2007. Additionally, five points of sand gilia totaling 10 individuals were mapped in the same survey areas in 2012. Sand gilia was found at six of the 18 well locations during the 2007 survey, six of the 18 well locations during the 2011 survey, and in 2012, sand gilia was detected at four of the 18 well locations.

### A5.3 OU1 FONR (All Well Locations Comparison)

The results for Monterey spineflower for all well locations on the OU1 FONR property surveyed during the 2011 and 2012 survey efforts showed:

- In 2011, 33 polygons of Monterey spineflower (one Medium-High, one Medium, four Medium Low and 27 Sparse cover class) totaling 29,746.4 ft<sup>2</sup> were mapped. In addition, 11 Monterey spineflower points were mapped totaling 33 plants.
- In 2012, 33 polygons of Monterey spineflower were mapped (five Medium Low and 28 Sparse cover class) totaling 12,787.2 ft<sup>2</sup>—a decrease of 16,959.2 ft<sup>2</sup>. Seven point locations of Monterey spineflower totaling 12 individuals also were recorded.
- Monterey spineflower was found at 31 of the 40 well locations surveyed in 2011 and at 21 of the 40 well locations in 2012.

The results for sand gilia for all well locations on the OU1 FONR property surveyed during the 2011 and 2012 survey efforts showed:

- In 2011, nine polygons of sand gilia totaling 7,463.3 ft<sup>2</sup> (1140 individuals) were recorded during the 2011 rare plant surveys. In addition, 40 points of sand gilia, totaling 122 individual plants were recorded.
- In 2012, 18 polygons of sand gilia totaling 5,720.9 ft<sup>2</sup> (933 individuals) and 26 points of sand gilia totaling 49 individuals were mapped. The total area of sand gilia polygons decreased 1,742.4 ft<sup>2</sup> from 2011 to 2012. Total individual counts of sand gilia decreased from 1262 in 2011 to 982 in 2012, a difference of 280 sand gilia individuals.
- Sand gilia was found at 9 of the 40 well locations during both surveys.
- During 2011 and 2012 sand gilia was found at the following six well locations: MW-OU1-09-A, MW-OU1-19-A, MW-OU1-39-A, EW-OU1-18-A, MW-OU1-38-A and MW-OU1-02-A
- In 2011, sand gilia was also found at these three well locations:

MW-OU1-54-A, PZ-OU1-15-A and PZ-OU1-16-A

• In 2012, sand gilia was also found at these three well locations: MW-OU1-06-A, MW-OU1-01-A and MW-OU1-10-A

### A5.4 Population Sustainability

More sand gilia populations were recorded than Monterey spineflower populations (60 locations compared to 43 locations of Monterey spineflower) during the 2012 survey effort. DD&A conducted rare plant surveys from 2006-2012 within the OU1 FONR area,

and the 2012 rare plant survey was the second year in which sand gilia populations outnumbered Monterey spineflower populations.

Sand gilia at the OU1 FONR well locations have historically fluctuated because of natural variation in rainfall, temperature, and other factors. An example of this fluctuation at OU1 FONR was observed during the 2006 survey effort. The 2006 survey found 40 sand gilia plants in five areas at the west end of Survey Site 6; an area north of the well locations surveyed in 2012. Based on their presence in 2006, HGL avoided activity in this area and re-located the well that was originally proposed at this location approximately 180 feet to the east. However, none of the populations observed in 2006 were found in subsequent years. The annual survey data at this location illustrates population fluctuations in an area that was not impacted by human disturbance.

Monterey spineflower populations are relatively abundant and seem to be less affected by the same environmental factors or constraints as sand gilia. Previous rare plant surveys conducted by DD&A indicate that populations of Monterey spineflower were often observed in areas with sparse to moderately abundant non-native annual grass cover. These observations suggest that this species may be somewhat more tolerant of competing annual grass cover than sand gilia.

The survey data shows that both Monterey spineflower and sand gilia within the surveyed portion of the OU1 FONR survived the earlier construction efforts.

### **A5.0 References**

- California Natural Diversity Database (CNNDB), 2012 Biogeographic Data Branch, Monterey County RareFind Report. Department of Fish and Game.
- Fusari, Margret, Ph.D., 2004. Director, University of California Santa Cruz Natural Reserves. Telephone conversations and e-mail correspondence with Amy Hiss, Gary Santolo, and Roy Evans regarding the federally listed species and noxious weeds. June, 2004.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District. 1997. Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan for Former Fort Ord, California. April 1997. Sacramento, CA.

#### 2012 FONR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND HABITAT AND RARE PLANT SPECIES SURVEY RESULTS OPERABLE UNIT 1 FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA

Appendix B Report on Weed Control Segment Treatments Spring 2012 This page was intentionally left blank.

# OPERABLE UNIT 1 (OU-1) 2012 WEED CONTROL SEGMENT TREATMENT REPORT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - FORT ORD NATURAL RESERVE SPRING 2012

Prepared for:

HydroGeoLogic, Inc. 14142 Denver West Parkway, Suite 225 Lakewood, CO 80401

Prepared by:

UCSC Natural Reserves C/O Environmental Studies 1156 High Street Santa Cruz, CA 95064

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	
Methods	
Results	4
Discussion	4

### TABLES

Table 1.	Summary of Weed Control Segment (WCS) treatments, spring 2012
Table 2.	Invasive species treated within the 24 Weed Control Segments (WCS), spring 20128
Table 3.	Summary Weed Control Segment (WCS) rare plant surveys, spring 20129

#### ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 Treatment Diagrams
- Attachment 2 Rare Plant Survey Data
- Attachment 3 Rare Plant Survey Diagrams
- Attachment 4 Photo Log
- Attachment 5 Photographs (compact disc)

### Introduction

Weed control efforts continued on HydroGeoLogic, Inc. (HGL) work sites within the Operable Unit 1 (OU-1) portion of the Fort Ord Natural Reserve (FONR) in 2012. Weed control work was similar to the 2011 efforts and emphasized control of non-native grasses before they were able to establish in habitat disturbed by groundwater clean-up activities. Comprehensive vegetation surveys (e.g. species composition and cover data) of Weed Control Segments (WCS) were not conducted in 2012, which is consistent with the 2008- 2011 weed control efforts. It is our opinion that these comprehensive vegetation surveys are not necessary each year. Rather, vegetation surveys are intended to evaluate success of WCS treatments, which might not be evident within one year. This report summarizes the 2012 weed control efforts, data collection and survey results.

#### **Methods**

WCS treatments began 29 March 2012 and continued through 17 July 2012. Each WCS received 1-3 treatments (weedeater and hand pulling) depending on site-specific phenology, response to treatments, and species composition. One WCS (9B) received three treatments, eight WCS (1A, 5A, 6A, 8A, 9A, 9C, 9D, 13A) were treated twice, and fifteen WCS (2A, 3A, 4A, 7A, 10A, 10B, 11A, 11B, 12A, 12B, 14A, 15A, 16A, 17A, 18A) received only one treatment. Prior to the initial treatment, rare plant surveys were conducted within each WCS. In addition, pre-treatment photos were taken from photo stations within each WCS. After performing a treatment, a WCS treatment record and a WCS treatment diagram were completed. The WCS treatment record includes: treatment date, treatment method(s), species treated, treatment duration, photo stations, and any additional notes about the site or treatment. The WCS treatment diagram includes the extent of the treatment and the species treated within the site. These diagrams, although not drawn to scale, also show the spatial extent, well location, well site/road boundaries, and photo stations/points for each

WCS. After performing the final treatment of the season, post-treatment photos were taken from appropriate photo stations within each WCS.

### Results

The 2012 weed control program significantly reduced the survivorship, abundance, and seed production of target species in areas disturbed by OU-1 cleanup activities. Pre-treatment rare plant surveys (Attachment 2) identified locations of rare plants prior to treatments. Thus, we were able to avoid areas with protected species and ensure they were not negatively impacted by treatments. The results of the rare plant surveys (Table 3) show that sand gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora ssp. arenaria*) was present in 1 WCS (6A) and Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens*) were present in 18 WCS. Treatment details for each WCS are summarized in Tables 1 & 2. Along with this report, we have included the following documentation as attachments:

- treatment diagrams (described above; Attachment 1)
- rare plant survey data (Attachment 2)
- rare plant survey diagrams (Attachment 3)
- detailed photo log (Attachment 4)
- pre- & post treatment photos (Attachment 5)
  - Powerpoint file with photos formatted for printing
  - Original digital photo files (.jpgs) included on compact disc)

#### Discussion

Early spring implementation enabled us to effectively utilize mechanical methods to control non-native annual grasses and forbs. Both mechanical and hand control methods were utilized making the weed control efforts more effective, broad scale and sensitive to rare species habitat.

Multiple treatments were focused on high priority sites, with prioritization based on habitat type, rare plant presence, and weed species composition. Pre-treatment rare plant surveys identified 10  $m^2$  of sand gilia occupied habitat and 888  $m^2$  of Monterey spineflower occupied habitat. These pretreatment surveys are essential to ensure mechanical weed treatments do not have a negative impact on protected species. Because weed control efforts were initiated at the appropriate time, we were able reduce seed production of a significant portion of non-native annual grasses in locations where control was critical (i.e. within or adjacent to chaparral and scrub habitat). The continued removal of invasive forbs resulted in a reduction of thousands of invasive weeds from the well sites that may have otherwise expanded their distribution into FONR and increased their seed bank in areas disturbed by OU-1 clean-up activities. It is difficult to determine with measureable certainty if the relatively low weed abundance observed this year is a result of annual variation, climate conditions, or effective weed control. However, we are confident the weed abatement efforts are having a positive impact on reducing weed populations on the OU-1 cleanup sites. As a result, our efforts have reduced the number of invasive plants and, very importantly, removed a large portion of the invasive weed seed source for 2013.

WCS	Well ID	# of Treatments	Treatment Method	Species Treated	Rare Plants Present	WCS of High Concern**
1A	NA – Staging Area	2	manual, mechanical	acsp, brdi, brmaru, ersp, hyso, mepo, plco, ruac, trsp, yusp	Y	
2A	NA – Staging Area	1	mechanical	aica, avsp, brmaru, brdi, cema, coma, ersp, mepo trsp	Y	
3A	NA – Staging Area	1	mechanical	brdi, brmaru, ersp, hysp, ruac, trsp, vusp	Ν	
4A	IW-OU1-05-A	1	mechanical	brmaru, vusp	Y	*
5A	IW-OU1-01-A	2	manual, mechanical	aica, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, cema, ersp, hysp, sima, vusp	Y	*
6A	EW-OU1-53-A	2	manual, mechanical	aica, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, coma, ersp, hysp, ruac, sool, vusp	Y	*
7A	EW-OU1-52-A	1	mechanical	anar, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, ersp, sool, vusp	Y	
8A	IW-OU1-10-A, PZ-OU1-10-A2	2	manual, mechanical	aica, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brimax, coma, eher, ersp, hysp, plco, ruac, sool, vusp,	Ν	
9A	MW-OU1-46-A MW-OU1-46-AD PZ-OU1-46-AD2	2	mechanical	aica, anar, brdi, brho, brmaru, coma, ersp, ruac, vusp	Y	
9B	MW-OU1-84-A	3	manual, mechanical	aica, anar, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, coma, cema, ersp, hysp, ruac, yusp,	Y	
9C	MW-OU1-50-A	2	mechanical	aica, anar, avsp, brdi, brmaru, coma, ersp, hysp, ruac, sool, vusp,	Y	*
9D	MW-OU1-51-A	2	mechanical	avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, ersp, hysp, ruac	Y	*
10A	MW-OU1-50-A	1	mechanical	aica, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, ersp, hysp, vusp,	Y	
10B	MW-OU1-59-A	1	mechanical	anar, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, capy, ersp, hosp, hysp, ruac, vusp	Y	
11A	IW-OU1-71-A	1	mechanical	avsp, brdi, brimax, hysp, ersp, mepo, plco, ruac, vusp	Y	
11B	EW-OU1-86-A	1	mechanical	avsp, brdi, brimax, brmaru, ersp, hysp, ruac, vusp	Ν	
12A	EW-OU1-72-A	1	mechanical	brdi, brho, brimax, brmaru, coma, ersp, hysp, vusp,	Ν	

		# of	Treatment		Rare Plants Present	WCS of High
WCS	Well ID	Treatments	Method	Species Treated		Concern**
12B	MW-OU1-85-A	1	mechanical	aica, avsp, brdi, brca, brimax, brmaru, hysp, sool, vusp	Y	
13A	IW-OU1-73-A	2	mechanical	avsp, brdi, brho, brimax, brmaru, ersp, hysp, mepo, ruac, vusp	Y	*
14A	MW-OU1-83-A	1	mechanical	anar, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, ersp, ruac, sool, vusp	Y	*
15A	MW-OU1-82-A	1	mechanical	aica, anar, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, hysp, ersp, vusp	Y	*
16A	SB-OU1-2004-K	1	mechanical	aica, anar, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, capy, coma, hysp, ruac	Y	*
17A	PZ-OU1-02-A IW-OU1-02-A	1	mechanical	aica, avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brmaru, cema, coma, ersp, hysp, plco, ruac, vusp	Ν	
18A	MW-OU1-88A	1	mechanical	avsp, brca, brdi, brho, brimax, brmaru, cema	Ν	

**\*\* WCS of High Concern**– this classification represents a subjective judgment based on a number of factors, including (among others) the number and frequency of treatments, observed response to treatments, and the species composition of the site.

Genus	Species	Code	Common Name
Aira	caryophyllea	aica	slivery hair-grass
Anaglis	arvensis	anar	scarlet pimpernel
Anthriscus	caucalis	anca	bur-chervil
Avena	species	avsp	wild oat species (Note: species not identified – avsp includes both
			Avena barbata and A. fatua)
Briza	maxima	brimax	rattlesnake grass
Bromus	catharticus	brca	prairie grass
Bromus	diandrus	brdi	ripgut grass
Bromus	hordeaceus	brho	soft chess
Bromus	madritensis ssp. rubens	brmaru	red brome
Carpobrotus	edulis	caed	iceplant, hottentot fig
Carduus	pycnocephalis	capy	Italian thistle
Conium	maculatum	coma	poison hemlock
Erodium	species	ersp	Erodium species (Note: species not identified – ersp includes
			Erodium botrys, Erodium brachycarpum, Erodium cicutarium and
			Erodium moschatum)
Ehrharta	Erecta	eher	panic veldtgrass, erect veldtgrass
Hordeum	vulgare	hovu	common barley
Hypochaeris	species	hysp	cats ear species (Note: species not identified – hysp includes both
v .			Hypochaeris glabra and H. radicata)
Medicago	polymorpha	mepo	bur clover
Oxalis	pes-caprae	oxpe	Bermuda buttercup
Plantago	coronopus	plco	cut-leaved plantain
Rumex	acetosella	ruac	sheep sorrel
Silybum	marianum	sima	milk thistle
Sonchus	oleraceus	sool	common sowthistle
Trifolium	species	trsp	clover species (Note: species not identified/need positive
<b>J</b>	1	L. L.	identification – trsp includes field clover ( <i>Trifolium campestre</i> ) and
			woolly clover ( <i>Trifolium tomentosum</i> )
Vulnia	species	vusp	fescue species (Note: species not identified – vusp includes Vulnia
, sup in	SPecies	· ····································	bromoides V myuros var hirsute and V myuros var myuros)
			erence web, the my who by and the barre, and the my who by the my who by

**Table 2.** Invasive species treated within the 22 Weed Control Segments (WCS), spring 2012.

WCS	Well ID	<b>Rare Plant Species Present</b>	# Patches within WCS	Total Occupied Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Patch Density/Coverage & Patch Area Sub-total
			wes	Alca (III )	Tatti Area Sub-totai
1A	NA – Staging Area	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	14	31	2 patches – Sparse / $10 \text{ m}^2$ 12 patches Very Sparse / $21 \text{ m}^2$
2A	NA – Staging Area	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	12	36	1 patch – High / 8 m <sup>2</sup> 2 patches – Medium / 11 m <sup>2</sup> 1 patch – Sparse / 5 m <sup>2</sup> 8 patches Very Sparse / 12 m <sup>2</sup>
4A	IW-OU1-05-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	14	44	2 patches – High / 9 m <sup>2</sup> 2 patches – Medium / 9 m <sup>2</sup> 3 patches – Sparse / 11 m <sup>2</sup> 7 patches Very Sparse / 15 m <sup>2</sup>
5A	IW-OU1-01-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	45	147	1 patch – Very High / 1 m <sup>2</sup> 6 patches – High / 44 m <sup>2</sup> 10 patches – Medium / 41 m <sup>2</sup> 13 patches – Sparse / 31 m <sup>2</sup> 15 patches Very Sparse / 30 m <sup>2</sup>
6A	EW-OU1-53-A	Gilia tenuiflora ssp. arenaria	10	10	6 patches – Low / 6 $m^2$ 4 patches Very Low / 4 $m^2$
		Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	12	67	1 patch – High / 30 m <sup>2</sup> 4 patches Medium / 15 m <sup>2</sup> 2 patches - Sparse / 12 m <sup>2</sup> 5 patches - Very Sparse / 10 m <sup>2</sup>
7A	EW-OU1-52-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	2	2	2 patches - Very Sparse $/ 2 \text{ m}^2$
9A	MW-OU1-46-A MW-OU1-46-AD PZ-OU1-46-AD2	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	22	118	2 patches – High / 41 m <sup>2</sup> 6 patches – Medium / 45 m <sup>2</sup> 7 patches - Sparse / 16 m <sup>2</sup> 7 patches - Very Sparse / 16 m <sup>2</sup>
9B	MW-OU1-84-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	10	35	1 patch – Medium / 9 m <sup>2</sup> 1 patch - Sparse / 2 m <sup>2</sup> 8 patches - Very Sparse / 24 m <sup>2</sup>

**Table 3.** Summary Weed Control Segment (WCS) rare plant surveys, spring 2011.

WCS	Well ID	Rare Plant Species Present	# Patches within WCS	Total Occupied Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Patch Density/Coverage & Patch Area Sub-total
9C	MW-OU1-50-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	15	71	3 patches – Medium / 26 m <sup>2</sup> 4 patches - Sparse / 22 m <sup>2</sup> 8 patches - Very Sparse / 23 m <sup>2</sup>
9D	MW-OU1-51-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	2	27	1 patch - Medium / 12 $m^2$ 1 patch - Sparse / 15 $m^2$
10A	MW-OU1-50-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	17	107	1 patch – Very High / 5 m <sup>2</sup> 3 patches – High / 44 m <sup>2</sup> 6 patches – Medium / 35 m <sup>2</sup> 5 patches – Sparse / 19 m <sup>2</sup> 2 patches Very Sparse / 4 m <sup>2</sup>
10 <b>B</b>	MW-OU1-59-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	16	42	4 patches – Medium / 18 m <sup>2</sup> 4 patches - Sparse / 10 m <sup>2</sup> 8 patches Very Sparse / 14 m <sup>2</sup>
11A	IW-OU1-71-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	5	12	4 patches – Medium / 18 m <sup>2</sup> 4 patches - Sparse / 10 m <sup>2</sup> 2 patches - Very Sparse / 2 m <sup>2</sup>
12B	MW-OU1-85-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	2	8	2 patches - Sparse / $8 \text{ m}^2$
13A	IW-OU1-73-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	1	1	1 patch - Very Sparse $/ 1 \text{ m}^2$
14A	MW-OU1-83-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	16	107	1 patch – Very High / 3 m <sup>2</sup> 3 patches - High / 23 m <sup>2</sup> 6 patches – Medium / 46 m <sup>2</sup> 3 patches - Sparse / 25 m <sup>2</sup> 3 patches - Very Sparse / 10 m <sup>2</sup>
15A	MW-OU1-82-A	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	19	22	1 patch - Sparse / 2 m <sup>2</sup> 18 patches - Very Sparse / 20 m <sup>2</sup>
16A	SB-OU1-2004-K	Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	4	11	1 patch – Medium / 6 m <sup>2</sup> 1 patch - Sparse / 3 m <sup>2</sup> 2 patches - Very Sparse / 2 m <sup>2</sup>

## **Attachment 1**

# **'TREATMENT DIAGRAMS 2012'**

The attached diagrams show the extent of the treatment and the species treated within each Weed Control Segment (WCS) for the 2012 weed control program within the Operable Unit 1 portion of the FONR. These diagrams (not drawn to scale) also show the spatial extent, well location, well site/road boundaries, and photo stations/points for each Weed Control Segment.



14.6





N/A 5/24/12 Date Well ID: Ζ MNB Surveyor WCS Sub Group 000 (m<sup>2</sup>) Total Aprox. Area Legend NA (m<sup>2</sup>) Well Rd. Area # = WCS Sub Group 1A P Photo Station N/A (m2) Well Site Area Photo Point Ð Well Well Road/Site boundary WCS boundary brdl VUSP 215 Restrict fren RARE PLANT SURVEY ( N.A.) 34 ST TREATMENT 40~ Treatment Key Boundary of Treated Area cem bimar Weed Type NOTE: Photo station ID labels (e.g. ps\_01) AC are placed near photo station locations (P). Direction of photo (i.e. photo point) is la-ALMW-DUI-7-A beled with arrow and letter (e.g. P, -->) PS8 Da 建. 1/1 well survey\_ds.xls 4/18/07












3/27/07

well\_site\_diagram.xls

1/1























1 ST TREATMENT







2 NO TRENTMENT

90

· ersp · hysp · avsp · brdi · brea · brho

. prnp

J TUQ.C











well\_site\_diagram.xls







1 ST TREATMENT



1/1



3/27/07













**NOTE:** Photo station ID labels (e.g.  $ps_01$ ) are placed near photo station locations (P). Direction of photo (i.e. photo point) is labeled with arrow and letter (e.g.  $P_a \rightarrow >$ )

3/27/07









1.30

1/1





## **Attachment 2**

# **'RARE PLANT SURVEY DATA 2012'**

The attached spreadsheet (HGL\_WCS\_RarePlant\_2012.xls) contains rare plant survey data from pre-treatment rare plant surveys within each Weed Control Segment (WCS) during the 2012 weed control program within the Operable Unit 1 portion of the FONR.

### sand gilia (Gilia tenuiflora ssp. arenaria) patch count and density class summary pivot table

Count of Patch ID	WCS	
Density	6A	Grand Total
L	6	6
VL	4	4
Grand Total	10	10

## sand gilia (Gilia tenuiflora ssp. arenaria ) patch area (m<sup>2</sup>) and density class summary pivot table

Sum of Area (m2)	WCS	
Density	6A	<b>Grand Total</b>
L	6	6
VL	4	4
Grand Total	10	10

## HGL - WCS Rare Plant Survey Data 2012

Count of Patch ID	WCS																		
Coverage	01A	02A	04A	05A	06A	07A	09A	09B	<b>09C</b>	09D	10A	10B	11A	12B	13A	14A	15A	16A	<b>Grand Total</b>
Н		1	2	6	1		2				3					3			18
М		2	2	10	4		6	1	3	1	6	4	1			6		1	47
S	2	1	3	13	2		7	1	4	1	5	4	2	2		3	1	1	52
VH				1							1					1			3
VS	12	8	7	15	5	2	7	8	8		2	8	2		1	3	18	2	108
Grand Total	14	12	14	45	12	2	22	10	15	2	17	16	5	2	1	16	19	4	228

#### Monterey spineflower (Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens) patch count and cover class summary pivot table

### Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens* ) patch area (m<sup>2</sup>) and cover class summary pivot table

Sum of Area (m2)	WCS																		
Coverage	01A	02A	04A	05A	06A	07A	<b>09A</b>	09B	<b>09C</b>	09D	10A	10B	11A	12B	13A	14A	15A	16A	<b>Grand Total</b>
Н		8	9	44	30		41				44					23			199
М		11	9	41	15		45	9	26	12	35	18	2			46		6	275
S	10	5	11	31	12		16	2	22	15	19	10	8	8		25	2	3	199
VH				1							5					3			9
VS	21	12	15	30	10	2	16	24	23		4	14	2		1	10	20	2	206
Grand Total	31	36	44	147	67	2	118	35	71	27	107	42	12	8	1	107	22	11	888

#### HGL - WCS Rare Plant Survey Data 2012 sand gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora ssp. arenaria*) survey data

MNB = Mackneal Byers	<i>Gilia</i> density:	
	Very High (VH): > 51 / m2	
	High (H): 21-50 / m2	
	Medium (M): 11-20 / m2	
	Low (L) 3-10 / m2	
	Very Low (VL): 1-2 / m2	

Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID	Density	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB180	L	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB182	L	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB183	VL	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB184	L	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB185	VL	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB186	L	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB187	L	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB188	VL	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB189	L	1
5/17/2012	6A	EW-OUI-53-A	MNB	MNB190	VL	1

#### HGL - WCS Rare Plant Survey Data 2012 Monterey spineflower (Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens) survey data

MNB = Mackneal Byers	Chorizanthe Coverage:
	Very High (VH): > 98 % coverage
	High (H): 76-97 % coverage
	Medium (M): 26-75 % coverage
	Sparse (S) 3-25 % coverage
	Very Sparse (VS): 1-2 % coverage

Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID-REV	Coverage	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB219	VS	4
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB220</b>	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB221</b>	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB222	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB223	S	6
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB224	VS	3
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB225	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB226	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB227</b>	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB228	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB229	S	4
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB230	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB231	VS	1
5/23/2012	1A	N/A	MNB	MNB232	VS	5
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB207</b>	S	5
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB208</b>	VS	2
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB209	VS	1
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB210	VS	4
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB211	VS	1
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB212	VS	1
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB213</b>	VS	1
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB214	VS	1
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB215	Μ	6
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	MNB216	VS	1
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB217</b>	М	5
5/23/2012	2A	N/A	MNB	<b>MNB218</b>	Н	8
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB193	VS	1
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB194	VS	1
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB195	М	4
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB196	S	5
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB197	VS	3
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB198	S	3
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB199	М	5
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	<b>MNB200</b>	Н	5
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB201	S	3
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB202	Н	4
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	<b>MNB203</b>	VS	3
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB204	VS	1
5/23/2012	4A	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	MNB204	VS	1
5/23/2012	4 <b>A</b>	IW-OU1-05-A	MNB	<b>MNB204</b>	VS	5
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	<b>MNB001</b>	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB002	S	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB003	VS	1
Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID-REV	Coverage	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
-----------	-----	-------------	----------	---------------	----------	------------------------
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB004	S	3
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB005	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB006	S	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB007	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	<b>MNB008</b>	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB009	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	<b>MNB010</b>	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB011	S	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB012	VS	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	<b>MNB013</b>	М	3
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB014	S	4
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB015	S	2
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB016	М	1
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB017	Н	2
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	<b>MNB018</b>	М	2
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB019	М	2
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB020	S	3
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB021	М	3
4/11/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB022	S	4
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB023	VS	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB024	Η	2
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB025	VS	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB026	S	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB027	VS	8
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB028	M	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB029	S	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB030	М	4
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB031	VS	5
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB032	М	5
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB033	Н	7
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB034	S	2
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB035	VS	5
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB036	VH	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB037	VS	1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB038	S	7
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB039	Ĥ	4
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB040	Н	25
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB041	Н	4
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB042	M	18
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB043	М	2
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB044	VS	- 1
4/12/2012	05A	IW-OU1-01-A	MNB	MNB045	S	- 1
5/16/2012	06A	EW-0U1-53-A	MNB	MNB168	М	1
5/16/2012	06A	EW-0U1-53-A	MNB	MNB169	S	8
5/16/2012	06A	EW-0U1-53-A	MNB	MNB170	Š	4
5/16/2012	06A	EW-0U1-53-A	MNB	MNB171	Ĥ	30
5/16/2012	06A	EW-0U1-53-A	MNB	MNB172	M	8
5/16/2012	06A	EW-OU1-53-A	MNB	MNB173	M	1
5/16/2012	06A	EW-0U1-53-A	MNB	MNB174	VS	1
5/16/2012	064	EW-OU1-53-A	MNR	MNR175	VS	1
5/16/2012	06A	EW-OU1-53-A	MNB	MNB176	VS	3
5/16/2012	06A	EW-OU1-53-A	MNB	MNB177	VS	4

Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID-REV	Coverage	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
5/16/2012	06A	EW-OU1-53-A	MNB	MNB178	M	5
5/16/2012	06A	EW-OU1-53-A	MNB	MNB179	VS	1
5/17/2012	07A	EW-OU1-52A	MNB	MNB191	VS	1
5/17/2012	07A	EW-OU1-52A	MNB	MNB192	VS	1
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB046	VS	1
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB047	S	3
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	<b>MNB048</b>	VS	2
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB049	VS	2
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB050	VS	1
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB051	Н	4
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB052	М	1
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2.				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB053	М	2
		MW-OU1-46AD.				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2.				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB054	S	1
	****	MW-OU1-46AD.				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2.				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB055	М	20
	0,11	MW-OU1-46AD	1111(2)	111 (2000		
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB056	S	2
1/10/2012	0,11	MW-OU1-46AD		111112020	5	2
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB057	М	9
1/10/2012	0,11	MW-OU1-46AD		1111120007		,
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-0111-46-A	MNB	MNB058	н	37
1/10/2012	0711	MW-OU1-46AD			11	57
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNR	MNR059	М	10
1/10/2012	0711	MW-OU1-46AD		1111120007	141	10
		$P7_0U1_46_AD2$				
4/18/2012	094	MW-OU1-46-A	MNR	MNR060	VS	1
7/10/2012	074	MW_OUI_/6AD	101110	1011 10 000	40	L
		P7-OII-46-AD2				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB061	S	2
					-	-

Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID-REV	Coverage	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB062	S	2
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB063	VS	8
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB064	S	5
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB065	Μ	3
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,				
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB066	S	1
		MW-OU1-46AD,				
		PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	<b>.</b>			
4/18/2012	09A	MW-OU1-46-A	MNB	MNB067	VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OU1-84A	MNB	MNB084	VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OU1-84A	MNB	MNB085	VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OU1-84A	MNB	MNB086	VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OU1-84A	MNB	MNB087	VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OU1-84A	MNB	MNB088	VS	17
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OU1-84A	MNB	MNB089	VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OUI-84A	MNB	MNB090	S	2
4/19/2012	09B	MW-UUI-84A	MNB	MNB091	VS VS	1
4/19/2012	09B	MW-OUI-84A	MINB	MNB092	VS NA	1
4/19/2012	090	WW - OUI - 84A		WINBU93	IVI NA	9 17
4/25/2012	090	1W-UU1-/4A		MND005	IVI S	1 /
4/25/2012	090	IW-001-74A	MNR	MNR006	S M	1 / /
4/25/2012	090	IW-001-74A IW-011-74A	MNR	MNR007	IVI VS	+ 3
4/25/2012	090	IW-001-74A	MNR	MNRAOS	۲.5 ۲	2
4/25/2012	090	IW-001-74A	MNR	MNRAGO	S M	2 5
4/25/2012	090	IW-001-74A	MNR	MNR100	۲۷۱ ۲	2
4/25/2012	090	IW-001-74A	MNR	MNR101	VS	2 3
4/25/2012	09C	IW-0U1-74A	MNR	MNR102	VS	<u> </u>
4/25/2012	09C	IW-OU1-74A	MNB	MNB102	VS	2
4/25/2012	09C	IW-OU1-74A	MNB	MNB104	vs	- 1
4/25/2012	09C	IW-OU1-74A	MNB	MNB105	VS	1
4/25/2012	09C	IW-OU1-74A	MNB	MNB106	VS	2
4/25/2012	09C	IW-OU1-74A	MNB	MNB107	VS	7
4/25/2012	09C	IW-OU1-74A	MNB	MNB108	S	1
4/25/2012	09D	MW-OUI-51A	MNB	MNB109	Μ	12
4/25/2012	09D	MW-OUI-51A	MNB	MNB110	S	15
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB112	S	1
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB113	S	6
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB114	S	2
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB115	VS	2
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB116	М	8
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB117	S	3
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB118	Н	6

Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID-REV	Coverage	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB119	Μ	5
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB120	VS	2
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB121	Н	8
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB122	М	5
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB123	М	4
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB124	Н	30
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB125	VH	5
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB126	М	5
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB127	S	7
4/26/2012	10A	MW-OUI-50A	MNB	MNB128	М	8
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB129	S	3
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB130	VS	2
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB131	VS	2
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB132	VS	1
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB133	VS	1
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB134	VS	1
4/26/2012	10 <b>B</b>	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB135	S	1
4/26/2012	10 <b>B</b>	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB136	М	6
4/26/2012	10 <b>B</b>	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB137	VS	1
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB138	S	2
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB139	М	7
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB140	S	4
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB141	VS	4
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB142	М	3
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB143	М	2
4/26/2012	10B	MW-OUI-59A	MNB	MNB144	VS	2
5/24/2012	11A	EW-OU1-71A	MNB	MNB233	S	6
5/24/2012	11A	EW-OU1-71A	MNB	MNB234	VS	1
5/24/2012	11A	EW-OU1-71A	MNB	MNB235	S	2
5/24/2012	11A	EW-OU1-71A	MNB	MNB236	М	2
5/24/2012	11A	EW-OU1-71A	MNB	<b>MNB237</b>	VS	1
5/24/2012	12B	MW-OU1-85A	MNB	MNB238	S	2
5/24/2012	12B	MW-OU1-85A	MNB	MNB239	S	6
4/25/2012	13A	IW-OU1-73A	MNB	MNB111	VS	1
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB068	М	15
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB069	S	15
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB070	VS	1
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB071	М	4
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB072	VS	1
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB073	М	8
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	<b>MNB074</b>	М	5
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB075	Н	12
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB076	М	4
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB077	М	10
4/18/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB078	Н	3
4/19/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB079	S	7
4/19/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB080	Ĥ	8
4/19/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB081	VH	3
4/19/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB082	VS	8
4/19/2012	14A	MW-OU1-83A	MNB	MNB083	S	3
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB149	vs	2
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB150	VS	1

Date	WCS	Well ID	Surveyor	Patch ID-REV	Coverage	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB151	S	2
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB152	VS	2
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB153	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB154	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB155	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB156	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB157	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB158	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB159	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB160	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB161	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB162	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB163	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB164	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB165	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB166	VS	1
5/16/2012	15A	MW-OU1-82A	MNB	MNB167	VS	1
5/16/2012	16A	SB-OU1-2004K	MNB	MNB145	S	3
5/16/2012	16A	SB-OU1-2004K	MNB	MNB146	VS	1
5/16/2012	16A	SB-OU1-2004K	MNB	MNB147	М	6
5/16/2012	16A	SB-OU1-2004K	MNB	MNB148	VS	1

## Attachment 3

# **'RARE PLANT SURVEY DIAGRAMS 2012'**

The attached diagrams show the distribution of rare plant species found during pretreatment surveys within each Weed Control Segment (WCS) during the 2012 weed control program within the Operable Unit 1 portion of the FONR. These diagrams (not drawn to scale) also show the spatial extent, well location, well site/road boundaries, and photo stations/points for each WCS.

### Well Site/Road Diagram

Car less



Well Site/Road Diagram











3/27/07

well\_site\_diagram.xls









	Legend	
IA	# = WCS Sub Group	
P	Photo Station	
Ð	Well	
	Well Road/Site boundary	
	_ WCS boundary	
	Jun 9h	STAR BY

RARE PLANT SURVEY ( CHORIZANTHE)

3/27/07

well\_site\_diagram.xls











CHORIZHNTHE



#### 3/27/07

well\_site\_diagram.xls





(CORIZONTHE)

3/27/07

well\_site\_diagram.xls

ŵ

1/1

13







RARE PLANT SURVEY (CINRIZANTHE)





RARE PLANT SURVEY (CHORIZANTHE)

1/1

3.14

## **Attachment 4**

# 'WCS Photo Log 2012'

The attached spreadsheet (HGL\_WCS\_PhotoLog\_2012.xls) contains a detailed log of all photographs (pre- and post-treatment) taken during the 2012 weed control program within the Operable Unit 1 portion of the FONR.

#### Date= photo treatment date

WCS= Weed Control Segment; HGL defined weed management area with corresponding wells.

Photo filenames:

All filenames given in following format: <fonr\_ps\_##x\_year-mo-date>

where: ps=photo station ; ##= number; x= photo point; year= year four digit format (e.g., 2012);

mo= month (e.g., april=04); date= date (09 instead of 9); Photo dates are not always the same as treatment dates

Date	Photo #	WCS #	Photo Station	Photo Point	Compass Bearing Point	Well/Road #	Notes
3/29/2012	783	01A	82	а	330	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos.
							Bad compass bearing?
3/29/2012	785	01A	83	a	116	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos.
							Bad compass bearing?
3/29/2012	786	01A	83	b	301	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos.
							Bad compass bearing?
3/29/2012	787	01A	84	а	103	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos.
							Bad compass bearing?
3/29/2012	800	02A	85	а	100	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos
3/29/2012	801	02A	85	b	250	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos
3/29/2012	802	02A	86	а	67	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos
3/29/2012	803	02A	86	b	170	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	804	03A	87	а	10	N/A - Staging Area	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	805	04A	2	а	55	IW-OU1-05-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	807	04A	3	а	55	IW-OU1-05-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	808	04A	3	b	236	IW-OU1-05-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	809	05A	7	a	197	IW-OU1-01-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	810	05A	7	b	3	IW-OU1-01-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	811	05A	8	а	11	IW-OU1-01-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	812	05A	8	b	40	IW-OU1-01-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	813	05A	8	с	160	IW-OU1-01-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	814	06A	10	a	177	EW-OU1-53-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	815	06A	11	a	0	EW-OU1-53-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	816	06A	11	b	244	EW-OU1-53-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	817	06A	12	a	268	EW-OU1-53-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	818	06A	12	b	228	EW-OU1-53-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	819	07A	13	а	222	EW-OU1-52-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	820	07A	14	а	245	EW-OU1-52-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	823	08A	17	а	120	PZ-OU1-10-A1,	Pre-Treatment Photos
						IW-OU1-10A	
4/4/2012	824	08A	17	b	350	PZ-OU1-10-A1,	Pre-Treatment Photos
						IW-OU1-10A	
4/4/2012	825	08A	18	а	165	PZ-OU1-10-A1,	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	0.42	00.4	22		27	IW-OU1-10A	
4/5/2012	843	09A	22	а	27	MW-OUI-46AD,	Pre-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
4/5/0010	044	00.4	22	1	116	MW-UUI-46-A	
4/5/2012	844	09A	22	b	116	MW-OUI-46AD,	Pre-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
4/5/0010		00.1	27		000	MW-OU1-46-A	
4/5/2012	839	09A	25	а	223	MW-OUI-46AD,	Pre-Treatment Photos
						PZ-UU1-46-AD2,	
1		1	1	1		MW-UUI-46-A	

### HGL - WCS 2012 - Photo Log

4/5/2012	840	09A	49	а	35	MW-OU1-46AD,	Pre-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
4/5/2012	841	09A	49	b	214	MW-OU1-46AD.	Pre-Treatment Photos
		****		-		PZ-OU1-46-AD2	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
4/5/2012	8/15	09B	22	C	193	MW-0U1-8/A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	846	000	51	0	283	MW OUI 84A	Pro Trootmont Photos
4/5/2012	840 949	090	52	a	205		Dra Traatmant Photos
4/5/2012	040	090	24	a	217	MW OUI 51A	Dra Tractment Photos
4/3/2012	051	09D	24 50	a	217	MW-OUI-JIA	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	851	10A	52 28	C	214	MW-OUI-5IA	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/3/2012	830	10A	20	a	110	MW-OUI-JUA	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	857	10A	29	a 1	109	MW-OUI-50A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	858	10A	29	b	288	MW-OUI-50A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	859	10B	30	a 1	150	MW-OUI-59A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	860	10B	30	b	334	MW-OUI-59A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	828	11A	43	а	218	EW-OU1-71A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	829	11A	44	a	243	EW-OU1-71A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	830	11A	44	b	170	EW-OU1-71A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	831	11A	44	с	18	EW-OU1-71A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	832	11B	45	а	358	MW-OU1-86A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	833	12A	46	а	248	EW-OU1-72A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	834	12A	47	а	52	EW-OU1-72A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	835	12A	47	b	138	EW-OU1-72A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	836	12B	47	с	288	EW-OU1-72A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	838	12B	48	а	106	MW-OU1-85A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	849	13A	52	b	99	IW-OU1-73A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	853	13A	53	а	301	IW-OU1-73A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	842	14A	49	с	297	MW-OU1-83A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	854	14A	50	a	111	MW-OU1-83A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	861	15A	54	a	79	MW-OU1-82A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	862	15A	54	b	258	MW-OU1-82A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	863	15A	55	а	269	MW-OU1-82A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	864	15A	55	b	80	MW-OU1-82A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	865	16A	31	а	180	SB-OU1-2004K	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	866	16A	32	а	150	SB-OU1-2004K	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	867	16A	32	b	357	SB-OU1-2004K	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	868	16A	33	а	152	SB-OU1-2004K	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/5/2012	869	16A	33	b	337	SB-OU1-2004K	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	821	17A	15	а	122	IW-OU1-02-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	822	17A	16	а	142	IW-OU1-02-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	826	18A	41	a	204	MW-OU1-88-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
4/4/2012	823	18A	42	a	22	MW-0U1-88-A	Pre-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1122	01A	82	a	307	N/A - Staging Area	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1122	01A	83	a	116	N/A - Staging Area	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1123	01A	83	u h	301	N/A - Staging Area	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1124	01A	84	9	103	N/A - Staging Area	Post_Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1125	024	85	u a	100	N/A - Staging Area	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1120	02A	85	a b	250	N/A - Staging Area	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1127	02A	86 86	9	67	N/A - Staging Area	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1120	02A	86	a h	240	$N/\Delta$ - Staging Area	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1127	02A	80 97	0	10	N/A Staging Area	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1131	03A	0/	a	55	IWA - Stagnig Area	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1132	04A	2	a	55	IW-001-05-A	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1134	04A	3	a 1	22	1W-001-05-A	Post-Treatment Photos
//17/2012	1135	04A	3	b	236	1W-0U1-05-A	Post-Treatment Photos

### HGL - WCS 2012 - Photo Log

7/17/2012	1136	05A	7	а	197	IW-011-01-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1130	05A	7	h h	3	IW-OU1-01-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1137	054	8	9	11	IW-OU1-01-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1130	05A	8	a b	40	IW OUT 01 A	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1139	05A	0 0	0	160	IW OUT 01 A	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1140	05A	10	C	100	EW OUI 52 A	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1141	00A	10	a	0	EW-001-33-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1142	00A	11	a 1-	244	EW-OUI-33-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1143	06A	11	D	244	EW-OUI-53-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1144	06A	12	a 1	208	EW-001-53-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1145	06A	12	D	228	EW-OUI-53-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1146	0/A	13	a	222	EW-OUI-52-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	114/	0/A	14	a	245	EW-001-52-A	Post-Treatment Photos
//1//2012	1151	08A	1/	а	120	PZ-001-10-A1,	Post-Treatment Photos
<i><b>E</b>/1<b>E</b>/2012</i>	1150	00.4	17	1	250	IW-OUI-IOA	
7/17/2012	1152	08A	17	b	350	PZ-001-10-A1,	Post-Treatment Photos
			10			IW-OUI-IOA	
7/17/2012	1150	08A	18	а	165	PZ-OU1-10-A1,	Post-Treatment Photos
						IW-OU1-10A	
7/17/2012	1170	09A	22	а	27	MW-OU1-46AD,	Post-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
7/17/2012	1171	09A	22	b	116	MW-OU1-46AD,	Post-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
7/17/2012	1166	09A	25	а	223	MW-OU1-46AD,	Post-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
7/17/2012	1167	09A	49	а	35	MW-OU1-46AD,	Post-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
7/17/2012	1168	09A	49	b	214	MW-OU1-46AD,	Post-Treatment Photos
						PZ-OU1-46-AD2,	
						MW-OU1-46-A	
7/17/2012	1172	09A	22	с	193	MW-OU1-84A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1173	09B	51	а	283	MW-OU1-84A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1174	09C	52	a	338	IW-OU1-74A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1176	09D	24	a	217	MW-OU1-51A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1175	09D	52	с	214	MW-OU1-51A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1180	10A	28	а	118	MW-OU1-50A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1181	10A	29	a	109	MW-OU1-50A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1182	10A	29	b	288	MW-OU1-50A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1183	10B	30	a	150	MW-0U1-59A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1184	10B	30	h	334	MW-OU1-59A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1155	10D	43	a	218	EW-OU1-71A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1155	114	44	a	243	EW-OU1-71A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1150	11A	 //	u h	170	FW_OUI 71A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1157	11A 11P	 //	0	19	FW/011171A	Post_Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1150	11D	/15	د ۵	259	MW/ OUI 96A	Post_Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1160	110	45	a	210	FW/ OU1 72A	Post Treatment Distor
7/17/2012	1101	12A 12A	40	a	240 52	EW-001-72A	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1102	12A	47	a h	129	EW-001-72A	Post Treatment Distor
7/17/2012	1103	12A	4/	0	100	EW-OUI-72A	Post Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1104	12A 12D	4/	C	200	EW-UUI-/ZA	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1105	12B	48	a 1	108	INIW-UUI-85A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	11//	13A	52	D	99	1W-UU1-/3A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1178	13A	53	а	301	IW-OUI-73A	Post-Treatment Photos

### HGL - WCS 2012 - Photo Log

7/17/2012	1169	14A	49	с	297	MW-OU1-83A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1179	14A	50	а	111	MW-OU1-83A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1185	15A	54	а	79	MW-OU1-82A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1186	15A	54	b	258	MW-OU1-82A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1187	15A	55	а	269	MW-OU1-82A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1188	15A	55	b	80	MW-OU1-82A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1189	16A	31	а	180	SB-OU1-2004K	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1190	16A	32	а	150	SB-OU1-2004K	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1191	16A	32	b	357	SB-OU1-2004K	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1192	16A	33	а	152	SB-OU1-2004K	Post-Treatment Photos
7/19/2012	1253	16A	33	b	337	SB-OU1-2004K	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1148	17A	15	а	122	IW-OU1-02-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1149	17A	16	а	142	IW-OU1-02-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1153	18A	41	а	204	MW-OU1-88-A	Post-Treatment Photos
7/17/2012	1154	18A	42	а	22	MW-OU1-88-A	Post-Treatment Photos

### **Attachment 5**

## 'HGL\_WCS\_Photos\_2012'

The enclosed compact disc (CD) contains digital photographs taken during the 2012 weed control program performed by FONR staff. Photographs on the CD are organized in the folder 'HGL\_WCS\_Photos\_2012\_jpgs'. This folder contains photo files (.jpg format) with the file name designating the reserve (fonr), the photo station number ('\_ps#'), and the date the photo was taken (\_year-month-day).

e.g. 'fonr\_ps13a\_2012-04-04' 'fonr\_ps13a\_2012-07-17'

Each photo station has at least two photos, one pre-treatment and one post-treatment, designated by date of photo. Refer to photo log (HGL\_WCS\_PhotoLog\_2012.xls) for more detailed information.

In addition to the digital photograph files, the enclosed CD also contains an Adobe PDF file 'HGL\_WCS\_Photos\_2012.pdf' with the pre-treatment and post-treatment photos for each WCS labeled and formatted on a standard letter (8.5" x 11") portrait layout.



WCS# 1A ps82a Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 1A ps82a Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 1A ps83a Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 1A ps83a Staging Area Post-Treatment

17 July 2012



WCS# 1A ps84a Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 1A ps84a Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 2A ps85a Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 2A ps85a Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July3 2012



WCS# 2A ps85b Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 2A ps85b Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 2A ps86a Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 2A ps86a Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July 2012


WCS# 2A ps86b Staging Area Pre-Treatment 29 March 2012



WCS# 2A ps86b Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 3A ps87a Staging Area Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 3A ps87a Staging Area Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#4A ps2a IW-OU1-05-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS#4A ps2a IW-OU1-05-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#4A ps3a IW-OU1-05-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 4A ps3a IW-OU1-05-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#4A ps3b IW-OU1-05-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 4A ps3b IW-OU1-05-A Post-Treatment 17July 2012



WCS# 5A ps7a IW-OU1-01-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 5A ps7a IW-OU1-01-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 5A ps7b IW-OU1-01-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 5A ps7b IW-OU1-01-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 5A ps8a IW-OU1-01-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 5A ps8a IW-OU1-01-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 5A ps8b IW-OU1-01-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 5A ps8b IW-OU1-01-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 5A ps8c IW-OU1-01-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 5A ps8c IW-OU1-01-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 6A ps10a EW-OU1-53-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 6A ps10a EW-OU1-53-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 6A ps11a EW-OU1-53-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 6A ps11a EW-OU1-53-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 6A ps11b EW-OU1-53-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS#6A ps11b EW-OU1-53-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#6A ps12a EW-OU1-53-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 6A ps12a EW-OU1-53-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 6A ps12b EW-OU1-53-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 6A ps12b EW-OU1-53-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 7A ps13a EW-OU1-52-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 7A ps13a EW-OU1-52-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#7A ps14a EW-OU1-52-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 7A ps14a EW-OU1-52-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 8A ps17a IW-OU1-10-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 8A ps17a IW-OU1-10-A Post-Treatment

17 July 2012



WCS# 8A ps17b IW-OU1-10-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS# 8A ps17b IW-OU1-10-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#8A ps18a IW-OU1-10-A Pre-Treatment 4 April 2012



WCS#8A ps18a IW-OU1-10-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9A ps22a MW-OU1-46-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS#9A ps22a MW-OU1-46-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9A ps22b MW-OU1-46-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9A ps22b MW-OU1-46-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9A ps25a MW-OU1-46-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9A ps25a MW-OU1-46-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#9A ps49a MW-OU1-46-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9A ps49a MW-OU1-46-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9A ps49b MW-OU1-46-A Pre-Treatment 6 April 2012



WCS# 9A ps49b MW-OU1-46-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9A ps49c MW-OU1-46-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9A ps49c MW-OU1-46-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9B ps22c MW-OU1-84A Pre-Treatment





WCS#9B ps22c MW-OU1-84A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#9B ps51a MW-OU1-84A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9B ps51a MW-OU1-84A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS#9C ps52a IW-OU1-74A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9C ps52a IW-OU1-74A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9D ps24a MW-OU1-51-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9D ps24a MW-OU1-51-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 9D ps52c MW-OU1-51-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 9D ps52c MW-OU1-51-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 10A ps28a MW-OU1-50-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 10A ps28a MW-OU1-50-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 10A ps29a MW-OU1-50-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 10A ps29a MW-OU1-50-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 10A ps29b MW-OU1-50-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 10A ps29b MW-OU1-50-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 10B ps30a MW-OU1-59-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 10B ps30a MW-OU1-59-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 10B ps30b MW-OU1-59-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 10B ps30b MW-OU1-59-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012


WCS# 11A ps43a EW-OU1-71-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 11A ps43a EW-OU1-71-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 11A ps44a EW-OU1-71-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 11A ps44a EW-OU1-71-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 11A ps44b EW-OU1-71-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 11A ps44b EW-OU1-71-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 11A ps44c EW-OU1-71-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 11A ps44c EW-OU1-71-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 11B ps45a MW-OU1-86-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 11B ps45a MW-OU1-86-A Post-Treatment 17 October 2012



WCS# 12A ps46a EW-OU1-72-A Pre-Treatment

5 April 2012



WCS# 12A ps46a EW-OU1-72-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 12A ps47a EW-OU1-72-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 12A ps47a EW-OU1-72-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 12A ps47b EW-OU1-72-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 12A ps47b EW-OU1-72-A Post-Treatment

17 July 2012



WCS# 12A ps47c EW-OU1-72-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 12A ps47c EW-OU1-72-A Post-Treatment

17 July 2012



WCS# 12B ps48a MW-OU1-85-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 12B ps48a MW-OU1-85-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 13A ps52b IW-OU1-73A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 13A ps52b IW-OU1-73A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 13A ps53a IW-OU1-73A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 13A ps53a IW-OU1-73A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 14A ps49c MW-OU1-83A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 14A ps49c MW-OU1-83A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 14A ps50a MW-OU1-83A Pre-Treatment 6 April 2012



WCS# 14A ps50a MW-OU1-83A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 15A ps54a MW-OU1-82A Pre-Treatment

5 April 2012



WCS# 15A ps54a MW-OU1-82A Post-Treatment

17 July 2012



WCS# 15A ps54b MW-OU1-82A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 15A ps54b MW-OU1-82A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 15A ps55a MW-OU1-82A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 15A ps55a MW-OU1-82A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 15A ps55b MW-OU1-82A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 15A ps55b MW-OU1-82A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 16A ps31a SB-OU1-2004K Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 16A ps31a SB-OU1-2004K Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 16A ps32a SB-OU1-2004K Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 16A ps32a SB-OU1-2004K Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 16A ps32b SB-OU1-2004K Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 16A ps32b SB-OU1-2004K Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 16A ps33a SB-OU1-2004K Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 16A ps33a SB-OU1-2004K Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 16A ps33b SB-OU1-2004K Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 16A ps33b SB-OU1-2004K Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 17A ps15a IW-OU1-02-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 17A ps15a IW-OU1-02-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 17A ps16a IW-OU1-02-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 17A ps16a IW-OU1-02-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 18A ps41a MW-OU1-88-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 18A ps41a MW-OU1-88-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012



WCS# 18A ps42a MW-OU1-88-A Pre-Treatment 5 April 2012



WCS# 18A ps42a MW-OU1-88-A Post-Treatment 17 July 2012