

FORA ESCA REMEDIATION PROGRAM

2015 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report

Covering Activities Conducted from 1 January 2015
through 31 December 2015

Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement
Remediation Program Munitions Response Areas

Former Fort Ord
Monterey County, California

March 18, 2016

Prepared for:

FORT ORD REUSE AUTHORITY

920 2nd Avenue, Suite A
Marina, California 93933



Prepared Under:

Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement

No. W9128F-07-2-01621

and

FORA Remediation Services Agreement (3/30/07)

Document Control Number: 09595-16-057-001

Prepared by:

CONTENTS

Acronyms and Abbreviations	IX
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Purpose and Scope.....	1
1.2 Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement.....	1
2.0 NATURAL RESOURCE MONITORING AND MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS	3
2.1 Habitat Management Plan	3
2.2 Biological Opinions.....	6
3.0 SITE DESCRIPTION.....	7
3.1 Vegetation Types in MRAs.....	7
3.1.1 Central Maritime Chaparral	8
3.1.2 Coast Live Oak Woodland.....	10
3.1.3 Grassland	10
3.1.4 Aquatic Features	11
3.2 Environmental Characteristics of MRAs with Habitat Parcels	11
3.2.1 Future East Garrison MRA Site Description	12
3.2.2 Parker Flats MRA Site Description	13
3.2.3 Interim Action Ranges MRA Site Description	14
4.0 HMP SPECIES.....	15
4.1 HMP Amphibians.....	16
4.2 HMP Reptiles	16
4.3 HMP Birds.....	17
4.4 HMP Mammals	17

4.5 HMP Invertebrates 17

4.6 HMP Shrubs 17

4.7 HMP Herbaceous Perennials..... 19

4.8 HMP Annuals..... 19

5.0 METHODS FOR MUNITIONS INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES AND HABITAT MONITORING 20

5.1 Methods for Munitions Investigation Activities..... 21

5.1.1 Tools and Techniques in Munitions Investigations - Digital Geophysical Mapping and Analog Investigations 21

5.1.2 Methods for Vegetation Cutting 22

5.1.3 Types of Excavations..... 22

5.1.4 Methods for Target Specific Investigation 23

5.1.5 Methods for Small Scale Excavation..... 23

5.1.6 Methods for Interim Action Ranges MRA Design Study..... 23

5.1.7 Methods for FEG MRA Step-outs 23

5.1.8 Methods for Large-Scale Soil Excavation 24

5.1.9 Methods for Other Activities in Support of Munitions Investigation Activities..... 24

5.2 Biological Monitoring Methods 25

5.2.1 Methods for Vegetation Monitoring 25

5.2.1.1 Future East Garrison MRA Vegetation Transect Monitoring..... 26

5.2.1.2 Parker Flats MRA Vegetation Transect Monitoring..... 28

5.2.1.3 Interim Action Ranges MRA Vegetation Transect Monitoring..... 29

5.2.2 Methods for Supplemental Herbaceous Vegetation Monitoring 30

5.2.3 Methods for HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring..... 31

5.2.3.1 Future East Garrison MRA Herbaceous Species Monitoring	32
5.2.3.2 Parker Flats MRA Herbaceous Species Monitoring	33
5.2.3.3 Interim Action Ranges MRA Herbaceous Species Monitoring.....	34
5.2.4 Methods for Documenting Species Diversity	37
5.2.5 Methods for Post-Rainfall CTS Monitoring	38
5.2.6 Methods for Aquatic Feature Monitoring.....	38
5.2.7 Methods for Weed Monitoring and Management.....	38
5.2.8 Methods for Erosion Monitoring and BMPs	39
6.0 BIOLOGICAL MONITORING RESULTS.....	39
6.1 Vegetation Monitoring in MRAs.....	39
6.1.1 Vegetation Monitoring in Future East Garrison MRA	40
6.1.1.1 Vegetation-Cut Areas in the Future East Garrison MRA in Central Maritime Chaparral	40
6.1.1.2 Small-scale Excavation Area in the Future East Garrison MRA in Coast Live Oak Woodland	42
6.1.2 Vegetation Monitoring in Interim Action Ranges MRA	43
6.2 Vegetation Monitoring Summary for Central Maritime Chaparral Transects.....	45
6.3 HMP Species Monitoring in MRAs	48
6.3.1 HMP Species Monitoring in Future East Garrison MRA.....	48
6.3.2 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring in IAR MRA	50
6.3.2.1 Ingress/Egress Routes in IAR MRA.....	51
6.3.2.2 Vegetation-Cut Areas in Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C.....	51
6.3.2.3 Small-scale Excavation Areas in Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C.....	54
6.4 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring Summary.....	56

6.5 Aquatic Feature Monitoring in the Future East Garrison MRA.....	58
7.0 HABITAT RESTORATION IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING IN THE INTERIM ACTION RANGES MRA	59
8.0 MANAGMENT AND MITIGATION ACTIVITIES SUMMARY	59
8.1 Vegetation and HMP Species Protection Measures	59
8.2 Wildlife Relocation	61
8.3 Environmental Awareness Training.....	61
8.4 Weed Management Activities	61
8.5 Erosion Control Monitoring and Mitigation.....	61
9.0 CONCLUSION	62
10.0 REFERENCES	65

TABLES

1-1	Vegetation Monitoring Activities in Habitat Parcels of MRAs 2008-2015
2-1	HMP Species Occurrence in within MRA Habitat Parcels of Munitions Response Areas
3-1	Observed Plant Species in Munitions Response Areas 2008 - 2015
3-2	Observed Wildlife Species in Munitions Response Areas 2008 - 2015
3-3	Future East Garrison MRA Grenade Range: Observed Plant Species in or Around Aquatic Features 2011 - 2015
6-1	Future East Garrison MRA Vegetation Cover in Areas Subject to Vegetation Cutting Conducted in 2011
6-2	Future East Garrison 2015 Cover and Frequency of Herbaceous Species after Vegetation Cutting (12 Quadrats)
6-3	Future East Garrison MRA Vegetation Cover in Areas Subject to Small-scale Excavation Conducted in 2012
6-4	Future East Garrison 2015 Cover and Frequency of Herbaceous Species after Small-scale Excavation (6 Quadrats)
6-5	Future East Garrison 2015 Plant Species Richness and Diversity
6-6	Interim Action Ranges MRA South Range 44 SCA and Central Area NCAs Vegetation Cover in Areas Subject to Vegetation Cutting Conducted in 2011

- 6-7 Interim Action Ranges MRA North Range 44 SCAs Vegetation Cover in Areas Subject to Vegetation Cutting Conducted in 2011
- 6-8 Interim Action Ranges MRA Range 47 Subarea C - Vegetation Cover in Areas Subject to Vegetation Cutting Conducted in 2011
- 6-9 Interim Action Ranges MRA Range 44 SCA and NCAs 2015 Plant Species Richness and Diversity
- 6-10 Interim Action Ranges MRA Range 47 Subarea C 2015 Plant Species Richness and Diversity
- 6-11 Future East Garrison MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Monterey Spineflower after Vegetation Cutting
- 6-12 Future East Garrison MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Sand (Monterey) Gilia after Vegetation Cutting
- 6-13 Future East Garrison MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Seaside Bird's-beak after Vegetation Cutting
- 6-14 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Monterey Spineflower in North Range 44 SCA
- 6-15 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Monterey Spineflower in South Range 44 SCA and Central Area NCAs
- 6-16 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Monterey Spineflower in Range 47 Subarea C
- 6-17 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Sand (Monterey) Gilia in North Range 44 SCA
- 6-18 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Sand (Monterey) Gilia in South Range 44 SCA and Central Area NCAs
- 6-19 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Sand (Monterey) Gilia in Range 47 Subarea C
- 6-20 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Seaside Bird's-beak in North Range 44 SCA
- 6-21 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density Seaside Bird's-beak in South Range 44 SCA and Central Area NCAs
- 6-22 Interim Action Ranges MRA 2015 Total Presence and Density of Coast Wallflower in North Range 44 SCA

FIGURES

1. Former Fort Ord Location Map
2. ESCA RP 2015 Proposed Future Land Use
3. Munitions Response Areas with Habitat Parcels Overview

- a. Future East Garrison MRA
 - b. Parker Flats MRA
 - c. Interim Action Ranges MRA
4. Former Fort Ord Monterey Spineflower Critical Habitat Locations
5. California Tiger Salamander Habitat Buffer Zones
6. Vegetation Monitoring and HMP Herbaceous Survey Locations
 - a. Future East Garrison MRA
 - b. Interim Action Ranges MRA
7. HMP Herbaceous Species in All Habitat Parcels
 - a. Monterey Spineflower
 - b. Monterey Gilia
 - c. Seaside Bird's-beak
 - d. Coast Wallflower
8. HMP Herbaceous Species in the Future East Garrison MRA
 - a. Monterey Spineflower
 - b. Monterey Gilia
 - c. Seaside Bird's-beak
9. HMP Herbaceous Species in the Interim Action Ranges MRA
 - a. Monterey Spineflower
 - b. Monterey Gilia
 - c. Seaside Bird's-beak
 - d. Coast Wallflower
10. Erosion Control BMPs
 - a. Future East Garrison MRA 2013-2015
 - b. Interim Action Ranges MRA 2013-2015
11. Future East Garrison MRA – Mean Shrub Cover after Vegetation Cutting
12. Future East Garrison MRA – Mean Frequency of Shrub Species after Vegetation Cutting
13. Future East Garrison MRA – Mean Shrub Cover after Small-scale Excavation
14. Future East Garrison MRA – Mean Frequency of Shrub Species after Small-scale Excavation
15. Interim Action Ranges MRA North Range 44 SCA – Mean Shrub Cover after Vegetation Cutting
16. Interim Action Ranges MRA North Range 44 SCA – Mean Frequency of Shrub Species after Vegetation Cutting

17. Interim Action Ranges MRA South Range 44 SCA and Central Area NCAs – Mean Shrub Cover after Vegetation Cutting
18. Interim Action Ranges MRA South Range 44 SCA and Central Area NCAs– Mean Frequency of Shrub Species after Vegetation Cutting
19. Interim Action Ranges MRA Range 47 SCA Subarea C – Mean Shrub Cover after Vegetation Cutting
20. Interim Action Ranges MRA Range 47 SCA Subarea C – Mean Frequency of Shrub Species after Vegetation Cutting
21. Native Species Diversity in Future East Garrison MRA and Interim Action Ranges MRA 2010 – 2015
22. HMP Shrub Frequency in Future East Garrison MRA and Interim Action Ranges MRA 2010 - 2015
23. Future East Garrison MRA – Total Number of HMP Herbaceous Species Year 2 – Year 4 after Vegetation Cutting
24. Future East Garrison MRA – Mean Density per Plot of HMP Herbaceous Species Year 2 – Year 4 after Vegetation Cutting.
25. Interim Action Ranges MRA – Total Number of Monterey Spineflower between Years (2013 – 2015)
26. Interim Action Ranges MRA – Total Number of Sand (Monterey) Gilia, Seaside Bird’s-beak, and Coast Wallflower between Years (2013 -2015)
27. Interim Action Ranges MRA – Total Number of Monterey Spineflower Post-activity Year 1 – Year 4 for all Activities
28. Interim Action Ranges MRA – Total Number of Sand (Monterey) Gilia Post-activity Year 1 – Year 4 for all Activities
29. Interim Action Ranges MRA – Total Number of Seaside Bird’s-beak Post-activity Year 1 – Year 4 for all Activities
30. Interim Action Ranges MRA – Total Number of Coast Wallflower Post-activity Year 1 – Year 4 for all Activities

APPENDICES

- A Interim Action Ranges Munitions Response Area Habitat Restoration Monitoring Report
- B Documentation of Approval by USFWS for California Tiger Salamander Handling by ESCA RP Biologists
- C 2015 Aquatic Feature Monitoring in the Future East Garrison Munitions Response Area Grenade Range
- D 2015 Weed Monitoring and Maintenance
- E 2015 Erosion Monitoring and Maintenance

F Distribution List

[this page intentionally left blank]

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AOC	Administrative Order of Consent
Arcadis	Arcadis NA, Inc.
Army	United States Department of the Army
ASP	Ammunition Supply Point
BMP	Best Management Practices
BO	Biological Opinion
BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly CDFG, California Department of Fish and Game)
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
cm	centimeter(s)
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CSUMB	California State University Monterey Bay
CTS	California tiger salamander
dbh	diameter at breast height
DGM	digital geophysical mapping
DTSC	Department of Toxic Substances Control
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESCA	Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement
ESCA RP	Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement Remediation Program
FFA	Federal Facility Agreement
FORA	Fort Ord Reuse Authority
FEG	Future East Garrison
GPS	Global Positioning System
ha	hectare(s)
HMP	Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan for Former Fort Ord, California
HRP	Habitat Restoration Plan
IAR	Interim Action Ranges
km	kilometer(s)
m	meter(s)
MD	munitions debris

MEC	munitions and explosives of concern
MOUT	Military Operations in Urban Terrain
MRA	Munitions Response Area(s)
MRS	Munitions Response Site
msl	mean sea level
NCA	Non-Completed Area
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRMA	Natural Resources Management Area
QB	Qualified Biologist
ROD	Record of Decision
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SCA	Special Case Area
SQB	Senior Qualified Biologist
SUXOS	Senior Unexploded Ordnance Supervisor
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
UXO	unexploded ordnance

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and Scope

This Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report summarizes natural resource-related activities performed by the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement (ESCA) Remediation Program (RP) Team during the period from 1 January 2015 through 31 December 2015. This report includes data and associated information that meet requirements outlined in the Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan for Former Fort Ord, California (HMP; USACE 1997) and in Biological Opinions (BOs; USFWS 1999, 2002, 2005, 2007, and 2015) issued to the United States Department of the Army (Army) by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). The HMP and BOs identify mitigation measures to avoid and minimize impacts to rare, threatened, and endangered species and their habitats during pre-disposal activities such as munitions investigation activities. Implementation of the requirements by the ESCA RP Team is conducted in coordination with the Army.

Arcadis NA, Inc. (Arcadis) has prepared this document on behalf of FORA (the Recipient) in accordance with industry standards and consistent with the requirements of the Remediation Services Agreement dated 31 March 2007 by and between Arcadis and the Recipient, including any applicable governing documents and applicable laws and regulations.

This report is the eighth in a series of Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Reports produced for the ESCA RP. The seven previous reports covered the 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 reporting periods (ESCA RP Team 2009, 2010a, 2011a, 2012a, 2013b, 2014, and 2015).

1.2 Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement

The former Fort Ord (Figure 1) was placed on the National Priorities List in 1990, primarily because of chemical contamination in soil and groundwater that resulted from past Army operations. To oversee the cleanup of the base, the Army, the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) entered into a Federal Facility Agreement (FFA). One of the purposes of the FFA was to ensure that the environmental impacts associated with past and present activities at the former Fort Ord were thoroughly investigated and appropriate remedial action taken as necessary to protect public health and the environment.

In accordance with the FFA, the Army is designated as the lead agency under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) for conducting environmental investigations, making cleanup decisions, and taking cleanup actions at the former Fort Ord. The EPA is designated as the lead regulatory agency for the cleanup, while the DTSC and RWQCB are supporting agencies.

On March 31, 2007, the Army and FORA entered into an ESCA governing the remaining munitions and explosives of concern (MEC) removal activities required for approximately 3,300 acres (1351.6 hectares [ha]) of former Fort Ord property. In accordance with the ESCA and an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC), FORA is responsible for completion of CERCLA response actions, except for munitions response actions as defined in the ESCA and related documents, except for those retained by the Army. The AOC was entered into voluntarily by FORA, the EPA Region 9, the DTSC, and the United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division on December 20, 2006 (EPA Region 9 CERCLA Docket No. R9-2007-03). The underlying property was transferred to FORA in May 2009. The AOC was issued by EPA under the authority vested in the President of the United States by Sections 104, 106, and 122 of CERCLA, as amended, 42 United States Code §§ 9604, 9606, and 9622.

FORA, through the ESCA RP Team, is in the process of completing the Army's MEC response actions in a program hereinafter identified as the ESCA RP. Proposed future land use designations for the ESCA Munitions Response Areas (MRAs) include: habitat reserve, habitat corridor, development (residential and non-residential), and borderland development areas along Natural Resources Management Area (NRMA) interface (Figure 2). As described in the 1997 HMP, these categories are defined as:

Habitat Reserve – management goal is conservation and enhancement of threatened and endangered species

Habitat Corridor – lands between major reserve areas; to be managed to promote connections between conservation areas

Development – no management restrictions; some plans for salvage of biological resources from these lands may be specified

Borderland Development Areas along NRMA Interface (also called Borderland Boundary or Borderland Interface) – areas abutting the NRMA that are slated for development; management of these lands includes no restrictions except along the development/reserve interface

Future Road Corridors – lands within habitat reserve set aside for future road development; to be managed as habitat reserve until road development occurs

Development with Reserve or Development with Restriction – lands slated for development that contain inholdings of reserve or require specific restrictions to protect biological resources values; management of reserve inholdings must match that for habitat reserves, while management in development areas must proceed with certain specific restrictions identified in the HMP.

The nine ESCA MRAs are made up of entire or partial parcels. As defined by the HMP, the parcels have multiple intended uses. These MRAs include: California State University at Monterey Bay (CSUMB) Off-Campus MRA, County North MRA, Del Rey Oaks (DRO)/Monterey MRA, Future East Garrison (FEG) MRA, Interim Action Ranges (IAR)

MRA, Laguna Seca Parking MRA, Military Operations in Urban Terrain (MOUT) Site MRA, Parker Flats MRA, and Seaside MRA (Figures 1 and 2). Of these nine ESCA MRAs, five include habitat reserve or habitat corridor parcels: County North, Del Rey Oaks/Monterey, FEG, IAR, and Parker Flats (ESCA RP Team 2009, 2010a, 2011a; Figure 2). These five MRAs that contain habitat reserves or corridors have been subject to natural resource monitoring, mitigation, and management activities since the inception of the ESCA, such as erosion control, target weed management, and active and passive restoration activities. Borderland boundary areas are also subject to erosion control and weed management efforts, as needed. The borderland boundary is shown on Figure 2.

The majority of the ESCA RP Team munitions investigation activities were completed in all MRAs by the end of 2013. Associated biological field activities continue to be performed in three MRAs that contain habitat reserve or habitat corridor parcels: FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR (Table 1-1, Figures 3a, 3b, and 3c). As detailed in Appendix A, habitat restoration activities were conducted in the IAR MRA Range 47 SCA restoration area during this period.

Erosion control and weed monitoring were conducted in the Seaside MRA as well as along the adjacent borderland boundary, also called the Blue-line Road.

2.0 NATURAL RESOURCE MONITORING AND MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS

Primary requirements for natural resource monitoring and mitigation are described in the HMP (USACE 1997) and the BOs (USFWS 1999, 2002, 2005, 2007, and 2015) issued to Army to enable compliance with the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) and to avoid or minimize, to the extent feasible, the take of listed species as well as protecting other native species of concern.

2.1 Habitat Management Plan

The HMP (USACE 1997) and modifications to the HMP provided in the “Assessment, East Garrison—Parker Flats Land Use Modifications, Fort Ord, California” (Zander 2002) present the boundaries of habitat reserve and development areas and describe land use, conservation, management, and habitat monitoring requirements for target species within the former Fort Ord. Following the HMP, a portion of the Interim Action Ranges MRA was subsequently identified as non-residential development in a proposal for land-use modifications titled Assessment East Garrison – Parker Flats Land Use Modifications (“the 2002 Land Use Modifications”; Zander 2002) and in the Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Proposed East Garrison/Parker Flats Land-Use Modification Between the FORA, Monterey Peninsula College (MPC), County of Monterey, U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and U.S. Army as Parties to the Agreement (“the 2004 Memorandum of Understanding [MOU]”; Army 2004). The 2002 Land Use Modifications and 2004 MOU included revision to the position of the borderland interface.

The HMP and BOs establish guidelines for the conservation and management of wildlife and plant species and habitats that largely depend on former Fort Ord land for survival (USACE

1992, 1997; USFWS 1999, 2002, 2005, 2007, and 2015). Threatened and endangered plant and animal species as well as designated critical habitat for some species occur at the former Fort Ord. Each reuse area has been screened for potential impacts or disturbances to threatened and endangered species identified in the HMP (USACE 1997). Implementation of the provisions of the HMP and referenced additional measures satisfy the requirements of the ESA.

Pertinent goals of the HMP include:

- Preserve, protect, and enhance populations and habitats of federally listed threatened and endangered wildlife and plant species;
- Avoid reducing populations or habitat of federal proposed and candidate wildlife and plant species to levels that may result in one or more of these species becoming listed as threatened or endangered;
- Preserve and protect populations and habitat of state-listed threatened and endangered wildlife and plant species;
- Avoid reducing populations or habitat of species listed as rare, threatened, and endangered by the California Native Plant Society, or with large portions of their range at former Fort Ord, to levels that may result in one or more of these species becoming listed as threatened or endangered.

Natural resource monitoring and mitigation requirements associated with munitions investigation activities addressed in the HMP have several primary objectives: minimize disturbance associated with munitions investigation activities; avoid or minimize impacts to known sensitive HMP species, where feasible; conduct passive and/or active habitat restoration, where required; and conduct employee environmental awareness training.

A total of 18 species are addressed in the HMP and are referred to in this report as HMP species (Table 2-1); these species are described in further detail in Section 4. HMP species are defined as those species that had the following status at the time of HMP preparation (USACE 1997):

- Federally proposed and listed threatened and endangered species;
- Species that are candidates for federal listing as threatened or endangered;
- State-listed threatened and endangered species;
- Species that fell under one of the previous categories during preparation of the 1994 HMP but that no longer have any legal status under the federal or state ESA; and
- California Native Plant Society List 1B species with extensive portions (greater than 10 %) of their known ranges at former Fort Ord: (Hooker's manzanita [*Arctostaphylos hookeri* subsp. *hookeri*], Toro manzanita [*Arctostaphylos montereyensis*], sandmat manzanita [*Arctostaphylos pumila*], Eastwood's ericameria [*Ericameria fasciculata*], and coast wallflower [*Erysimum ammophilum*]).

The types of effects that munitions investigation activities have on sensitive habitats and HMP species were anticipated in the HMP; these include vegetation burning and cutting, whole plant excavation, crushing or trampling from movement of excavation equipment and team foot traffic, and on-site MEC detonation. The anticipated habitat acreage and number of

plants of HMP species affected by munitions investigation activities were not quantified in the HMP because the range and quantity of MEC targets had not been determined and investigations are ongoing.

The HMP addresses potential effects of MEC investigation and remedial activities at the former Fort Ord to sensitive HMP wildlife species, including California black legless lizard (*Anniella pulchra nigra*), California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), California tiger salamander (CTS; *Ambystoma californiense*), California linderiella (*Linderiella occidentalis*), Smith's blue butterfly (*Euphilotes enoptes smithi*), Monterey ornate shrew (*Sorex ornatus salarius*), and western snowy plover (*Charadrius nivosus nivosus*). HMP plant species include Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens* var. *pungens*), robust spineflower (*Chorizanthe robusta* var. *robusta*), sand (Monterey) gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora* subsp. *arenaria*), seaside bird's-beak (*Cordylanthus rigidus* subsp. *littoralis*), coast wallflower, Yadon's piperia (*Piperia yadonii*), Eastwood's ericameria, Hooker's manzanita, Toro manzanita, sandmat manzanita, and Monterey ceanothus (*Ceanothus rigidus*). Several HMP species have estimated ranges that include more than 50% of their population at the former Fort Ord; these include: sand (Monterey) gilia, Monterey spineflower, Eastwood's ericameria, Monterey ceanothus, sandmat manzanita, and Toro manzanita (USACE 1997). The HMP considers two federally-listed HMP annual species with populations concentrated at the former Fort Ord as particularly vulnerable to the potential effects of MEC investigation and remedial activities at the former Fort Ord: Monterey spineflower and sand (Monterey) gilia.

Monitoring requirements at munitions investigation sites include baseline surveys prior to munitions investigation activities as well as follow-up monitoring after munitions investigation activities are complete. Follow-up surveys for shrubs and subshrubs are conducted in Years 3, 5, 8, and 13 after munitions investigation activities, and follow-up surveys for HMP annuals are conducted in Years 1, 3, 5, and 8 after munitions investigation activities (Burlson 2009). Data to be gathered during maritime chaparral baseline and follow-up monitoring include site size, methods used for vegetation clearing, extent of soil disturbance, percent cover by different shrub species, percent cover by non-native species, HMP annual species density, field notes and photographic documentation.

Habitat restoration activities in central maritime chaparral vegetation affected by munitions inspection activities focus on restoring naturally regenerating vegetation that exhibits characteristics such as high species diversity, a mosaic of seral stages and age classes, and suitable habitat to support HMP species such as sand (Monterey) gilia, Monterey spineflower, seaside bird's-beak, and California black legless lizard.

Post-disturbance restoration focusing on HMP annual species - sand (Monterey) gilia, Monterey spineflower, and seaside bird's-beak - is considered successful if three criteria are met five years after disturbance: self-sustaining populations of these HMP annual species are observed in a mosaic of various stand ages of maritime chaparral, the amount of habitat supporting these species is comparable to 1992 levels, and population sizes are comparable to 1992 levels (USACE 1997). After each year's monitoring, the resulting data are then utilized for adaptive management of restoration activities to reflect changing conditions and continued progression toward success criteria, including supplemental weeding, planting, or seeding.

Wetlands used by CTS, if disturbed, are also required to be restored (USFWS 2005). Corrective measures for vernal pool and pond (referred to as “aquatic features” by the ESCA RP Team) restoration include minimizing excavation area and depth, topsoil salvaging and replacement, and restoring affected wetlands so that they are of the same acreage and provide the same functions as before MEC clearance. Aquatic feature effects are evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

Follow-up monitoring of restored aquatic features occurs during each rainy season for five years after restoration. Data to be gathered during monitoring of restored aquatic features include dates when the aquatic features begin to fill, when they dry out, water conditions, percent cover by different wetland vegetation types, and occurrence and relative abundance of California linderiella, CTS, and California red-legged frog.

Monitoring methods are detailed in Sections 5.

2.2 Biological Opinions

The USFWS has issued BOs to the Army, of which five are applicable to the ESCA (USFWS 1999, 2002, 2005, 2007, and 2015). All biological opinions related to the former Fort Ord are cited in the references of this report; the brief summary below focuses on the four applicable BOs. The ESCA RP Team acts as the Army’s agent to implement relevant requirements of the BOs while conducting fieldwork within ESCA MRAs. In this role, the ESCA RP Team members are in frequent communication with Mr. William Collins, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Office Environmental Coordinator and Mr. Bart Kowalski, Chenega Support Services Wildlife Biologist supporting BRAC, to address natural resource compliance requirements and progress.

Of the applicable BOs, the 30 March 1999 “Biological and Conference Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (1-8-99-F/C-39R)” addresses the impacts that the closure and reuse of Fort Ord may have on nine sensitive species, which were at the time federally listed or proposed to be listed (USFWS 1999).

The 22 October 2002 “Biological and Conference Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California as it affects Monterey Spineflower Critical Habitat (1-8-01-F-70R)” addresses the impacts that the closure and reuse of Fort Ord may have on the Monterey spineflower and its critical habitat (USFWS 2002). Monterey spineflower critical habitat exists in County North, IAR, Laguna Seca Parking, and FEG MRAs (USACE 1992).

The 30 March 2005 BO titled “Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields ([*Lasthenia conjugens*] 1-8-04-F-25R)” addresses the impacts that the closure and reuse of Fort Ord may have on CTS and critical habitat for Contra Costa goldfields (USFWS 2005); it was amended in 2007 to address new findings of CTS north of Reservation Road as well as a Marina Coast Water District project (“Amendment to Biological Opinion 1-8-04-F-25R, for the Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, USFWS 2007). CTS occur within areas adjacent to County North, IAR, FEG, Laguna Seca Parking,

MOUT Site, Parker Flats, and Seaside MRAs (USACE 1992). It should be noted that no critical habitat for Contra Costa goldfields occurs on former Fort Ord.

The 28 May 2015 BO titled “Programmatic Biological Opinion for Cleanup and Property Transfer Actions Conducted at the Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (8-8-09-F-74)” contains an updated analysis of the effects of Army cleanup and transfer activities on Contra Costa goldfields, California tiger salamander, Monterey gilia, Smith’s blue butterfly, Yadon’s piperia (*Piperia yadonii*), and any relevant critical habitat. It should be noted that Contra Costa goldfields and Yadon’s piperia have not been reported to occur within the IAR MRA and there is no designated critical habitat for Contra Costa goldfields or Yadon’s piperia within the former Fort Ord site.

3.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

Former Fort Ord is located about 8 miles (13 kilometers [km]) north of the city of Monterey, California and occupies approximately 28,000 acres (11,331 ha) adjacent to Monterey Bay and the cities of Marina, Seaside, Sand City, Del Rey Oaks, and Monterey. State Highway 1 crosses the western portion of the former Fort Ord, separating the beachfront from most of the former Fort Ord site (Figure 1). The former Fort Ord lies just to the south of the Salinas River delta in a broad low area between the Santa Lucia Mountains to the south and the Santa Cruz Mountains to the north.

The site is dominated by Pleistocene-age Aeolian sand dunes and other geologically younger sediments (Aromas sand and sandstone, Baywood sand, Oceano sand, Paso Robles formation, gravels, sands, silts, and clays), which cover older consolidated rocks, including Mesozoic granite and metamorphic rocks, Miocene sedimentary rocks of the Monterey shale formation, and upper Miocene to lower Pliocene marine sandstones. The sand sheet in the Salinas Basin is the northernmost of six distinctive sand sheets that occur in geologically subsiding basins at the mouths of rivers along the coast of southern California and northern Baja California (Hunt 1993).

The local weather pattern of mild, wet winters and warmer, dry summers is characteristic of Mediterranean-climate regions, with most precipitation concentrated between October and April. In the Monterey area, local climate is influenced by summer fog and predominant cool northwest winds. There is a sharp gradient in climate from the coast to inland areas, where summer temperatures may be much higher, especially during calm periods and/or in areas sheltered from the prevailing winds.

3.1 Vegetation Types in MRAs

The four most frequently encountered vegetation types in MRA habitat parcels are central maritime chaparral, coast live oak woodland, grassland, and aquatic features. Other vegetation types, such as central coastal scrub, cover smaller areas; a brief description of coastal scrub is incorporated into the vegetation description for central maritime chaparral that follows. Observed plant and wildlife species are documented in each of the monitoring

areas in the ESCA MRAs, especially those with habitat parcels where the ESCA RP biologists most frequently work (Tables 3-1 and 3-2). These lists do not represent a comprehensive inventory of all species expected in the MRAs, but only those that have been observed to date.

3.1.1 Central Maritime Chaparral

The predominant vegetation at the former Fort Ord is central maritime chaparral, which is comprised of evergreen shrubs and occasional multi-trunked coast live oaks that grow together at varying densities from open stands to almost impenetrable thickets in coastal areas of the Central Coast underlain with sand or sandstone-derived soils. This woody chaparral shrub vegetation ranges from 4 to 15 or more feet (1 to 5 meters [m]) in height, although low-growing annuals and herbaceous perennials are scattered in exposed openings. Species composition varies with microhabitat characteristics and stand age since the last disturbance.

In general, maritime chaparral is an unusual vegetation type found primarily on sandy substrates in a few coastal locations in Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, and Santa Cruz Counties. Often these maritime chaparral associations are dominated by local endemic species of ceanothus (*Ceanothus*) and manzanita (*Arctostaphylos*) mixed with other widespread and endemic species (Holland 1986; Holland and Keil 1995). Maritime chaparral is a vegetation type of particular concern in the HMP because it supports a number of rare, threatened, and endangered species populations; see Section 4 below.

Central maritime chaparral is the dominant vegetation type in the ESCA RP MRAs in which 2015 vegetation transect monitoring was conducted. Mature chaparral vegetation structure consists of a relatively simple canopy layer with a diversity of annual and short-lived herbaceous species occurring in sunny openings between shrubs, including a number of local endemic taxa.

The sandy substrate typical of maritime chaparral habitats tends to be low in organic matter and nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus (Smith et. al 2002). As a result, microflora and microfauna play a particularly important role in nutrient cycling, and cryptogamic soil crusts are observed in most undisturbed chaparral vegetation. Two generalized subtypes of maritime chaparral have been characterized at the former Fort Ord: sandhill maritime chaparral and inland maritime chaparral (USACE 1992). Sandhill maritime chaparral occurs in the rolling sand hills of coastal areas on loose Aeolian sand (Smith et al. 2002). The deep sandy soils allow deep root penetration and retained moisture below the dry surface layers in summer. Sandhill maritime chaparral is typically dominated by stump-sprouting shrubs such as shaggy-barked manzanita (*Arctostaphylos tomentosa* subsp. *tomentosa*) and chamise (*Adenostoma fasciculatum*), along with a mixture of obligate-seeding regional endemics such as sandmat manzanita, Monterey ceanothus, and dwarf ceanothus (*Ceanothus dentatus*); these obligate-seeding shrubs are often codominant with the stump-sprouting shrubs, and chamise rarely contributes the greatest cover of any shrub species to the canopy. Sandhill chaparral occurs in the Seaside, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs, as well as elsewhere on the western half of the former Fort Ord.

Further inland the elevation increases as sandstone outcroppings appear. The relatively thin veneer of sand, derived from sand deposits and weathering, forms a layer over the top of the sandstone outcroppings. Soil texture and permeability have a direct impact on root penetration and plant species distribution. Like sandhill chaparral, the inland maritime chaparral vegetation is also dominated by stump-sprouting shrubs such as chamise, which has relatively higher cover on sandstone compared with sand. Shaggy-barked manzanita is replaced by another stump-sprouting shrub, brittleleaf manzanita (*Arctostaphylos crustacea* subsp. *crustacea*), in inland areas, and a stump-sprouting ceanothus species, blue-blossom (*Ceanothus thyrsiflorus*), forms large colonies in the chaparral vegetation. Obligate-seeding shrub dominants include Toro manzanita, Hooker's manzanita, dwarf ceanothus, Monterey ceanothus, and others. Inland chaparral is widespread in the FEG MRA.

Fire plays a major role in chaparral ecosystems, typically occurring every few decades, returning nutrients to the soil that are tied up in dead wood and leaf litter as well as creating openings with ample sunlight and space for seed germination and seedling establishment. A number of chaparral shrubs, such as shaggy-barked manzanita, brittleleaf manzanita, and chamise have underground or surface stems (burls) that resprout after fire. Other shrubs, such as dwarf ceanothus, Monterey ceanothus, sandmat manzanita, Hooker's manzanita, and Toro manzanita, are obligate seeders that can only recolonize a burned site from seed after fire; often the seed requires fire-induced cues in order to germinate. Post-fire sites are often carpeted with a mixture of obligate-seeding shrubs and herbaceous species the spring after a wildfire. As shrubs become re-established after fire, herbaceous and smaller species tend to be excluded by expanding canopies of the dominant shrubs; however, even in mature stands of central maritime chaparral, open areas may occur between shrubs that support herbaceous species.

The primary vegetation alliance for this vegetation type is the Shaggy-Barked or Brittleleaf Manzanita Shrubland Alliance, as characterized by CNPS and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW; Sawyer et. al 2009). Shaggy-barked or brittleleaf manzanita chaparral has a G2/S2 rating (6-20 viable occurrences and/or 2,000-10,000 acres [518-2590 ha] worldwide and statewide), as listed in the CDFW Natural Communities Hierarchy (CDFW 2010) and in California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB, CDFW 2015); G2/S2 ratings indicate an alliance that is threatened throughout its range.

Central coastal scrub shares many shrub species with maritime chaparral vegetation, although dominant species differ. Overall stature of mature chaparral vegetation is generally taller than that of coastal scrub vegetation and mature chaparral dominants tend to produce waxy sclerophyllous leaves that contrast with the softer, pubescent or smaller leaves of many coastal scrub dominants such as black sage. In addition, the wood of chaparral shrubs tends to be harder and the burls larger and more resistant to surface disturbance than the stems and burls of shrubs that predominate in coastal scrub vegetation. Coastal scrub vegetation generally occurs in drier sites than chaparral, often on south-facing exposures at slightly lower elevations. Coastal scrub dominants frequently appear in chaparral vegetation immediately after disturbances such as burns or vegetation cutting but gradually get overtopped by the larger chaparral dominant shrubs. Central coastal scrub occurs in a small portion in eastern Parker Flats MRA.

This vegetation type would be classified as the Black Sage Shrubland Alliance by CNPS and CDFW (Sawyer et. al 2009); the Black Sage Shrubland Alliance has global and state ranks of G5/S5 (no threats known), as listed in the CDFW Natural Communities Hierarchy (CDFW 2010) and in CNDDDB (CDFW 2015).

3.1.2 Coast Live Oak Woodland

Coast live oak woodland is dominated by mixed-aged stands of coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*) that vary in density from concentrated bands of oaks along drainage bottoms to scattered trees on nearby slopes. Coast live oak is an evergreen tree ranging from 20 to 75 feet (6 to 25 m) in height, with a spreading crown, many massive branches, and a dense canopy of thick waxy leaves. Trees can live for 100 years or more. Although common in the hills surrounding Monterey, coast live oaks are restricted to a 50-mile (8-km) wide swath along the coast from Mendocino County south to northern Baja California. They are completely absent in the Sierra Nevada and other interior ranges; rather, they tend to occur in the maritime belt that receives fog during the summer months.

Most healthy stands of coast live oak woodland contain mixed age classes of oak trees, saplings, and seedlings that can vary widely in overall appearance, depending on moisture availability. Associated species such as toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*), poison-oak (*Toxicodendron diversilobum*), California blackberry (*Rubus ursinus*), coastal wood fern (*Dryopteris arguta*), bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), yerba buena (*Satureja douglasii*), wood mint (*Stachys bullata*), and others also form a dense understory in undisturbed oak woodland.

Coast live oak woodland is found in the FEG MRA in drainage bottoms as well as in the Parker Flats and County North MRAs. Like chaparral vegetation, oak woodland and annual grassland may integrate in areas with extensive habitat disturbance.

Coast live oak woodland is characterized as the Coast Live Oak Woodland Community in the CNDDDB legacy community classification system (Holland 1986), and as the *Quercus agrifolia* Woodland Alliance in the CNPS Manual of California Vegetation (Sawyer, Keeler-Wolf, and Evens 2009). *Quercus agrifolia* Woodland Alliance has a G5 global rarity ranking (demonstrably secure because of its worldwide occurrence) and an S4 state rarity ranking (greater than 100 viable occurrences statewide, and/or more than 31,110 acres [12,950 hectares]); some associations within the *Quercus agrifolia* Woodland Alliance have G3 and S3 rankings (21-100 viable occurrences worldwide/statewide, and/or more 6,400-31,110 acres [2,590-12,950 hectares]), according to the CDFW (2010 and 2015).

3.1.3 Grassland

Annual grassland vegetation is located in disturbed areas where there has been prior soil disturbance, as well as along roadways, access routes, and fuel breaks; annual grasslands tend to be dominated by non-native annual grasses and other native and weedy herbaceous species. Among the non-native grasses observed are invasive annual Mediterranean grasses such as slender wild oats (*Avena barbata*), rip-gut brome (*Bromus diandrus*), soft chess

(*Bromus hordeaceus*), red brome (*Bromus madritensis* subsp. *rubens*), foxtail barley (*Hordeum murinum*), and annual fescues (*Festuca* species) and forbs such as filaree (*Erodium cicutarium*, *E. botrys*), iceplant (*Carpobrotus* spp., especially *C. edulis*), and others. Degraded central maritime chaparral subjected to habitat disturbances often supports a mosaic of shrubs and weedy non-native grasses.

Limited annual grassland vegetation occurs in disturbed areas in all three MRAs containing habitat parcels where monitoring was conducted during 2015.

In general, the annual grassland areas would be classified as Non-Native Grasslands in the CNDDDB legacy community classification system (Holland 1986) and as California Annual Grassland Series within the CNPS Manual of California Vegetation (Sawyer, Keeler-Wolf, and Evens 2009). Non-native Grassland has a global rank of G4 (apparently secure, but factors exist to cause some concern; i.e. there is some threat or somewhat narrow habitat) and a state rank of S4 (apparently secure, but factors exist to cause some concern; i.e. there is some threat or somewhat narrow habitat), as listed in the CNDDDB (CDFW 2015).

Perennial grassland vegetation at the former Fort Ord is more common adjacent to broad drainages and swales, where spreading grasses such as alkali rye (*Elymus triticoides*) form large colonies. Perennial grasslands occur near some aquatic features in the northeast corner of the FEG MRA. Small stands of native perennial bunchgrass species such as purple needlegrass (*Stipa pulchra*) also are observed within central maritime chaparral in all MRAs. In all cases, perennial grassland colonies within MRAs are too small (< 0.2 acres) to be classified separately as perennial grassland.

3.1.4 Aquatic Features

Aquatic features are dominated by native herbaceous annual and perennial plants that are typical of seasonal wetlands in coastal California (Table 3-3). Species tend to occur in zones depending on the depth of the depression, from submergent aquatic species to emergent species and then surrounding upland vegetation such as coast live oak woodland, central maritime chaparral, and grassland. Arroyo willow (*Salix lasiolepis*) occurs adjacent to some of the aquatic features in the northeast corner of the FEG MRA as well. A total of 12 aquatic features are found only in the FEG MRA in two main clusters, one in the northeastern corner and the other in the southern portion of the MRA in a former grenade range (Section 3.2.1). These aquatic features were described in detail in Appendix C of the 2011 Annual Resource Monitoring Report (ESCA RP Team 2012a). The grenade range aquatic features are surrounded by mostly bare sandstone due to apparent historical disturbance.

3.2 Environmental Characteristics of MRAs with Habitat Parcels

A summary of environmental characteristics and existing vegetation for each of the MRAs containing habitat parcels where natural resource monitoring was conducted during 2015 is provided in the following sections. These MRAs are shown in Figures 3a, 3b, and 3c.

3.2.1 Future East Garrison MRA Site Description

The FEG MRA (formerly known as the East Garrison MRA) is located in the northeastern portion of the former Fort Ord (Figures 2 and 3a), and is wholly contained within the jurisdictional boundaries of Monterey County. This MRA encompasses approximately 251.5 acres (102 ha) and contains the following four United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) parcels: E11b.6.1, E11b.7.1.1, E11b.8 (includes 100-foot [30-m] borderland interface buffer), and L20.19 1.1. Of the 251.5 acres (102 ha) within this MRA, 177.5 acres (71.8 ha) are designated as habitat reserve.

The topography of the FEG MRA is variable, with gentle ridges and steeper canyon walls. Overall, slopes descend from south to north, with higher ridges in the south over 450 feet (137 m) above mean sea level (msl) and lower slopes to the north at 170 feet (52 m) above msl. The southern portion of the FEG MRA is bisected by a small drainage that descends gradually from west to east before joining an unnamed tributary to the Salinas River. Sandstone Ridge borders this drainage to the south, reaching over 400 feet (122 m) above msl; upper slopes of this drainage exceed 500 feet (152 m) elevation to the immediate west of the FEG MRA. Another small forked drainage is located in the northern portion of the FEG MRA and descends directly to the Salinas River floodplain to the north.

The slope of the terrain in the FEG MRA ranges from relatively flat (3 to 5 percent) within an area formerly used as an Ammunition Supply Point, to steep (up to 50 percent) along the drainages. The FEG MRA is underlain by several hundred feet of Aeolian deposits (Aromas formation) consisting mostly of weathered dune sand (NRCS 2013). Surface soil conditions in the FEG MRA are predominantly weathered dune sand and/or sandstone.

Vegetation on the ridges of the FEG MRA primarily consists of central maritime chaparral, with coast live oak woodland predominating in drainages. A limited amount of grassland vegetation is present as well. The western portion of the MRA is designated as critical habitat for Monterey spineflower (Figure 4).

There are twelve aquatic features concentrated in two main areas within the FEG MRA (Figure 3a). Three aquatic features are located in the eastern portion of the former grenade range. The grenade range has been repeatedly scraped; as a result, much of the terrain surrounding the aquatic features in the grenade range is un-vegetated sandstone. The remaining aquatic features occur in the northeast corner of the FEG MRA and are surrounded by coast live oak woodland, arroyo willow clusters, and grassland vegetation.

Aquatic larval surveys were completed in the FEG MRA during the 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 rainy seasons to determine whether CTS were present in advance of munitions investigations remediation activities, consistent with the HMP, 2005 BO, Wetland Monitoring and Restoration Plan for Munitions and Contaminated Soil Remedial Activities at the Former Fort Ord (Burlison 2006) and the Interim Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for Determining Presence or a Negative Finding of the California Tiger Salamander (CDFW [CDFG] 2003); two CTS larvae were observed in 2011 by the ESCA RP Team in

aquatic features located in northeast FEG MRA in the habitat parcel (ESCA RP Team 2011a and 2012a).

3.2.2 Parker Flats MRA Site Description

The Parker Flats MRA is located in the central portion of the former Fort Ord, bordered by the CSUMB Off-Campus MRA and the County North MRA to the north, the IAR MRA to the south, CSUMB campus property to the west, and additional former Fort Ord property to the east and southeast (Figures 2 and 3b). The Parker Flats MRA is contained within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City of Seaside and Monterey County.

The Parker Flats parcel was targeted for development prior to the closure of Fort Ord in 1994, and in 1999 all vegetation was mowed as a part of munitions investigations activities. A subsequent land exchange resulted in this parcel being rezoned as habitat preserve (Zander 2002). In 2005, FORA, under the supervision of the Army, performed a prescribed burn on 147 acres (59.5 ha) in the Parker Flats MRA.

The Parker Flats MRA has been divided into two phases of work by FORA, identified as Parker Flats MRA Phase I and Parker Flats MRA Phase II. The Army completed a Track 2 Munitions Response Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study and the signed Track 2 Munitions Response Site Record of Decision (ROD; MACTEC 2006 and Army 2008, respectively) for the Parker Flats MRA Phase I area. The remediation plan documented in the Army ROD for the Phase I area is implemented in this area by FORA. The Parker Flats MRA (Phase I and Phase II areas) encompasses approximately 1,180 acres (477.5 ha) and fully contains USACE parcels E18.1.1, E18.1.2, E18.1.3, E18.4, E19a.1, E19a.2, E19a.5, E20c.2, E21b.3, L20.18, L23.2, and L32.1, and portions of USACE parcels E19a.3 and E19a.4. The remaining portions of USACE parcels E19a.3 and E19a.4 are contained in the County North MRA. Of the 1,180 acres (477.5 ha) identified as the Parker Flats MRA, approximately 211 acres (85.36 ha) are designated as habitat reserve. The borderland interface in this MRA where the development parcel abuts the NRMA is in the middle of the Parker Flats MRA (Figures 2 and 3b).

The terrain of the Parker Flats MRA consists primarily of rolling sandy hills. The elevation ranges from approximately 280 to approximately 490 feet (85 to 149 m) msl, with 2 to 15 percent slopes. The surface soils are characterized as Aeolian (sand dune) and terrace (river deposits), formed from unconsolidated materials of the Aromas and Old Dune Sand formations. The primary soil type present in the Parker Flats MRA is Oceano Loamy Sand with smaller areas of Arnold-Santa Ynez complex and Baywood Sand, which are all weathered dune sands (NRCS 2013).

Vegetation in the Parker Flats MRA consists primarily of coast live oak woodland, maritime chaparral (and associated central coastal scrub vegetation), and grassland. Vegetation varies from sparsely vegetated areas to heavy brush.

3.2.3 Interim Action Ranges MRA Site Description

The IAR MRA is located in the north-central portion of the former Fort Ord, within the boundary of the historical impact area. The IAR MRA is bordered by the Parker Flats MRA to the north, the Seaside MRA to the northwest, and the historical impact area to the southeast, south, and southwest (Figures 2 and 3c). The IAR MRA is contained within the jurisdictional boundaries of Monterey County and a small portion of the City of Seaside.

The IAR MRA encompasses approximately 227 acres (92 ha) and is located in the area designated by the Army as Munitions Response Site (MRS) Ranges 43-48. An Interim Action ROD was produced by the Army in August 2002 for Interim Action Sites at the former Fort Ord (Army 2002). The Interim Action Sites include MRS Ranges 43-48. The Interim Action ROD summarized the Final Interim Action Ordnance and Explosives Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study for Ranges 43-48, Range 30A, Site OE-16, Former Fort Ord (HLA 2002), which summarizes the previous field activities conducted at the Interim Action Sites, examined remedial action alternatives, and presented a preferred remedial action for the Interim Action Sites. The remedial action selected for the Interim Action Sites was presented in the Interim Action ROD and included surface and subsurface MEC removal.

Previous interim remedial actions conducted by the Army resulted in designation of areas, totaling approximately 235 acres (95 ha), within MRS Ranges 43-48 where the interim remedial action was not completed as Special Case Areas (SCAs) or Non-completed Areas (NCAs). Approximately 35 acres (14 ha) of the SCAs and approximately 9 acres (4 ha) of NCAs within MRS Ranges 43-48 are located within the boundaries of the IAR MRA. Range 44 SCA, Range 47 SCA, and Central Area NCAs are the focus of the ESCA RP Team's efforts. Two additional SCAs (Range 45 Trench SCA and a small portion of the Fenceline SCA) are also located within the IAR MRA; however, the ESCA RP Team did not perform remedial actions in these areas. The IAR MRA fully contains the following five USACE Parcels: E38, E39, E40, E41, and E42. Of the 227 acres (92 ha) within this MRA, 206 acres (83 ha) are designated as habitat reserve, and the northern boundary comprises part of the borderland interface (Figure 3c).

The terrain of the IAR MRA consists of gently undulating slopes ranging from 370 to approximately 530 feet (161.5 m) above msl, generally with 2 to 15 percent slopes. No ravines pass through the IAR MRA, although a few low areas support grassland and scattered shrubs and/or trees. In the Range 47 SCA, prior military earthwork has modified the original topography, resulting in an artificial escarpment located in the southwest portion of this area.

The primary soil type present in the IAR MRA is Arnold-Santa Ynez Complex, with Baywood Sand in the northwestern portion of the MRA. Soil conditions at the MRA consist predominantly of weathered Aeolian dune sand and are described as unconsolidated materials of the Aromas and Old Dune Sand formations (NRCS 2013).

Vegetation in the IAR MRA consists primarily of central maritime chaparral, with a small patch of grassland vegetation in the southern portion of the MRA. Prior to 2003, much of the IAR MRA was inhabited by mixed-aged stands of dense maritime chaparral. The MRA was

subjected to a prescribed burn in 2003. Except for a small parcel on the northern edge of the area, most of the MRA is designated as critical habitat for Monterey spineflower (Figure 4).

The areas within the IAR MRA that are the focus of monitoring efforts have been given the following names for the purposes of this report (Figure 3c):

- North Range 44: North Range 44 SCA;
- South Range 44: South Range 44 SCA/Central Area NCAs;
- Range 47 Subarea A: Includes a portion of Range 47 subject to large-scale excavation in which the vegetative cover has historically been low, 10% or less (ESCA RP Team 2012a). Non-native pampas grass (*Cortaderia jubata*, *C. selloana*) was abundant in places. Historical aerial imagery indicates that the vegetation of the area has changed little since the 1970s, despite an apparent lack of recent disturbance, except for fire that has affected the whole range;
- Range 47 Subarea B: Includes the majority of Range 47, which was subject to large-scale excavation prior to restoration activities;
- Range 47 Subarea C: Includes a small portion of Range 47 surrounding the large-scale excavation area in which vegetation cutting took place in 2012.

4.0 HMP SPECIES

The requirements outlined in the HMP (USACE 1997) and in BOs (USFWS 1999, 2002, 2005, 2007, and 2015) are described in more detail in Section 2 and focus on compliance with the federal ESA and avoidance or minimization, to the extent feasible, of take of listed species, as well as protection of other species of concern. A total of 18 species were addressed in the HMP (Table 2-1, see Section 2). Of these, 11 are plant species and 7 are wildlife species. Five species are restricted to the Monterey Bay region: the Monterey ornate shrew, Toro manzanita, sandmat manzanita, Eastwood's ericameria, and Yadon's piperia. An additional eight species are endemic to the Central Coast of California between the Bay area and Santa Barbara County, including the California black legless lizard, Smith's blue butterfly, Hooker's manzanita, Monterey ceanothus, Monterey spineflower, robust spineflower, sand (Monterey) gilia, and seaside bird's-beak. Most of these species have 10 or more percent of their populations concentrated at the former Fort Ord. Two HMP plants (robust spineflower and Yadon's piperia) and three HMP wildlife species (California red-legged frog, CTS, and California linderiella) have 99% of their range outside the Fort Ord region.

Those HMP species that occur in vegetation types that are widespread at the former Fort Ord, such as central maritime chaparral, tend to be much more common in the MRAs addressed in this report than species confined to specific habitats such as aquatic features and shoreline areas. A summary of each HMP species is provided below, along with brief comments on occurrence in the MRAs.

4.1 HMP Amphibians

There are two amphibian species that are designated as HMP species (USACE 1997).

California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*) – Federally Endangered and California Threatened. Adults are 7 to 8 inches (18 to 20 centimeters [cm]) long, black with yellow to cream-colored spots, larvae are greenish-gray in color. CTS occur in open woodlands and grasslands, ponds, and vernal pools from Sonoma to Santa Barbara Counties, inland to portions of the Sierra Nevada. Surveys were conducted for CTS larvae in 2010 and 2011 in aquatic features in the FEG MRA in advance of munitions investigations remediation activities. Two CTS larvae were observed by the ESCA RP Team in the FEG MRA during the 2011 aquatic surveys (ESCA RP 2012a; Appendix C). Both aquatic features are located in northeast FEG MRA in the habitat parcel. USFWS designated habitat zones for CTS on site are shown on Figure 5. ESCA RP biologists did not observe CTS in ESCA MRAs during 2015.

California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*) – Federally Threatened and California Species of Concern. Adults are 2 to 5 inches (5 to 13 cm) long, reddish-brown, olive, or green with black flecks; hind legs can be red underneath. California red-legged frogs require cold water ponds or slow moving river pools with emergent and submergent vegetation and riparian vegetation at the edges. California red-legged frogs range from Humboldt to San Diego Counties and in portions of the Sierra Nevada. Larvae of California red-legged frogs have been reported in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) portion of the Fort Ord National Monument adjacent to Toro Park (William Collins, personal communication) and suitable habitat is present in parcels outside of ESCA MRAs (USACE 1997). No red-legged frogs have been reported from vernal pools during Army monitoring since 1994. ESCA RP biologist did not observe California red-legged frogs in ESCA MRAs during 2015.

4.2 HMP Reptiles

There is one reptile species that is designated as an HMP species (USACE 1997).

California black legless lizard (*Anniella pulchra nigra*) – California Species of Concern. The limbless adults reach 7 inches (18 cm) in length and are dark on the upper surface and yellow below. Black legless lizards occur in various coastal plant communities where loose sandy soil and abundant invertebrate populations are available. Presently they are found in Monterey County and possibly extirpated from Santa Cruz and San Luis Obispo Counties.

California black legless lizards have been observed by the ESCA RP Team in Parker Flats MRA and IAR MRA. In 2009, a California black legless lizard was observed in an area of oak woodland habitat at the interface with maritime chaparral habitat in sandy soil in the habitat parcel in the Parker Flats MRA. In 2010, a California black legless lizard was observed in maritime chaparral habitat in a development parcel of Parker Flats MRA. In 2012, a California black legless lizard was observed in maritime chaparral with sandy soil in a habitat reserve parcel in IAR MRA. ESCA RP biologists did not observe black legless lizards in ESCA MRAs during 2015.

4.3 HMP Birds

There is one bird species that is designated as an HMP species (USACE 1997) and it occurs outside of the ESCA MRAs, found in the Beach Ranges.

Western snowy plover (*Charadrius nivosus nivosus*) – Federally Threatened and California Species of Concern. The western snowy plover is a small shore bird about 6 to 7 inches (18 cm) in length with pale grayish brown upper body and white underbody bearing a dark breast band, and black legs and bill. Western snowy plovers occur on flat sandy beaches above the high tide level from Washington to Baja California. Western snowy plovers have not been observed by ESCA RP biologists in any of the MRAs on site, and no MRA includes shoreline habitat.

4.4 HMP Mammals

There is one mammal species that is designated as an HMP species (USACE 1997).

Monterey ornate shrew (*Sorex ornatus salaries*) - California Species of Concern. The Monterey ornate shrew is a small mammal approximately 3.5 to 4.25 inches (10 cm) long with grayish brown black fur. It occurs in riparian, woodland, and upland communities where there is thick duff or downed logs. It is endemic to Monterey region. Potential habitat exists for the Monterey ornate shrew in County North, CSUMB, FEG, IAR, MOUT Site, and Parker Flats MRAs. No Monterey ornate shrews have been observed during ESCA RP biological surveys.

4.5 HMP Invertebrates

There are two invertebrate species that are designated as HMP species (USACE 1997).

California linderiella (*Linderiella occidentalis*) – No California or federal listing. California linderiella is a small (<0.5 inch, or 1.2 cm) aquatic fairy shrimp found in seasonal ponds. California linderiella have been observed by ESCA RP biologists in two aquatic features in habitat parcels in the FEG MRA during the 2010 aquatic surveys (ESCA RP 2011a).

Smith's blue butterfly (*Euphilotes enoptes smithi*) – Federally Endangered. Adults with a wingspan of 1 inch (2.5 cm); males with bright blue upper (dorsal) wing surfaces and females with brown upper wing surfaces; both with orange spotted band on hind upper wing surface edge and whitish gray underwings with dark speckling. It occurs in coastal sand dunes and ravines associated with coast and seacliff buckwheats in Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Mateo Counties. The Smith's blue butterfly has not been observed by ESCA RP biologists in the ESCA MRAs; it occurs outside of the ESCA parcels in the Beach Ranges.

4.6 HMP Shrubs

There are five shrub species that are designated as HMP species (USACE 1997).

Hooker's manzanita (*Arctostaphylos hookeri subsp. hookeri*) – CNPS 1B.2. Hooker's manzanita is a low-growing to medium-sized shrub in the heather family that rarely reaches 5 feet (1.5 m) in height, and is usually much shorter in stature; it lacks a basal burl and therefore does not resprout after fire or vegetation cutting. Hooker's manzanita is endemic to the general Monterey Bay region, where it occurs in central maritime chaparral vegetation, especially in sandy soils (Baywood sands) or on ancient marine terraces of the Aromas sandstone formation. Hooker's manzanita is a smaller manzanita than the two widespread stump-sprouting manzanitas in the MRAs: shaggy-bark manzanita, which predominates in lowland ocean-facing central maritime chaparral, and brittleleaf manzanita, which occurs further inland. Hooker's manzanita has been previously mapped as relatively common in portions of the Parker Flats, FEG, and the MOUT Site MRAs, with smaller numbers in the Laguna Seca Parking MRA (USACE 1992). Mapping work completed in 2012 by ESCA RP biologists suggests that densities of Hooker's manzanita have been over-estimated due to previous plant misidentification. Hooker's manzanita is found in the FEG, Parker Flats, and the MOUT Site MRAs.

Toro manzanita (*Arctostaphylos montereyensis*) – CNPS 1B.2. Toro manzanita is a large single-trunked shrub to 12 feet (3.6 m) in height in the heather family; it lacks a basal burl and therefore does not resprout after fire or vegetation cutting. Toro manzanita is endemic to the Monterey region, where it occurs in central maritime chaparral vegetation, especially in sandy soils (Arnold sands) overtopping leached Aromas sandstone bedrock. Toro manzanita is scattered to dominant in maritime chaparral in portions of the Parker Flats, FEG, and MOUT Site MRAs; it occurs in lower densities in the Seaside and Laguna Seca Parking MRAs.

Sandmat manzanita (*Arctostaphylos pumila*) – CNPS 1B.2. Sandmat manzanita is a low mound-forming shrub in the heather family that can reach up to 3 feet (1 m) in height, with broad spreading branches bearing bicolored dull green to grayish leaves. Like Toro manzanita, sandmat manzanita lacks a basal burl and does not resprout after a fire or vegetation cutting. Sandmat manzanita is endemic to Monterey County, and tends to be found in central maritime chaparral and at the margins of oak woodland and Monterey pine forest in Baywood sands and on marine terraces of the Aromas and Paso Robles formations and sandstones allied to Monterey shale. Sandmat manzanita occurs commonly in maritime chaparral in the Seaside, IAR, Parker Flats, and Del Rey Oaks/Monterey MRAs, and in lower densities in the County North and Laguna Seca Parking MRAs.

Monterey ceanothus (*Ceanothus rigidus*) – CNPS 4.2. Monterey ceanothus is a densely-branching shrub in the buckthorn family that reaches approximately 4.5 feet (1.4 m) in height and rarely exceeds 6 feet (2 m). It lacks a basal burl and does not resprout after a fire or vegetation cutting. Monterey ceanothus is endemic to maritime chaparral, central coastal scrub, and Monterey pine forest habitats from southern Santa Cruz to San Luis Obispo County, with its center of distribution in Monterey County. Monterey ceanothus occurs commonly in maritime chaparral in the Seaside, IAR, Parker Flats, FEG, Laguna Seca Parking, MOUT Site, and Del Rey Oaks/Monterey MRAs.

Eastwood's ericameria (*Ericameria fasciculata*) – CNPS 1B.1. Eastwood's ericameria is a multi-stemmed, rounded subshrub to small shrub in the sunflower family that rarely reaches 5

feet (1.5 m) in height. It is able to resprout after fire or vegetation cutting. Eastwood's ericameria is endemic to Monterey County and is found primarily in central coastal scrub and central maritime chaparral in sandy inland soils (Arnold sands overtopping Aromas sandstone). Eastwood's ericameria occurs in maritime chaparral in the Seaside, IAR, Parker Flats, FEG, MOUT Site, and Del Rey Oaks/Monterey MRAs.

4.7 HMP Herbaceous Perennials

There are two herbaceous perennial species that are designated as HMP species (USACE 1997).

Coast wallflower, sand-loving wallflower (*Erysimum ammophilum*) – CNPS 1B.2. Coast wallflower is a biennial to short-lived perennial in the mustard family that reaches from several inches to 1 to 2 feet (0.3 to 0.6 m) in height when flowering. It is endemic to coastal dunes flanking the Monterey Bay region and is also found on Santa Rosa Island in Santa Barbara County. It is found at Marina Dunes State Beach and has been observed east of the City of Marina. During 2013, 2014, and 2015, coast wallflower was observed by ESCA RP biologists in both the Seaside MRA and in the IAR MRA North Range 44.

Yadon's piperia (*Piperia yadonii*) – Federally Endangered, CNPS 1B.2. Yadon's piperia is a perennial herb in the orchid family with basal leaves and an elongate flowering spike when it blooms in late spring and summer. A 1992 survey located a population of Yadon's piperia in northwestern former Fort Ord, just to the east of Highway 1 and the Del Monte Boulevard exit (USACE 1997). Yadon's piperia also exists in several locations to the east and south of the IAR MRA (David Styer, personal communication). Yadon's piperia has not been observed by ESCA RP biologists in any of the MRAs on site.

4.8 HMP Annuals

There are four annual species that are designated as HMP species (USACE 1997); these annual HMP species have sometimes been referred to as HMP focus species in past Annual Natural Resource Reports. These HMP species occur on some development parcels as well as some habitat parcels; a general summary is provided below, but the remainder of this report focuses on habitat parcel occurrences.

Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens* var. *pungens*) – Federally Threatened, CNPS 1B.2. Monterey spineflower is a low spreading annual in the buckwheat family that is covered with gray hairs and blooms in late spring and early summer. It occurs in sandy soils in coastal strand, coastal scrub, maritime chaparral, margins of oak woodland and riparian habitats, and disturbed sites in grassland below 450 m elevation. It is endemic to northern Monterey and southern Santa Cruz Counties. Monterey spineflower occurs commonly in maritime chaparral in the County North, CSUMB, Del Rey Oaks/Monterey, FEG, IAR, MOUT Site, Parker Flats, and Seaside MRAs; USFWS-designated critical habitat for Monterey spineflower on site is shown on Figure 4. During 2015, Monterey spineflower was observed and mapped by ESCA RP biologists in FEG, IAR, and Parker Flats MRAs.

Robust spineflower (*Chorizanthe robusta* var. *robusta*) – Federally Endangered, CNPS 1B.1. Robust spineflower is low spreading to erect annual in the buckwheat family. It occurs in sandy soils in coastal dune and coastal scrub habitats. Robust spineflower ranges from Santa Cruz County to northern Monterey County. Historically one population was found on former Fort Ord west of Highway 1 to the north of the Lightfighter Road exit. According to the HMP, former Fort Ord does not provide important habitat for this species (USACE 1997). Robust spineflower has not been observed by ESCA RP biologists in any of the MRAs on site.

Seaside bird's-beak (*Cordylanthus rigidus* subsp. *littoralis*) – California Endangered, CNPS 1B.1. Seaside bird's-beak is a multi-stemmed annual root parasite that reaches 1 to 2 feet (0.3 to 0.6 m) in height at maturity. Seaside bird's-beak generally occurs in openings in coastal dune scrub, central coastal scrub, and maritime chaparral and is restricted to the ancient sand sheets of Santa Barbara and Monterey Counties. Seaside bird's-beak has been observed by ESCA RP biologists in maritime chaparral in IAR, Seaside, and FEG MRAs. According to the HMP, seaside bird's-beak has the potential to occur in Del Rey Oaks/Monterey and Parker Flats MRAs. During 2015, seaside bird's-beak was observed and mapped by ESCA RP biologists in the FEG and IAR MRAs.

Sand (Monterey) gilia, sand gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora* var. *arenaria*) – Federally Endangered, California Threatened, CNPS 1B.2. Sand (Monterey) gilia is a small annual in the phlox family that produces a basal rosette of leaves and lavender flowers that emerge from a short branching inflorescence that reaches about 6.5 inches (16.5 cm) in height in late spring. It occurs in open loose sandy soils with low silt content in coastal dune scrub and maritime chaparral habitats in limited locations near Monterey Bay and the adjacent coastal plain of the Salinas Valley. Sand (Monterey) gilia generally occurs in maritime chaparral and has been observed in IAR, FEG, Parker Flats, and Seaside MRAs. During 2015, sand (Monterey) gilia was observed and mapped by ESCA RP biologists in the Future East Garrison, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs.

5.0 METHODS FOR MUNITIONS INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES AND HABITAT MONITORING

Methods used for ESCA RP munitions investigation activities and associated biological monitoring activities are summarized in this section. The ESCA RP munitions investigation activities addressed here are those that have resulted in disturbance to native vegetation in habitat parcels in the FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs.

Munitions investigation activities include analog or geomagnetic investigation, vegetation cutting, small or large scale soil disturbance, and other minor activities. These are defined more specifically in Section 5.1. A grid system developed by the Army is used to document all activities; each grid is assigned a unique number and covers 100 feet by 100 feet (30.5 m x 30.5 m).

By the end of 2013, the majority of the munitions investigation activities were completed in all ESCA MRAs. Associated biological monitoring involves using established or modified protocols to document baseline conditions prior to munitions investigation activities as well as documenting post-activity vegetation recovery. Minimization and avoidance measures are also implemented to avoid or reduce impacts to sensitive biological resources.

5.1 Methods for Munitions Investigation Activities

Munitions investigation activities often require removal of vegetation in order to facilitate target investigation using visual and electromagnetic means. When surface targets are identified, they are generally removed by hand or with the use of handheld tools. When subsurface targets are identified, they are investigated individually or in larger contiguous areas (soil excavation and sifting). Subsurface investigation areas range in size from a single cubic foot to several cubic feet, depending on the type, location, and position of the target. A shovel or other hand tool is typically used, although a backhoe may be used for deeper targets. If MEC is identified but is unsafe to move, in situ detonation may be conducted. During soil replacement field crews were directed to follow the same sequence in reverse, with replacement of subsoil and then topsoil replacement after munitions investigation activities are complete.

This method facilitates vegetation regeneration by retaining the seed bank, nutrients, and beneficial organisms on the surface. Other minor activities in support of munitions investigation activities include installation of signage, trash and debris removal, erosion control monitoring and installation of erosion prevention materials.

A brief summary of general methods for munitions investigation activities is provided below.

5.1.1 Tools and Techniques in Munitions Investigations - Digital Geophysical Mapping and Analog Investigations

Digital Geophysical Mapping (DGM) munitions investigation was conducted in areas subject to vegetation cutting (see Section 5.1.2) with either an EM61-MK2 towed array platform (“the FORA ESCA Sled”) or manually towed single-array EM61-MK2 combined with a navigation system. Personnel guided the sled along parallel transects through the work area. Data were evaluated and target anomalies were selected for further investigation. Unexploded ordnance (UXO) technicians reacquired target anomalies based on Global Positioning System (GPS) coordinates and intrusively investigated targets to depth.

Analog munitions investigations were generally conducted on foot by technicians to locate and remove surface or subsurface MEC or munitions debris (MD). Technicians generally walked 3-foot (1-m)-wide search lanes through grid cells (grids) with a handheld magnetometer, which recorded the presence of ferrous metal targets. If potential MEC was detected in an investigation area, subsurface investigation (excavation) was sometimes required.

5.1.2 Methods for Vegetation Cutting

Vegetation cutting in this report generally refers to removal of most vegetation to ground level by manual and/or mechanical means, leaving the root mass, soil seedbank, and associated microorganisms and nutrients intact. Prior to initiation of munitions investigation activities, manual and mechanical vegetation cutting was conducted under the direction of the Senior Unexploded Ordnance Supervisor (SUXOS) in coordination with an ESCA RP biologist. Manual vegetation cutting entailed the use of power chippers, powered weed cutters, DR™ trimmers, chainsaws, and a variety of similar hand tools and equipment. Vegetation-cutting support equipment included skip loaders, self-loading log trucks, and/or excavators with grappling arms, which were used to haul out salvageable timber or remove cut brush from the work area for chipping. If consolidated chipping operations were conducted, excavators or loaders were used to feed the chipping or grinding equipment and spread or load chips (masticated plant material).

Vegetation cutting and associated target-specific investigations (see Section 5.1.3) were conducted in habitat parcels in the FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs.

Where feasible, mature coast live oak trees and shrubs such as HMP manzanitas with a diameter at breast height (dbh) equal or greater than 6 inches (15 cm) were left in place (retained) and limbed up to a height that allowed human access below the tree canopies. Manzanita retention was conducted in the FEG MRA, as described further in Section 5.2.5. Oak tree retention in the Parker Flats MRA is summarized in Section 8.1.

5.1.3 Types of Excavations

In general, subsurface investigation areas (excavations) range in size from a single cubic foot to several cubic feet, depending on the type, location, and position of the target. Excavation work can involve removal of root mass of individual native plant species and displacement of soil seedbank.

A **'target-specific investigation'** is a subsurface investigation that is smaller than 100 square feet [9.3 m²]. A shovel or other hand tool was typically used to dig for a target, however a backhoe was sometimes required for deeper targets. Target-specific investigations were conducted in portions of the FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs on an as-needed basis after vegetation cutting activity.

A **'small-scale excavation'** is a subsurface investigation that affected an area between 100 square feet and 1 acre [9.3 m²], or alternatively, an area that was greater than 100 square feet but less than 100 feet (30.5 m) wide on the narrowest side. Small-scale excavations were conducted in portions of the IAR MRA and were also required in a portion of the former grenade range in the FEG MRA.

A **'large-scale excavation'** is a subsurface investigation that disturbed an area over 1 acre (0.4 ha) in size. For the habitat parcels, only one large-scale excavation was conducted in the IAR MRA in Range 47.

5.1.4 Methods for Target Specific Investigation

Target specific investigation was used on the majority of the ESCA RP habitat parcels. This investigation method focuses soil disturbance to individual targets thereby minimizing impacts to the natural resources.

Additionally a “step-out” approach was employed in the FEG MRA to minimize the areas that were initially cut and investigated. When it became necessary to do munitions investigation in a larger area, successive grid step-outs were performed on an as-needed basis in order to reduce vegetation cutting to only that required for munitions investigation activities.

5.1.5 Methods for Small Scale Excavation

Small scale excavations were used in areas where target-specific investigation was not viable due to analogy density, depth and expanse of investigation area. An investigative approach was developed and implemented by the ESCA RP Team in 2011 to minimize impacts to intact central maritime chaparral vegetation and relatively high densities of associated HMP herbaceous species in the IAR MRA. This approach was implemented under a Design Study and addressed locations where the Army had not previously conducted subsurface investigations, called NCAs and SCAs. The IAR MRA Design Study confined vegetation cutting and subsurface investigations to 10-foot-wide (3-m-wide) linear transects placed in the NCAs and SCAs in the IAR MRA; usually two parallel investigation transects traversed a single grid but often extended in a north-south linear alignment of contiguous grids in the study areas (see Appendix A). The Design Study approach greatly reduced disturbance to native habitat while gathering critical information about the location, type, and level of munitions investigation activities needed to support the Army’s interim ROD; this process is described in the Phase II Interim Action Work Plan (ESCA RP Team 2011b).

5.1.6 Methods for Interim Action Ranges MRA Design Study

An investigative approach (called the Design Study) was developed by the ESCA RP Team in 2011 to minimize impacts to intact central maritime chaparral vegetation and relatively high densities of associated HMP herbaceous species in the IAR MRA. The Design Study addressed locations where the Army had not previously conducted subsurface investigations - NCAs and SCAs. The Design Study confined vegetation cutting and subsurface investigations to 10-foot-wide (3-m-wide) linear transects placed in the NCAs and SCAs in the IAR MRA; as described in Section 5.1.5.

5.1.7 Methods for FEG MRA Step-outs

A “step-out” approach was employed in the FEG MRA to minimize the areas that were initially cut and investigated. When it became necessary to do munitions investigation in a larger area, successive step-outs were performed on an as-needed basis in order to reduce vegetation cutting to only that required for munitions investigation activities.

5.1.8 Methods for Large-Scale Soil Excavation

In the Range 47 SCA, large-scale excavation was required due to the high density of sensitively-fuzed munitions, small metallic debris, and ammunition links discovered within the soil in 2011 in an area encompassing 13.4 acres (5.4 ha). Prior to soil excavation, the above- and below-ground vegetation was removed by “root raking;” during root raking, a bulldozer equipped with heavy tines pushed the tines through the soil, pulling out entire plants, including roots and burls, while retaining most of the soil. The plant material was stockpiled, masticated into wood chips, and inspected by a UXO technician to determine that the material was free from potential MEC or MD. Although there were initial plans to use wood chip material in the Range 47 Restoration Area as mulch and for producing charate, the quantity of weeds and residual materials in the wood chip pile made that approach infeasible. Following size reduction, the material was transported and placed within the development portion of the IAR MRA.

Excavated soils were removed with bulldozers or excavators, transported by dump trucks to an onsite mechanical sift plant, where potential MEC was removed from the soil by UXO technicians.

The excavation process consisted of a sequence of topsoil removal (top 6 to 12 inches [15 to 30 cm]), followed by removal of subsoil. Each soil layer was sifted and stockpiled separately. Soil replacement followed the same sequence in reverse, with replacement of subsoil and then of topsoil. This process encourages regeneration of native species through replacement of seed bank, soil nutrients, and beneficial soil organisms.

The habitat restoration requirements in the large-scale excavation area in Range 47 are detailed in the Phase II Interim Action Work Plan Addendum Habitat Restoration Plan (HRP) for the IAR MRA (ESCA RP Team 2103a), in accordance with the HMP (USACE 1997). See Section 7.0 and Appendix A for details on restoration planning, implementation, and monitoring in the IAR MRA.

5.1.9 Methods for Other Activities in Support of Munitions Investigation Activities

Other minor activities in support of munitions investigation activities have included installation of signage, trash and debris removal, weed and erosion control monitoring, and installation of erosion control materials reflecting current best management practices (BMPs). Most of these activities have been conducted on an as-needed basis except for erosion and weed monitoring. Methods for weed monitoring and management are described in more detail in Section 5.2.8 and methods for erosion monitoring and control are described in Section 5.2.9.

Field activities are conducted in accordance with the HMP, BOs, and the appropriate ESCA work plan. All project personnel and subcontractors working in ESCA parcels receive environmental awareness training provided by ESCA RP Qualified Biologists.

5.2 Biological Monitoring Methods

Biological monitoring in 2015 was conducted in habitat parcels in which vegetation was disturbed as a result of ESCA RP munitions investigation activities to meet the requirements of the 1997 HMP and BOs; biological monitoring methodology adhered to the *U.S. Army Protocol for Conducting Vegetation Monitoring in Compliance with the Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan at the former Fort Ord* (Burlleson 2009).

Pre-disturbance (i.e., “baseline”) vegetation surveys were conducted to document species dominance and cover in shrub- and tree-dominated central maritime chaparral. In addition, baseline data are gathered on HMP herbaceous species distribution and density prior to munitions investigation activities. Post-remediation surveys are conducted in native shrub- and tree-dominated vegetation types in Years 3, 5, 8, and 13. Post-remediation surveys for HMP annuals and herbaceous perennial species are completed in Years 1, 3, 5, and 8.

Methods are also detailed below for monitoring Toro manzanita retention during vegetation cutting, post-rainfall CTS monitoring, monitoring of aquatic features, weed monitoring, and erosion monitoring. Monitoring related to restoration activities in the IAR MRA is described in Appendix A.

Plant nomenclature follows the *Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California*, Second Edition (Baldwin et al. 2012). In addition, pertinent volumes of the *Flora of North America* (Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+) are also utilized for plant identification. Plant community classifications and sensitive species information follow Holland (1986), Sawyer, Keeler-Wolfe, and Evens (2009), and the CNDDDB (CDFW 2015).

5.2.1 Methods for Vegetation Monitoring

Line-intercept vegetation transects are used to measure shrub and herbaceous vegetation cover in areas subject to munitions investigation activities in project work areas. Both baseline and post-activity transects are monitored in central maritime chaparral vegetation, along with a limited number of transects in central coastal scrub and oak woodland vegetation that consistently support central maritime chaparral species as well. Differences in stand age, species diversity, or other characteristics are documented in order to stratify transect placement into areas that are likely to have distinct species composition and distribution.

Vegetation transects are placed randomly on an MRA-by-MRA basis. A random number generator is used to A) select a grid (total number of grids in strata), B) select the quadrant of the grid for transect starting point (1-4), and C) select which compass direction in which to align the transect from the starting point (0-360 degrees). If a transect location is randomly selected and overlaps another transect, it is discarded and a new transect location is chosen. Transects are generally measured by using a 164-foot-long (50-m-long) tape, although a shorter transect length may be used if it is placed in a single isolated grid; diagonal placement in a grid enables monitoring of a transect that is 141 feet (43 m) long, as in the FEG MRA. Some shorter transects have also been placed in small-scale excavation areas in Range 44 in the IAR. GPS waypoints and the transect survey direction (e.g., north to south) are recorded

so that the same transect can be revisited in subsequent years. Additionally, each year a photograph is taken from one end of each transect. Locations of 2015 transects are shown on Figures 6a and 6b.

Aerial cover by shrub and tree species is recorded on data sheets for all plants that intercept the monitoring tape; all layers of shrub and tree species cover are recorded, so there may be two or more species recorded in the same location. Cover by herbaceous species in the absence of shrub or tree overstory is only recorded as a combined “herbaceous cover” category, per the 2009 protocol (Burleson 2009).

Frequency data are represented here as the percentage of total transects containing at least one rooted individual of a given species.

Bare ground and/or thick layers of masticated vegetation are recorded in transect segments devoid of vegetation; prior to 2015, the “bare ground” category often included both bare ground and loose masticated vegetative material.

Primary access to munitions investigation areas in the FEG and Parker Flats MRAs has been on existing roads or fuel breaks. Because all of the habitat parcels were work areas, ingress/egress routes are not treated as a separate activity site for the FEG and Parker Flats MRAs. In the IAR MRA, a concerted effort was made to confine access to investigation areas by using existing roads, where possible, thereby reducing the need to creating new ingress/egress routes through intact vegetation areas not subject to investigation. A special category was created during the development of the HRP for the IAR MRA to monitor the effect of ingress/egress routes on biological resources (see Appendix A). A summary of vegetation transect installation dates and monitoring is provided below.

Table 1-1 presents all monitoring effort to date.

5.2.1.1 Future East Garrison MRA Vegetation Transect Monitoring

As previously described, a “step-out” approach was employed in the FEG MRA to minimize the areas that were initially cut and investigated. When it became necessary to do munitions investigation in a larger area, successive step-outs were performed on an as-needed basis in order to reduce vegetation cutting to only that required for munitions investigation activities.

Baseline Transects:

A total of 43 baseline transects were established by the Army in the FEG MRA prior to ESCA RP munitions investigation activities which are described below (HLA 1996, 1998).

2010-2011 - Thirty-nine baseline transects were installed in central maritime chaparral.

2012 - Two baseline transects were installed in oak woodland at the edge of the former grenade range; this oak woodland vegetation supported many dominants of central maritime chaparral in the understory and likely represented a seral stage in mature chaparral development.

Baseline data from these 41 transects were gathered during the year of installation, and post-activity data were collected from transects, per the 2009 protocol schedule (Burlison 2009). If there were no previously established transects in an area in which monitoring was required, new transects were established. In 2013, there were no baseline transects in grids subject to activities in 2010, and 6 new transects were installed in these grids. These data were then compared to the 39 original baseline transects.

Munitions Investigation Activities Dates:

2010

- West habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: vegetation cutting took place in four isolated grids and along the single roadway/maintained fuel break.
- East habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: vegetation cutting occurred in 23 scattered grids, along the single roadway/maintained fuel break, and along narrow strips scattered throughout the parcel.

2011

- West habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: vegetation cutting was confined to narrow strips scattered throughout the parcel.
- East habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: vegetation cutting occurred in most grids that had not been previously cut, except for the grenade range/MRS-11, as well as a few grid clusters around the perimeter of the parcel.

2012

- West habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: vegetation cutting occurred in all remaining uncut area.
- East habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: vegetation cutting occurred in the grenade range/MRA-11 and in clusters of grids around the perimeter of the parcel.

2013

- West habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: no vegetation cutting occurred.
- East habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: less than an acre (0.4 ha) of vegetation cutting occurred in portions of four grids along the southeast side of the Ammunition Supply Point (ASP) or Explosive Storage Location, which is located in the middle of the MRA.

2015

- East habitat parcel in the FEG MRA: Vegetation pruning was conducted in approximately ¼ acre (0.1 ha) of central maritime chaparral habitat south of the ASP in preparation for munitions investigations. Senior Biologist and certified arborist

Mary Carroll assessed the vegetation on January 28, 2015 and gave vegetation crews authorization to cut some live plant material as follows: No removal of individual shrubs. Restrict pruning to less than 25% of living branches by limbing-up plants in active work areas to improve access for munitions investigation teams.

Post-activity Transects (Shown in Figure 6a):

2013 - Six Year 3 post-activity transects were established in order to monitor vegetation establishment in areas subject to vegetation cutting in 2010; three transects were placed in the west habitat parcel and three in the east habitat parcel (ESCA RP Team 2014).

2014 - Seventeen Year 3 post-activity vegetation transects in central maritime chaparral were monitored in areas that had been subject to munitions investigation activities, including vegetation cutting, in 2011; all of these transects were located in the east habitat parcel. Monitoring events were conducted on 28-30 April and 5-6 May 2015 (ESCA RP Team 2015).

2015 – A total of 32 post-activity vegetation transects were monitored on 4-8 and 11-15 May 2015, including 26 Year 3 (24 in vegetation cutting and 2 in small-scale excavation areas) and six Year 5 post-activity vegetation transects in central maritime chaparral and oak woodland vegetation; these transects were located in areas that had been subject to munitions investigation activities in 2010 and 2012.

All ESCA RP vegetation monitoring transects in the FEG MRA are shown in Figure 6a.

5.2.1.2 Parker Flats MRA Vegetation Transect Monitoring

Baseline Transects:

Prior to 2008, the Army conducted all biological monitoring (Jones & Stokes 1995b, c; CH2MHill 2005).

2008 - Eleven baseline vegetation transects were established by the ESCA RP Team in the Parker Flats MRA Phase II habitat parcels prior to vegetation cutting in 2009. One isolated transect was established in a small patch of central maritime chaparral surrounded by oak woodland habitat in the middle of the Phase II area. The remaining 10 transects were clustered in the larger contiguous patch of central maritime chaparral on the east end of the habitat reserve; the eastern three transects are dominated by shrubs typical of central coastal scrub (ESCA RP Team 2009).

Vegetation transect monitoring is not required in the Phase I habitat reserve.

Munitions Investigation Activities Dates:

1998 - Phase I: vegetation cutting took place in the MRS-37, MRS-54, and MRS-55 portions of the Parker Flats MRA Phase I habitat reserve completed by the Army.

1999 - Phase I: vegetation cutting took place in the MRS-03 portion of the Parker Flats MRA Phase I habitat reserve completed by the Army.

2000 - Phase I: vegetation cutting was completed in the MRS-52 and MRS-53 portions of the Parker Flats MRA Phase I habitat reserve completed by the Army.

2009 - Phase II: vegetation cutting was completed in the Parker Flats MRA Phase II habitat reserve by the ESCA RP Team. It commenced in the end of 2008 at the east end of the reserve and continued until March 2009 at the west end.

Post-activity Transects:

2012 - Eleven Year 3 post-activity vegetation transects were monitored in the same location as baseline transects.

2014 - Eleven Year 5 post-activity vegetation transects were monitored in the same location as baseline transects.

Vegetation monitoring was conducted in the Phase II habitat parcels on 1 and 6-7 May 2014.

2015 – No post-activity vegetation transect monitoring was required in 2015.

5.2.1.3 Interim Action Ranges MRA Vegetation Transect Monitoring

Baseline Transects:

1999-2000 – Baseline transects established by the Army in the Range 44, Range 45, and Range 47 in 2000, prior to the 2003 prescribed burn (HLA 2001, Parsons 2005).

2008 – Thirty transects established by the Army were monitored by the ESCA RP Team (ESCA RP Team 2009).

2010-2011 – Twenty-three baseline transects were designated by the Army in central maritime chaparral and selected as “proxy” baseline transects for upcoming munitions activities, excluding the Range 47 SCA large-scale excavation area. An additional nine new “proxy” baseline transects were designated by the ESCA RP Team near the proposed ESCA RP munitions investigation areas; three of these transects were located immediately west of Range 47 SCA to serve as proxy baseline transects for the large-scale excavation.

As of 2011, no further monitoring of Army transects outside of the IAR MRA NCAs and SCAs was indicated due to vegetation recovery reflecting an appropriate and sustainable trajectory associated with high quality habitat (ESCA RP 2012a).

Munitions Investigation Activities Dates:

2011 - Vegetation cutting and small-scale excavations were completed in linear scrapes in South Range 44. Limited ingress-egress routes were cut for access to work areas.

2011-2012 - Large-scale excavation was conducted in 14.4 acres (5.8 ha) in Range 47 SCA and completed in December 2012. A small amount of vegetation cutting was conducted around the edges of Range 47 SCA in 2012. Limited ingress-egress routes were cut for access to work areas.

2012-2013 - Vegetation cutting in North Range 44 SCA was conducted in 2012 and completed in early 2013; in addition, small-scale excavations in targeted areas and along scrapes were also conducted in 2012 and completed in early 2013.

Post-activity Transects (Shown in Figure 6b):

2012 - Sixteen Year 1 post-activity transects were established in the South Range 44 SCA/NCAs, a small portion of North Range 44, and areas outside the large-scale excavation in Range 47 SCA (ESCA RP Team 2013).

2013 - Thirteen Year 1 post-activity transects were established in North Range 44 SCA. Ten new transects were established in the Range 47 large scale excavation. One of these 10 grids was placed in Subarea A, one was placed in the deer exclusion control area (deer present), and one was placed in the irrigation control area. The remaining seven were in Subarea B (ESCA RP Team 2014).

All 29 transects were monitored in 2013 (Years 1 and 2).

2014 – Twenty-nine transects were monitored on 8 and 13-14 May, 26 and 30 June, and 1-3 and 14-15 July 2014 (ESCA RP Team 2015).

2015 – Thirty-eight transects were monitored on 16 and 24 April and 18, 19, 20, 21, 26, 27, and 28 May 2015. These included 5 Year 3 transects in vegetation-cut areas in North Range 44; 7 Year 4 transects in vegetation-cut areas in South Range 44; and 3 Year 4 transects in vegetation-cut areas in Range 47 Subarea C. An additional 13 transects were monitored in areas subject to small-scale excavations in the IAR MRA; these data are presented in Appendix A. Ten transects were also monitored in the large-scale excavation area in the IAR MRA, and those data are also summarized in Appendix A.

Locations of all ESCA RP transects in the IAR MRA are shown in Figure 6b.

5.2.2 Methods for Supplemental Herbaceous Vegetation Monitoring

Herbaceous quadrat monitoring is conducted as a component of the vegetation transect monitoring effort when shrub cover is relatively low and herbaceous species cover is proportionately high; methods follow Burleson (2009). These supplementary 2.7 square-foot (0.25 m²) herbaceous quadrats are placed every 32.8 feet (10 m) on alternating sides of each transect, for a total of six per transect. Percent aerial cover for each plant species in the plot is recorded. If any HMP annuals occur within the quadrat, number of plants are counted and recorded. Comparative baseline data may not be available for quadrats.

Monitoring events for supplemental herbaceous vegetation occurs on the same dates and in the same transect locations, when sampled, as vegetation monitoring described in the prior section.

Supplementary herbaceous quadrats are also sampled in grassland vegetation in the IAR MRA. Three grassland “proxy” baseline quadrats were sampled in the IAR MRA grassland on 29 September 2011; these were placed near to proposed munitions investigation activity areas prior to work.

2012 - Six new herbaceous quadrats were monitored in the IAR MRA grassland area on 25 June 2012: three in areas subject to vegetation cutting and three in areas subject to small-scale excavation. These quadrats were not along a transect, but randomly placed within the activity areas, and returned to annually for monitoring.

2013 – The six grassland herbaceous quadrats were monitored on 22 May 2013.

2014 – The six grassland herbaceous quadrats were monitored on 30 June and 1 July 2014.

2015 – The six grassland herbaceous quadrats were monitored on 1 May 2015 and data are reported in Appendix A.

5.2.3 Methods for HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring

HMP herbaceous species are sensitive annual or herbaceous perennial species that are generally restricted to the Fort Ord region and are vulnerable to habitat degradation. HMP monitoring surveys document baseline and post-remediation locations and densities during the peak flowering period for each species. A minimum of twenty percent or thirty-eight (whichever number is larger) 100-foot x 100-foot grids per munitions investigation activity type are surveyed for all HMP herbaceous species during the peak flowering period (April through July, depending on the species). Colonies of HMP herbaceous species found within each grid are mapped with a hand-held GPS unit (Trimble GeoHX) to record their general distribution and range in the work area (Figures 6a, 6b, 7a, 7b, 7c, 7d, 8a, 8b, 8c, 9a, 9b, 9c, and 9d).

Numbers of HMP herbaceous species are either censused, or, in areas with high densities, sampled within circular plots (8.2 feet, or 2.5 m radius), following Burleson (2009). Often an HMP species may be concentrated in only a portion of a grid; these individuals or colonies are mapped with a hand-held GPS unit; those polygons are shown on Figures 8a, 8b, 8c, 9a, 9b, 9c, and 9d. On occasion, the plot shape is adjusted to fit the shape of the disturbance area so that the sampled area fits within the grid, the habitat type, the activity type, and the activity year; this was done in portions of Range 44 and along ingress/egress corridors.

In the FEG and Parker Flats MRAs, HMP herbaceous species are sampled in Years 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 after munitions investigation activities. In accordance with the HRP for the IAR MRA, HMP herbaceous species in the IAR MRA are counted in each monitoring plot every year for seven years after habitat disturbance.

Reference colonies of each HMP herbaceous species were mapped and sampled if a given HMP herbaceous species was observed in undisturbed vegetation in or around each MRA during a given year; in many cases a reference location could not be found. Identified reference colonies are re-mapped and re-sampled each year, if present, according to the standard protocol described above.

Locations of all grids monitored for HMP annuals in 2015 in the FEG and IAR MRAs are shown in Figures 6a and 6b.

Grids that support existing colonies of HMP herbaceous species in MRAs 2015 are shown in Figures 8a, 8b, and 8c for the FEG, and Figures 9a, 9b, 9c, and 9d for IAR. Table 1-1 presents all monitoring effort to date.

5.2.3.1 Future East Garrison MRA Herbaceous Species Monitoring

Baseline Locations for HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring:

2010 Baseline Monitoring - Baseline monitoring was conducted in 2010 for all HMP herbaceous species in the FEG MRA. Three baseline sand (Monterey) gilia plots were sampled in the north and south ends of the east habitat parcel and two baseline Monterey spineflower plots were sampled in the middle of the east habitat parcel. Due to the dense vegetation at the time, the baseline surveys were limited to accessible areas (ESCA RP Team 2011a).

Munitions Investigation Activities Dates: see Section 5.2.1.1.

Post-activity HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring (Shown in Figure 6a):

2012 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Surveys for all HMP herbaceous species in the east habitat parcel; Monterey spineflower and sand (Monterey) gilia sampling (ESCA RP Team 2013b).

2013 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring for all HMP herbaceous species in portions of the east and west habitat parcels, including Monterey spineflower, sand (Monterey) gilia, and seaside bird's-beak sampling (ESCA RP Team 2014).

2014 HMP Herbaceous Species Reference Plots – One seaside bird's-beak reference colony, containing three new reference plots, was surveyed immediately to the southeast of the FEG MRA on 24 June 2014. No Monterey spineflower or sand (Monterey) gilia colonies were observed in 2014 (ESCA RP Team 2015).

2014 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted on 21-25 and 29 April, 12 and 14 May, and 24 June 2014. Three plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower in the middle of the east habitat parcel. One plot was sampled for sand (Monterey) gilia in the north end of the east habitat parcel. Eight plots were sampled for seaside bird's-beak just southeast of the FEG MRA (ESCA RP Team 2015).

2015 HMP Herbaceous Species Reference Plots – One seaside bird’s-beak reference colony, containing three reference plots, was surveyed immediately to the southeast of the FEG MRA on 22 April 2015. In 2015 ESCA RP biologists were not able to locate Monterey spineflower or sand (Monterey) gilia reference colonies in undisturbed parts of the FEG MRA or proximal to the MRA.

2015 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted on 13, 17, and 22 April 2015. Two plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower in the middle of the east habitat parcel. Three plots were sampled for sand (Monterey) gilia in the north end of the east habitat parcel. Seven plots were sampled for seaside bird’s-beak in the southeast corner of the FEG MRA. All areas surveyed in 2015 for HMP herbaceous species in the FEG MRA are shown in Figure 6a, with the exception of the grenade range, which only includes limited area of central maritime chaparral and oak woodland habitat, although the entire grenade range was surveyed for HMP herbaceous species as a matter of due diligence.

5.2.3.2 Parker Flats MRA Herbaceous Species Monitoring

Baseline Locations for HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring:

2008 - Phase II Monitoring – Baseline surveys were conducted in the Parker Flats MRA Phase II habitat reserve on 15-23 May 2008 and 8 August 2008. One Monterey spineflower colony, containing three new baseline plots, was surveyed in the middle of the Phase II habitat reserve. An additional seven new baseline plots were sampled in Monterey spineflower colonies that were clustered in several locations in the east end of the habitat reserve close to Watkins Gate Road (ESCA RP Team 2009).

Baseline surveys were not conducted by the ESCA RP Team for herbaceous species in the Phase I habitat reserve, because no munitions investigation activities were conducted in these areas.

Munitions Investigation Activities Dates: see Section 5.2.1.2.

Post-activity HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring:

2011 Phase II HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Ten Monterey spineflower Year 2 post-activity plots were sampled in the same location as baseline plots.

2012 Phase II HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Ten Monterey spineflower Year 3 post-activity plots were sampled in the same location as baseline plots.

2013 Phase II HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Six Monterey spineflower Year 4 plots were sampled in the east end of the habitat parcel.

2014 - Phase I HMP Herbaceous Species Reference Plots – One Monterey spineflower reference colony, containing three new reference plots, was surveyed just west of the Phase I habitat reserve on 3 July 2014.

2014 - Phase I HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Surveys were conducted for all HMP herbaceous species in suitable habitat on 22 April, 13 May, and 4 and 10-12 June 2014; these areas were subject to activities conducted by the Army between 1998 and 2000. Seventy-one Monterey spineflower plots were sampled.

2014 - Phase II HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Year 5 surveys were conducted for all HMP herbaceous species in suitable habitat on 13 May and 4 and 10-12 June 2014. Five Monterey spineflower plots were sampled.

2015 – No HMP herbaceous species monitoring was required in 2015.

5.2.3.3 *Interim Action Ranges MRA Herbaceous Species Monitoring*

Baseline Locations for HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring:

2010-2011 - Safety issues in the IAR MRA from 2010 until 2012 necessitated modifications to the 2009 HMP herbaceous species monitoring protocol. Sampling was conducted in nearby areas cleared by UXO support personnel outside of the SCAs and NCAs.

Baseline surveys were conducted for all HMP herbaceous species in the IAR MRA in the following locations, with the number of sampled grids (100-foot x 100-foot) reflecting presence of HMP herbaceous species:

- North Range 44 SCA, South Range 44 SCA/Central Area NCAs central maritime chaparral – Forty-one grids sampled for Monterey spineflower, 30 for sand (Monterey) gilia, and 24 for seaside bird's-beak.
- South Range 44 SCA/Central Area NCAs grassland - One grid sampled for Monterey spineflower and one for sand (Monterey) gilia.
- Range 47 SCA Subarea A maritime chaparral – One grid sampled for Monterey spineflower, one for sand (Monterey) gilia, and one for seaside bird's-beak.
- Range 47 SCA Subarea B maritime chaparral – Twenty-four grids sampled for Monterey spineflower, 24 for sand (Monterey) gilia, and five for seaside bird's-beak.
- Range 47 SCA Subarea C maritime chaparral – Three grids sampled for Monterey spineflower, three for sand (Monterey) gilia, and 30 for seaside bird's-beak.
- Ingress/Egress corridors maritime chaparral – All existing ingress and egress corridors sampled for Monterey spineflower, sand (Monterey) gilia, and seaside bird's-beak.

2012 - Modified baseline HMP species 25 m² plots were sampled in 59 grids for Monterey spineflower, 20 grids for sand (Monterey) gilia, and four grids for seaside-bird's-beak around the perimeter of the SCAs/NCAs in habitat with similar vegetation structure and diversity to that of off-limit areas. In addition to monitoring plots, HMP herbaceous species were counted within entire grids when feasible. Baseline data from plots were extrapolated to entire grids for comparison purposes. The HRP (ESCA RP Team 2013a) describes these baseline locations in more detail; the 2012 data are the reference set for required performance standards related to HMP herbaceous species in the HRP.

Munitions Investigation Activities Dates: see Section 5.2.1.3.

Post-activity HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring (Shown in Figure 6b):

2012 Central Maritime Chaparral Reference Monitoring – Seven Monterey spineflower reference plots were sampled in the same locations as prior Army transects that also contained HMP herbaceous species plots. These were scattered around the IAR MRA habitat parcel outside of the ESCA RP NCAs and SCAs.

Five sand (Monterey) gilia reference plots were sampled in the same locations as prior Army transects that also contained HMP herbaceous species plots. These were scattered around the IAR MRA habitat parcel outside of the ESCA RP NCAs and SCAs.

Five seaside bird's-beak reference plots were sampled in the same locations as prior Army transects that also contained HMP herbaceous species plots. These were scattered on the eastern half of the IAR MRA habitat parcel outside of the ESCA RP NCAs and SCAs.

2012 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in South Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C.

2013 Central Maritime Chaparral Reference Plots – One sand (Monterey) gilia reference location was sampled in northwest IAR MRA habitat reserve on 6 May 2013.

One Monterey spineflower reference location was sampled just east of North Range 44 on 11 June 2013.

One seaside bird's-beak reference plot was sampled just east of South Range 44 on 16 May 2013.

Two coast wallflower reference plots were sampled just outside the North Range 44 SCA.

2013 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in North Range 44 and Range 47 Subareas A and B.

2013 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in South Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C.

2014 Central Maritime Chaparral HMP Herbaceous Species Reference Plots - Two new sand (Monterey) gilia reference colonies were surveyed in northwest IAR MRA on 23 May 2014. One new sand (Monterey) gilia reference colony was surveyed just southeast and outside the IAR MRA on 23 May 2014.

Two Monterey spineflower reference colonies, containing with five new reference plots, were sampled just east of North Range 44 SCA on 26 June and 3 July 2014.

One seaside bird's-beak reference colony, containing two new reference plots, was surveyed along Tanker Road on the east side of the IAR MRA on 24 June 2014.

2014 Grassland HMP Herbaceous Species Reference Plots - Three Monterey spineflower reference plots were sampled in an undisturbed part of the IAR MRA grassland on 31 July 2014.

2014 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in North Range 44 and Range 47 Subareas A and B on the following dates: 5, 9, 23, 25-26 June 2014. In the Range 47 restoration area, 51 plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower, 13 for sand (Monterey) gilia, 22 for seaside bird's-beak, and four for coast wallflower. HMP herbaceous species were monitored in seeded and planted HMP plots, as well as in all grids per the 2009 protocol (Burlerson 2009).

2014 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring - HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in South Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C on the following dates: 13 and 29-30 May, 2-5, 9-12, and 25-26 June, and 3 July 2014. Fifty-one plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower, 13 for sand (Monterey) gilia, and three for seaside bird's-beak.

2014 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring - HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted on the following dates in the IAR MRA: 13 and 29-30 May, 2-5, 9-12, 23, and 25-26 June, and 3 July 2014.

2015 Central Maritime Chaparral Reference Plots - Two sand (Monterey) gilia reference colonies, each containing one plot, were surveyed in northwest IAR MRA on 28 April. One sand (Monterey) gilia reference colony containing one plot was surveyed just southeast and outside the IAR MRA on 15 April 2015.

Two Monterey spineflower reference colonies, each containing one reference plot, were sampled just east of North Range 44 SCA on 28 April 2015.

One seaside bird's-beak reference colony, containing two reference plots, was surveyed along Tanker Road on the east side of the IAR MRA on 21 April 2015.

2015 Central Maritime Chaparral HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in North Range 44 and Range 47 Subareas A and B on the following dates: 14-16, 20, 23, 24, 27, and 28 April 2015. Eighty plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower, 59 for sand (Monterey) gilia, 29 for seaside bird's-beak, and three for coast wallflower.

Inside the Range 47 restoration area HMP herbaceous species were monitored in all grids per the 2009 protocol (Burlerson 2009), which included all seeded and planted HMP polygons.

2015 Year 3 Central Maritime Chaparral HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – HMP herbaceous species monitoring was conducted in South Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C on the following dates: 21, 28, 29, and 30 April 2015. Seventy-six plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower, 34 for sand (Monterey) gilia, and two for seaside bird's-beak.

2015 Ingress/Egress HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – There are two ingress/egress corridors that were sampled for HMP herbaceous species on 14 and 29 April 2015. Two plots were sampled for Monterey spineflower and one for sand (Monterey) gilia.

2015 Grassland HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring – Four Monterey spineflower plots were sampled in the North Range 44 grassland on 30 April 2015.

Inside the Range 47 restoration area, HMP herbaceous species were monitored in seeded and planted HMP plots, as well as in all grids per the 2009 protocol (Burlison 2009); see Appendix A.

5.2.4 Methods for Documenting Species Diversity

Documentation of native species presence in each MRA provides an overview of existing species richness and the suite of species that recolonize work areas over time, along with the relative abundance of HMP species in the site as a whole. A comprehensive list of species for each MRA is compiled and updated each year (Tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3). In 2015, all native plant species occurring along a transect or within a quadrat were recorded to provide total species richness per sample; in addition, all native plant species within one meter of a transect tape were also recorded in order to capture a more comprehensive summary of native species in munitions investigation areas.

Mean species richness per transect or quadrat is calculated for each year and each activity type.

Diversity was determined using the Shannon-Wiener Index (H'), which is a function of the relative abundances of the species present, depending on both the number of species and their evenness (Pielou 1974). The following equation was used to calculate H' .

$$H' = - \sum p_i \ln p_i$$

Where:

H' = Shannon-Wiener Index

p_i = proportion of community that belongs to the i th species

Evenness (J') was calculated as the ratio of the observed H' to the maximum possible H' for a community with the same number of species (H'_{max}) (Pielou 1974). The maximum possible value for evenness (i.e., 1) is achieved when $H' = H'_{max}$, which occurs when all species are present in equal abundance. The following equation was used to calculate J' .

$$J' = \frac{H'}{H'_{max}} = \frac{H'}{\log s}$$

Where:

J' = evenness

H' = Shannon-Wiener Index

H' max = maximum possible H' for a community with s species

s = total number of species present

Discussion of species diversity is incorporated into vegetation monitoring summaries for each MRA (Section 6.1).

5.2.5 Methods for Post-Rainfall CTS Monitoring

CTS tend to emerge from burrows after large rain events. Inspections for CTS are conducted by biologists and field crews after one-half inch (1.2 cm) or more of rain is recorded on site within the previous 24-hour period. Inspections are focused within two kilometers of known, current, or historical CTS breeding pond (Figure 5). All CTS inspectors have received MRA-specific environmental awareness training.

Inspections take place prior to fieldwork commencement and involve careful examination surrounding and under materials, equipment, and vehicles that could be used during the post-rainfall day, often using a high-powered flashlight. If a CTS is observed by a crew member, the ESCA RP Senior Qualified Biologist (SQB) is consulted for approval prior to CTS relocation to a safe place by a USFWS-approved Qualified Biologist (QB), if necessary. A crew member stays with the animal until it is outside of the work area so that it is not injured or killed by a vehicle, predator, or other means.

5.2.6 Methods for Aquatic Feature Monitoring

During 2015, the three aquatic features in the FEG grenade range were monitored on a routine basis during the rainy season, include AF09-1A, which was subject to sifting during remediation activities that took place between October 2012 and January 2013. Water depth, turbidity, pH, presence of submergent and/or emergent vegetation, and presence of aquatic invertebrates and any sensitive species were documented, along with total rainfall for the period and season. Aquatic feature monitoring events are summarized in Appendix C. Appendix C also includes aquatic feature monitoring reports from 2015.

5.2.7 Methods for Weed Monitoring and Management

During 2015, weed monitoring was conducted throughout the year using visual surveys, with focused attention on pampas and/or jubata grass (*Cortaderia selloana*, *C. jubata*), French broom (*Genista monspessulana*), and iceplant pursuant to the HMP (USACE 1997).

Weed abatement is conducted where necessary, including in ESCA development parcels, to reduce the spread of these target weed species into habitat areas. In addition, any weedy species that are listed by the California Invasive Plant Council as highly invasive weeds are

also monitored if present in sufficient numbers to threaten sensitive species or habitats (California Invasive Plant Council 2006). Weed monitoring and abatement events are summarized in Appendix D. Appendix D also includes weed monitoring reports from 2015.

5.2.8 Methods for Erosion Monitoring and BMPs

During 2015, erosion monitoring was conducted in MRAs before and after rain events of 0.5 to 1 inch (1 to 2.5 cm) or more within 24 hours, depending on the intensity of rainfall. When necessary, the ESCA RP Team installed erosion control BMPs, such as burlap sand bags, silt fencing, biodegradable weed-free straw wattles, biodegradable coconut fiber erosion control blankets, and water bars (Figures 10a and 10b). Erosion monitoring events are summarized in Appendix E. Appendix E also includes erosion monitoring reports and photo documentation from 2015.

6.0 BIOLOGICAL MONITORING RESULTS

Baseline biological monitoring data have been gathered in habitat parcels subject to munitions investigation activities in the FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs in order to meet the requirements of the 1997 HMP and BOs; biological monitoring methodology adhered to the *U.S. Army Protocol for Conducting Vegetation Monitoring in Compliance with the Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan at the former Fort Ord* (Burlison 2009); methods and general locations of munitions investigation types are summarized in Section 5.1.

A summary of habitat monitoring activities completed by the ESCA RP Team during 2015 is shown in Table 1-1 and includes vegetation transects and associated herbaceous quadrats in shrub-dominated vegetation types, herbaceous quadrats in grassland vegetation, and HMP herbaceous species monitoring. Species richness data are also collected and reported below. In addition, aquatic features are monitored during the rainy season, and results are reported in Appendix C.

Tables 6-1 through 6-22 present the results from biological monitoring activities in habitat parcels in the FEG and IAR MRAs.

6.1 Vegetation Monitoring in MRAs

2015 vegetation monitoring of habitat parcels that were subject to previous vegetation cutting during ESCA RP Team munitions investigation activities is summarized by MRA in this section: FEG MRA and IAR MRA (Table 1-1); no transect monitoring was required in the Parker Flats MRA in 2015. Previous vegetation cutting associated with ESCA RP Team munitions investigation activities in the IAR MRA included small-scale excavation, large-scale excavation, and creation of new ingress-egress routes; vegetation monitoring of areas subject to these activities are reported in Appendix A.

6.1.1 Vegetation Monitoring in Future East Garrison MRA

Native vegetation in the FEG MRA is comprised primarily of central maritime chaparral, with oak woodland vegetation in drainage bottoms and on some north-facing slopes. Munitions investigation activities took place in different locations in different years, as summarized in Section 5.2.1.1. As a result, it is possible to have more than one post-activity year represented in vegetation monitoring data in any given year.

During 2015, a total of 32 transects were monitored in those areas subject to munitions investigation activities that ended in 2010 and 2012 (Figure 6a), including 26 Year 3 and six Year 5 post-activity vegetation transects in central maritime chaparral and oak woodland vegetation. Summary data are presented in Tables 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5, as well as in Figures 11-14 and 21-24.

6.1.1.1 Vegetation-Cut Areas in the Future East Garrison MRA in Central Maritime Chaparral

Data from 24 Year 3 and six Year 5 transects in areas subject to vegetation cutting are compared with data obtained from 39 baseline transects in Table 6-1. Section 5.2.1 summarizes transect monitoring methods.

Mean baseline total shrub and subshrub cover in central maritime chaparral in the FEG MRA exceeded 100% in 2010 due to dense and overlapping shrub canopies. In 2015, shrub cover in Year 3 transects averaged 60.9% and in Year 5 transects shrub cover was similar to Year 3 cover at 58.4%. In each set of transects, shrub cover remained stable or increased slightly compared with data collected two years ago.

There was a decline in herbaceous cover in FEG MRA transects between Year 3 data collected in 2013 and Year 3, 4 and 5 data collected in 2014 and 2015. In 2015 herbaceous cover in all transects, regardless of post-monitoring year, was less than 3%, whereas herbaceous cover in Year 3 transects collected in 2013 was 16.8%.

In all transects, the stump-sprouting shrubs brittleleaf manzanita and chamise maintained dominance before and after vegetation cutting, as measured by mean cover, relative cover, and frequency data (Figure 11). Distribution and abundance of associated shrub species in the FEG MRA vary based on environmental characteristics and site history; the most common associated shrubs prior to vegetation cutting were Toro manzanita and black sage, and an additional 19 shrub species were found in low numbers in one or more baseline transects (Tables 6-1, 6-2, and 6-5).

Mean cover by obligate-seeding shrubs such as Toro manzanita declined after vegetation cutting, from 14.4% average cover in baseline transects to between 0.5% and 3.3% in 2015 Year 3 and Year 5 post-activity data, respectively. A few plants, especially rapidly colonizing native subshrubs such as coyote bush (*Baccharis pilularis* subsp. *consanguinea*), bush monkeyflower (*Mimulus aurantiacus*), and deerweed (*Acmispon glaber*) exhibited greater cover after vegetation cutting than in the dense chaparral cover observed in baseline transects. Other shrub species were found at similar low numbers in both baseline and Year 3 and 5

transects, such as coast silk tassel (*Garrya elliptica*) and California coffeeberry (*Frangula californica*). As in baseline transects, a total of 22 shrub species were documented in all Year 3 transects, often in low densities.

In previous monitoring years, species present in low numbers in baseline conditions were absent in transect locations, such as blue blossom ceanothus (*Ceanothus thyrsiflorus*) and California sagebrush (*Artemisia californica*). In 2015, these two shrubs were recorded in Year 5 transects; other shrub species that had been recorded in baseline surveys also were present in 2015 transects, with the exception of Eastwood's ericameria. Six shrub species were absent in baseline transects but present in 2015 Year 3 and/or Year 5 transects, including the obligate-seeding HMP shrub, Hooker's manzanita, and others.

Frequency data facilitate comparisons of species distributions in a given area, even for species with low cover; see Tables 6-1 to 6-5. The two dominant stump-sprouting shrubs, brittleleaf manzanita and chamise, are widespread, exhibiting frequencies greater than 80% before and after vegetation cutting (Figure 12). Although the cover of two HMP shrubs, Toro manzanita and Monterey ceanothus, declined after vegetation cutting, frequency data indicate reestablishment of germinating HMP shrub seedlings in at least half of the transects in which they were originally present. Toro manzanita was present in 64.1% of baseline transects and in 16.7% to 54.2% of Year 3 and Year 5 transects; hence, seedlings are currently found in more than half of transects in which Toro manzanita was originally present and ongoing recruitment is likely. Monterey ceanothus was present in 48.7% of baseline transects and 33.3% to 54.2% of Year 3 transects.

Openings between shrubs support a range of native herbaceous species, including Bishop's lotus (*Acmispon strigosus*), Indian thistle (*Cirsium brevistylum*), California bedstraw (*Galium californicum* subsp. *californicum*), purple cudweed (*Gamochaeta ustulata*), six-weeks fescue (*Festuca octoflora*), and others. Mean native herbaceous cover in herbaceous quadrats ranged between 1.7% in 2014 Year 3 quadrats and 5.7% in 2015 Year 3 quadrats sampled in different locations in the FEG MRA. Shrub cover averaged between 4.5% and 6.8% in the same quadrat sampling.

Non-native species mean cover ranged from 0 to 9.9% in 2015 transects, with the primary weed species being smooth cat's ears (*Hypochaeris glabra*) and rattail fescue (*Festuca myuros*); redstem filaree (*Erodium cicutarium*) also had almost 2% cover in 2013 comparative data, but was largely absent in 2015. Cover by weedy grasses such as wild oat (*Avena* species) and non-native bromes (*Bromus* species) was under 3% in all cases, and often zero.

Non-native target weed cover by iceplant was less than 1% in baseline transects, was 1% in 2015 Year 3 transects, and was absent in 2015 Year 5 transects.

Approximately 7% of baseline mean cover was categorized as "bare ground." After vegetation cutting in 2013 Year 3 transects, approximately 35% of mean cover encompassed bare ground, including a sizeable portion of masticated vegetation. In 2015, bare ground in both Year 3 and Year 5 transects is less than 18% and is expected to continue to decline as shrub cover increases.

Plant species richness increased after vegetation cutting in the FEG MRA (Table 6-5 and Figure 21). A total of 25 native plant species was recorded in 39 baseline transects in dense chaparral vegetation in 2010-2011, 22 of which were shrub species, with an average of 5.7 native shrub species per transect.

In 2015, three years after vegetation cutting, a total of 24 shrub species were recorded in 24 Year 3 transects, with a mean of 8.3 native shrub species per transect and 51 native species on all Year 3 transects combined (Table 6-5). The number of herbaceous species increased from one to 73 between baseline and Year 3 transect data.

When all species within a meter of Year 3 transects were compiled, 104 native species were observed in 24 Year 3 transects, double what was recorded along the transect line alone. This total included 2 native tree species, 27 native shrub species, and 73 native herbaceous species, and 2 ferns.

A total of six Year 5 transects were sampled, and these yielded slightly different results. A total of 18 shrub species and 11 native herbaceous species were recorded along the transect lines, along with one tree. A mean of 8.8 native shrub species and 3.7 native herbaceous species were documented per transect. When all species within a meter of Year 5 transects were compiled, a total of 71 species were observed, more than double the number recorded just on the transect line.

6.1.1.2 *Small-scale Excavation Area in the Future East Garrison MRA in Coast Live Oak Woodland*

Data from two Year 3 transects in areas subject to small-scale excavation are compared with data obtained from two baseline transects in Tables 6-3 and 6-4. Section 5.2.1 summarizes transect monitoring methods.

The area subject to small-scaled excavation in the FEG MRA supported scattered coast live oak trees with associated California blackberry and brittleleaf manzanita prior to munitions investigation activities. This area had been previously disturbed but is contiguous with intact dense oak woodland. Mean baseline cover by coast live oak tree cover was 37.2%, forming roughly half of native cover. Mean baseline total shrub and subshrub cover was 37.1% and an additional 5.4% cover was provided by native herbaceous species.

The small-scale excavation activities took place in the grenade range using a step-out approach. Soil excavation was required in some areas for munitions investigation activities. Just beyond the excavation perimeter, native vegetation was cut and trees were limbed up. Care was taken to leave existing clumps of coast live oak and manzanitas in place, where possible, and cutting them to the ground, if complete excavation of the rootball was not required.

In 2015, native cover in post-activity Year 3 transects averaged 43.9%, with 12.3% cover by coast live oak and 6.8% by brittleleaf manzanita, with a total of 29.1% by native shrubs and subshrubs (Figures 13 and 14). Mean cover by coast live oak was a third of pre-activity cover but is expected to become fully re-established over time. Cover by brittleleaf manzanita was

more than half the pre-activity cover as a result of stump-sprouting unexcavated shrubs, but other shrubs such as California blackberry and California coffeeberry exhibited lower Year 3 mean cover compared with pre-activity data. As in the areas subject to vegetation cutting, deerweed, coyote bush, and rush-rose had higher cover values in Year 3 transects than in baseline conditions. Six shrub species were documented in Year 3 transects that were absent in baseline transects, including the HMP shrub Toro manzanita, which was found in both transects.

In 2014 ESCA RP biologists collected and spread Toro manzanita berries and acorns in this area after munitions activities were complete; germination of juvenile oaks and manzanita were observed in 2015 in these areas.

One set of herbaceous quadrat data was recorded in the small-scale excavation area in the FEG MRA, representing Year 3 post-activity conditions. Cover in these quadrats included an average of 4.3% native herbaceous cover, especially spike bentgrass (*Agrostis exarata* var. *pacifica*) and western ryegrass (*Elymus glaucus* subsp. *glaucus*); 11.2% native shrub cover and 8.9% non-native herbaceous species cover were also recorded, especially the weedy smooth cat's ears and rattail fescue.

Iceplant seedlings germinated and spread after excavation activities in the FEG MRA, with 1.6% cover.

Plant species richness also increased after small-scale excavation munition activities in the FEG MRA (Table 6-5). A total of 14 native plant species was recorded in two baseline transects in open oak woodland vegetation in 2012, 11 of which were shrub species and one tree species also present. An average of 5.5 native shrub species were recorded per transect. In 2015, Year 3 data in the small-scale excavation area indicate a total of 15 shrub species were recorded in two Year 3 transects, with a mean of 10.5 native shrub species per transect, a total of 25 native species along the transect line, and 38 native species within one meter of all Year 3 transects combined. There were a total of 9 native herbaceous species observed on the transect line, with a mean of 5 native herbaceous species per transect, compared with one in baseline conditions; this number increased to 20 within one meter of the transect line.

6.1.2 Vegetation Monitoring in Interim Action Ranges MRA

During 2015, a total of 38 transects were monitored in the IAR MRA (Figure 6b). These included 5 Year 3 transects in vegetation-cut areas in North Range 44; 7 Year 4 transects in vegetation-cut areas in South Range 44; and 3 Year 4 transects in vegetation-cut areas in Range 47 Subarea C. An additional 13 transects were monitored in areas subject to small-scale excavations in the IAR MRA; these data are presented in Appendix A. Ten transects were also monitored in the large-scale excavation area in the IAR MRA, and those data are also summarized in Appendix A.

Native vegetation in the IAR MRA is comprised primarily of central maritime chaparral, with a small grassland area located in South Range 44 SCA. Unlike munitions investigation activities in the FEG and Parker Flats MRAs, which consisted primarily of vegetation cutting

in central maritime chaparral, a total of four activity types are being monitored in the IAR MRA for long-term effects on biological resources in accordance with the HRP; these include vegetation cutting and associated target-specific investigations, small-scale excavations, large-scale excavation, and ingress/egress routes; see Section 5.1. Monitoring results from areas subject to vegetation cutting and associated target-specific investigation in the IAR MRA are provided below (Tables 6-6 to 6-9, Figures 15-20); results from monitoring in areas subject to all four activity types in the IAR MRA are reported in Appendix A.

Four dominant shrubs formed the majority of shrub cover in 2010-2011 baseline transects in the entire IAR MRA: shaggy-barked manzanita (29.3% average cover), dwarf ceanothus (20.2% cover), Monterey ceanothus (13.5% cover), and chamise (9.0 % average cover; Figure 15), all of which had frequencies of 90% or greater (Figure 16); mean total shrub and subshrub cover was 94.5%. When baseline transect data are segregated by site, different localized patterns emerge. South Range 44 has the lowest combined mean baseline cover of shaggy-barked manzanita and chamise (35.7%), compared with North Range 44 (37.8%) and Range 47 Subarea C (44.8%). Dwarf ceanothus is an important associated species in all three areas: South Range 44 (30.4%), North Range 44 (23.4%), and Range 47 Subarea C (13.7%). Monterey ceanothus has similar cover (16%) in South Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C, but lower cover in North Range 44 (9.4%).

All post-activity vegetation monitoring transects showed a decline in native shrub cover and an increase in bare ground immediately after vegetation cutting, with a fairly rapid rise in cover during the first three years after munitions investigation activities were complete. Mean native shrub cover was 32.6% in Year 4 transects in South Range 44, 46.3% in Year 3 transects in North Range 44, and 64.4% in Year 4 transects in Range 47 Subarea C (Figures 15, 17, 19).

The greatest initial cover in post-activity transects is provided by stump-sprouting dominants such as shaggy-barked manzanita and chamise. A comparison of baseline and post-activity data for those two species by site points to a pattern of strong recovery, with combined Year 3 and/or Year 4 mean cover of these two species equaling 52% of baseline cover in South Range 44, 61% of baseline cover in North Range 44, and exceeding 100% of baseline cover in Range 47 Subarea C. These two species are found in every transect (100% frequency) in North Range 44, South Range 44, and Range 47 Subarea C (Figures 16, 18, 20).

Obligate-seeding HMP species also exhibit high frequencies in post-activity data (Figures 16, 18, and 20). In both South Range 44 and North Range 44, sandmat manzanita are documented in at least 80% of all transects. In Range 47 Subarea C, sandmat manzanita was found in 67% of transects. Eastwood's ericameria occurred in 17.2% of all IAR MRA baseline transects and exhibited different recovery rates in different locations within the IAR MRA. In South Range 44, where Eastwood's ericameria was found in 14.3% of South Range 44 baseline transects, it occurred with the same frequency in Year 4 transects, 14.3%. In North Range 44, Eastwood's ericameria had 60% frequency in Year 3 transects, an increase over 40% frequency in North Range 44 baseline transects. In Range 47 Subarea C, Eastwood's ericameria was present in low numbers and 33% frequency in baseline transects but absent in all transects from Year 1 through Year 4. Monterey ceanothus was found in 57.1% of Year 4 transects in South Range 44, a decline from 85.7% frequency in 2014 but

the same frequency as was recorded for baseline transects in South Range 44; it was found in 80% of North Range 44 Year 3 transects and 100% of Year 4 transects in Range 47 Subarea C.

Resprouting by burl-forming shrubs, combined with establishment of obligate-seeding species and pioneering subshrubs such as deerweed and rush-rose, suggests that IAR MRA sites are on a recovery trajectory that will mirror the species composition and diversity present under pre-activity conditions.

Native herbaceous cover in transects was 8.8% in Year 3 transects in North Range 44, 3.3% in Year 4 transects in South Range 44, and 1.2% in Year 4 transects in Range 47 Subarea C.

Native plant species richness increased after vegetation cutting and associated target-specific investigation in the IAR MRA (Table 6-9, Figure 21). In South Range 44, total native species recorded in baseline transects was 15 and 37 in Year 4; the number of shrub species remained about the same (14-15) but herbaceous species richness increased from 1 to 21 between baseline and Year 4. A total of 52 species were observed within the one-meter belt along the transects, including one tree species, 16 shrub species, and 35 herbaceous species.

In North Range 44, total native species recorded in baseline transects was 15 and the total native species in Year 3 was 47; the number of shrub species increased slightly from 14 (baseline) to 16 (Year 3) and herbaceous species richness increased from 1 to 30 during the same time interval. A total of 56 species was observed within the one-meter belt along the transect in 2015, including one tree species, 17 shrub species, 37 herbaceous species, and one fern species.

In Range 47 Subarea C, total native species recorded in baseline transects was 17 and in Year 4 was 22; the number of shrub species decreased slightly between baseline transects and Year 4 transects, from 16 to 13, and herbaceous species richness increased from 1 to 9 in the same time period. A total of 46 species was observed within the one-meter belt along the transect in 2015, including 16 shrub species and 30 herbaceous species.

6.2 Vegetation Monitoring Summary for Central Maritime Chaparral Transects

Vegetation Cover and Frequency in Central Maritime Chaparral Transects: In the FEG MRA, the most abundant shrubs with the greatest cover are widespread shrub stump-sprouting species – brittle-leaf manzanita (*Arctostaphylos crustacea*) and chamise – with baseline cover equal to approximately 73% in the FEG MRA. Obligate-seeding HMP shrubs were frequent associates in baseline conditions in maritime chaparral in the FEG MRA, but exhibited much lower cover (combined baseline cover by three HMP shrubs in FEG MRA was 16.9%), see Table 6-1.

Vegetation cutting leaves the root systems of many stump-sprouting shrubs intact, and dormant shoots emerge quickly after being cut. In Year 3 and Year 5 2015 transects in the FEG MRA, combined mean cover of brittleleaf manzanita and chamise ranged between 31%

and 39%, or more than half of combined baseline cover. Overall native cover was approximately 60%, or two-thirds the baseline cover (Figure 11).

Obligate-seeding shrubs like Toro manzanita, Monterey ceanothus, dwarf ceanothus, and sandmat manzanita recolonize sites subject to vegetation cutting from seed, so post-activity cover by these shrubs is initially low and gradually increases over time, especially with adequate rainfall. Frequency data provide an effective means of assessing shrub seedling recruitment (Table 6-1, Figure 12). 2015 mean cover of HMP shrubs in the FEG MRA is currently below 5%, but Year 3 post-activity frequency of the two most common HMP shrubs, Toro manzanita and Monterey ceanothus, both exceed 50% (54.2%, see Table 6-1), suggesting robust recruitment of these obligate-seeding shrubs. Although the Year 5 frequency results for these species are lower, these Year 5 results are based on only five transects in portions of the FEG MRA where Toro manzanita retention was not practiced, instead of the larger number of Year 5 post-activity transects that will be sampled in the coming year or two site-wide.

In the IAR MRA, shrub dominance is shared between stump-sprouting shrubs and obligate-seeding shrubs; combined mean baseline cover of shaggy-barked manzanita and chamise was 38%, and baseline cover by dwarf ceanothus ranged from 20% to 30% and of HMP shrubs (sandmat manzanita, Monterey ceanothus, Eastwood's ericameria) from 12% to 17% (Tables 6-6, 6-7, and 6-8; Figures 15, 17, and 19). In 2015, post-activity cover by the two stump-sprouting shrubs, shaggy-barked manzanita and chamise ranged from 19% to 45%, depending on location. Regardless of location, stump-sprouting shrubs show a trajectory of steady increase over time after the initial drop due to vegetation cutting.

Post-activity establishment by HMP shrubs based on frequency data has also been widespread (Figures 16, 18, and 20). Monterey ceanothus occurred in 80% to 100% of post-activity transects by Year 3 or 4 in North Range 44 (80% mean frequency, Table 6-7) and Range 47 Subarea C (100% mean frequency, Table 6-8), and was found in more than half of Year 4 post-activity transects in South Range 44 (57.1% mean frequency, Table 6-6). Sandmat manzanita was present on in 80% to 100% of all transects in South Range 44 and North Range 44 (Tables 6-6 and 6-7) and 67% of transects in Range 47 Subarea C (Table 6-8). Eastwood's ericameria was found in 40% of baseline transects in North Range 44 and 60% of Year 3 transects (Table 6-7). In South Range 44, Eastwood's ericameria Year 3 post-activity mean frequency is roughly equivalent to baseline conditions (Table 6-6). In Range 47 Subarea C, Eastwood's ericameria has not yet become re-established. Unlike other HMP shrubs, Eastwood's ericameria produces small wind-dispersed seeds with frequent low or short-term viability and do not show the same response to fire and other disturbances that the larger seeds of ceanothus and manzanitas do (Detka and Lambrecht 2010).

Figure 22 compares mean baseline frequencies of HMP shrubs with post-activity mean frequencies in both the FEG MRA and the IAR MRA, illustrating successful recruitment and post-activity establishment trends by most HMP shrubs.

In addition, native subshrubs that rapidly colonize sites after burns and other disturbances exhibited higher frequencies in Year 3 and Year 5 transects compared with baseline transects.

Similar vegetation recovery patterns occur in central maritime chaparral in all MRAs that were monitored in 2015, although details vary.

Species Richness and Diversity: The mean number of shrubs and herbaceous species per transect generally tends to increase during the first few years after vegetation cutting in all sites; generally by Year 3, the mean number of shrubs per transect equals or exceeds the baseline. Species richness, based on comparisons of baseline and post-activity transect species composition data, increased in the FEG and IAR MRAs after vegetation cutting, an expected result due to increased light and space available for seedling recruitment after removal of the dense chaparral canopy. In 2015, species richness documentation also encompassed all species within one meter of the transect tape as a separate metric in order to more thoroughly capture species richness in each post-activity area. Figure 21 presents consistently positive post-activity native plant re-establishment and richness trajectories in all MRAs in 2015.

In the FEG MRA, a total of 104 native species were observed in the one-meter perimeter area surrounding the tape for Year 3 transects and 71 native species in the Year 5 transects, all considerably above the 25 species recorded in baseline conditions. Numerous native species appeared in post-activity transects in the FEG MRA that had not been previously documented during baseline surveys and included shrubs, herbaceous perennials and annuals, as well as ferns (Table 6-5).

Annual monitoring in the IAR MRA provides an opportunity to compare year by year variability in species richness data. In Range 44, species richness increased the first year after vegetation cutting and was higher in 2015 than 2013, despite the prolonged drought. In Range 47 Subarea C, species richness declined in Years 1 and 2 but increased in Years 3 and 4. A total of 52 species were recorded in the one-meter transect perimeter in 2015 in South Range 44, 56 species in North Range 44, and 46 species in Range 47 Subarea C (Tables 6-6 and 6-9).

The Shannon index reflects species composition and relative abundance of each species based on transect cover values in central maritime chaparral; a higher Shannon index value reflects not just species diversity but the proportion that each species contributes to the entire sample. In the 2015 sampling effort, the Shannon index values do not incorporate the diversity of species observed within one meter of transects (since cover values were not recorded for species off the immediate transect line).

In the FEG MRA, the Shannon index was higher in Year 5 transects (1.5) than baseline values (1.1) or Year 3 values (1.3); the FEG MRA also has the highest species richness of any of the ESCA RP MRAs (Table 6-5).

In the IAR MRA, the Shannon index variously dipped after vegetation cutting in all locations. In South Range 44, the baseline Shannon index value was 1.8, and it fell to 1.4 in Year 1, where it remained in Years 3 and 4. In North Range 44, the Shannon index was 1.8 in baseline transects and 1.7 in Years 1 and 2 and 1.8 in Year 3 (Table 6-9). In Range 47 Subarea C, the baseline Shannon index was 1.6, and it declined to 1.0 in Year 1, was 1.3 in Year 2, 1.1 in Year 3 and 1.4 in Year 4 (Table 6-10).

All sites had relatively low evenness values around 0.2 in baseline and post-activity data; a value of 1 represents complete evenness, or codominance by all species.

6.3 HMP Species Monitoring in MRAs

HMP species monitoring focuses primarily on herbaceous species, including three annuals -- Monterey spineflower, sand (Monterey) gilia, and seaside bird's-beak -- and one herbaceous perennial, coast wallflower (Figures 7a, 7b, 7c, and 7d).

Vegetation cutting and munitions investigation activities were conducted from 2010 to 2013. All portions of the FEG MRA subject to vegetation cutting were surveyed for HMP herbaceous species in 2015 (Figure 6a). Only one area in the FEG MRA, the former grenade range, was subject to small-scale excavation and no HMP herbaceous species had ever been recorded from that area. Nonetheless, this area was also surveyed in 2015 for HMP herbaceous species.

No HMP monitoring was required in the Parker Flats MRA in 2015.

In the IAR MRA, four munitions investigation activity types were conducted in areas requiring surveys for HMP herbaceous species in 2015:

Activity A – Ingress/egress pathways and roads

Activity B – Above-ground vegetation cutting only, prior to target-specific investigation

Activity C – Small-scale soil excavation

Activity D – Large-scale soil excavation

These activity types are described in more detail in Appendix A. All post-activity areas subject to these four activity types were surveyed in 2015 (Figure 6b), and HMP herbaceous species data for Activities A, B, and C are discussed below in order to provide comparisons between MRAs.

Figures 7 to 9 present HMP species density data by MRA. Tables 6-11 to 6-22 present HMP herbaceous species monitoring data, providing mean densities, population estimates, and associated statistics. Figures 23-30 present comparative HMP herbaceous species summary data by post-activity monitoring year, location, and species.

6.3.1 HMP Species Monitoring in Future East Garrison MRA

Munitions investigation activities took place in different locations in different years in the FEG MRA, as summarized in Section 5.2.1.1. As a result, it is possible to have more than one post-activity year represented in HMP herbaceous species monitoring data in any given year.

Three HMP annual species were monitored in 2015 by ESCA RP biologists in FEG MRA in post-activity areas: Monterey spineflower, sand (Monterey) gilia, and seaside bird's-beak (Figures 8a, 8b, and 8c); a total of 601 grids within the FEG MRA were surveyed in areas subject to vegetation cutting between 2010 and 2013 (Section 5.2.3.1). One new seaside bird's-beak reference plot was also sampled. Data are summarized in Tables 6-11 to 6-13. Figure 23 compares total numbers of HMP herbaceous species in the FEG MRA based on post-activity monitoring year and Figure 24 presents comparative density/plot data for the three HMP herbaceous species observed in the FEG MRA by post-activity year.

Monterey spineflower: In general, Monterey spineflower is found in lower densities in the sandstone-derived substrate in the FEG MRA compared with the relatively high densities of Monterey spineflower that occupy Aeolian sandy substrates at lower elevations to the west and southwest, such as in the IAR and Parker Flats MRAs. Monterey spineflower was not found in the FEG MRA in the flora and fauna base-wide 1992 surveys (USACE 1992; Figure 7a). The entire FEG MRA was surveyed for HMP species in 2010 prior to munitions investigation activities, and Monterey spineflower was found only in one general concentrated area (Figure 8a). In 2010, two grids supported Monterey spineflower, one with 12 plants and one with 224 plants; these grids became one of two baseline sites. The estimated average number of Monterey spineflower in 2010 baseline grids was 118. This area was subject to vegetation cutting in 2011. A second Monterey spineflower baseline site consisting of two grids were established in 2012, a drier year than the wet year of 2010, with a total of 110 Monterey spineflowers and a mean density of 55 individuals/plot.

In 2015, during a multi-year drought, herbaceous species cover in the FEG MRA was sparse and existing individuals were diminutive and short-lived. Only 12 Monterey spineflower individuals were found in two grids, with one individual in one grid and 11 in another, with an estimated mean of 6 individuals/grid in 2015 (Table 6-11; Figure 8a).

Since Year 3 Monterey spineflower density in 2014 exceeded the baseline in the one known Monterey spineflower location in the FEG MRA, it is assumed that numbers will recover with sufficient rainfall in the coming year (Figures 23 and 24).

No other Monterey spineflower colonies have been observed in the FEG MRA, despite extensive searches each year, including a survey of 601 grids in 2015 for potential sightings. No undisturbed Monterey spineflower reference plots were available for comparison.

Sand (Monterey) gilia: Sand (Monterey) gilia occurs in low densities in loose sandy soils with low silt content in several locations at the former Fort Ord. It was mapped in 1992 in low densities in a central swath across the former military base, with higher densities in the northwest (Figure 7b, USACE 1992). A small area was mapped near the northeastern perimeter of the FEG MRA, and it is in this general area that low numbers of sand (Monterey) gilia continue to be observed.

In 2010, three grids supported 330 sand (Monterey) gilia in two locations. One of these locations encompassed two grids and included 329 sand (Monterey) gilia; this location became one of two baseline sites. The other location was in the center of the southern perimeter of the eastern habitat parcel, where only one sand (Monterey) gilia was observed

and not found again in subsequent years. This entire area was subject to vegetation cutting in 2011. A second sand (Monterey) gilia baseline site consisting of one grid was established in 2012, a drier year than the wet year of 2010, with a total of 15 sand (Monterey) gilia individuals, with 3 individuals/plot in one grid and 12 individuals/plot in the second, resulting in a mean density of 7.5 individuals/plot.

The number of sand (Monterey) gilia individuals in the FEG MRA was 16 plants in 2013 in three grids, 30 individuals in one grid in 2014, and 13 individuals in 3 grids in 2015 (Year 4; Tables 6-12 and Figure 8b). Mean sand (Monterey) gilia density was 3.7 individuals/plot in 2015, which is lower than the 2012 baseline, although sand (Monterey) gilia occurred in three grids in 2015 compared with two in 2012. In 2015 there were 8 individuals/plot in one grid, 2 individuals/plot in the second, and one sand (Monterey) gilia individual/plot in the third.

No undisturbed sand (Monterey) gilia reference plots were available for comparison in 2015.

Seaside bird's-beak: In 1992, base-wide mapping indicated low density of seaside bird's-beak in a central swath through mostly the northern half of the former Fort Ord, with a small area of low-density bird's-beak in the eastern FEG MRA (Figure 7c, USACE 1992).

Prior to 2013, seaside bird's-beak had not been recorded inside the FEG MRA by ESCA RP biologists, although it had been previously mapped to the south in 1992 (Figures 7c and 8c). In 2013, 187 seaside bird's-beak plants were located in one grid cell located just south of the previously mapped 1992 seaside bird's-beak distribution in a Year 3 post-activity vegetation-cut area. This number grew to 375 seaside bird's-beak individuals in six grids in 2014, located in the same general location as the seaside bird's-beak colony that was first recorded in 2013, double the 2013 number of 187 (Tables 6-13).

In 2015, a total of 422 seaside bird's-beak individuals were recorded in the FEG MRA: 202 seaside bird's-beak individuals were counted in two Year 3 grids and 220 in five Year 4 grids, with similar mean densities of 9.5 individuals/plot for Year 3 and 9.4 plants/plot for Year 4 (Figures 23 and 24).

One seaside bird's-beak reference colony, containing three reference plots, was surveyed in undisturbed habitat and supported a total of 112 seaside bird's-beak individuals, with a mean density of 5.3 individuals per plot and an estimated 37 individuals per grid in suitable habitat.

6.3.2 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring in Interim Action Ranges MRA

Munitions investigation activities took place in different locations in different years in the IAR MRA, as summarized in Section 5.2.1.3. As a result, it is possible to have more than post-activity year represented in HMP herbaceous species monitoring data in any given year. Four HMP herbaceous species were monitored in the IAR MRA in 2015 in post-activity areas: Monterey spineflower, sand (Monterey) gilia, seaside bird's-beak, and coast wallflower (Figures 9a, 9b, 9c, and 9d). A total of 127 grids were surveyed in South Range 44, 61 grids in North Range 44, 22 grids in Range 47 Subarea C, and 66 grids in Range 47 Subareas A

and B; HMP herbaceous species monitoring data for Range 47 Subareas A and B are presented in Appendix A.

Data are presented in Tables 6-14 to 6-22 and are reported separately for North Range 44, South Range 44, and Range 47 Subarea C based on activity types: ingress/egress routes; vegetation cutting and target-specific excavation; and small-scale excavation. Figures 25 and 26 compare total numbers of HMP herbaceous species in all monitored grids in the IAR MRA based on post-activity monitoring year and Figures 27-30 break down the total numbers of observed HMP herbaceous species based on location within the IAR MRA.

Comparative density/plot data for the four HMP herbaceous species observed in the IAR MRA by post-activity year and all activity types are included in Appendix A. Results of HMP herbaceous species establishment from restoration activities in the Range 47 large-scale excavation area are also provided in Appendix A, along with details on seeding and planting efforts.

6.3.2.1 Ingress/Egress Routes (Activity A) in Interim Action Ranges MRA

Ingress/egress routes consist of limited access corridors created outside of a grid targeted for munitions investigation activities, primarily in South Range 44.

In the 2013 Annual Natural Resource Report, HMP herbaceous species data were reported from some ingress/egress routes in North Range 44 and elsewhere that are no longer placed in this category. During 2014, ingress/egress routes were more narrowly defined to only encompass access corridors newly created for munitions investigations activities, since no anticipated road widening was necessary on existing corridors. These same areas were surveyed in 2015 and are located only in South Range 44. The data reported here include ingress-egress corridors in both chaparral and grassland vegetation combined; the data are reported for each vegetation type separately in Appendix A.

In 2015, the mean density/plot of Monterey spineflower in the ingress/egress corridors in South Range 44 was 463.8 individuals; the total Monterey spineflower plants per grid was estimated at 1,577 and the estimated total plants in the six grids in which Monterey spineflower were observed was 9,459. The total number of Monterey spineflower individuals in 2015 was higher than the 3,349 estimated individuals reported in ingress/egress corridors in 4 grids in 2013 (Table 6-15).

In addition, a total of 19 sand (Monterey) gilia were observed in ingress-egress corridors in 2015 in two locations, with a mean of 9.5 individuals/plot; none had been observed in 2014 in the same areas (Table 6-18).

No seaside bird's-beak were found along ingress/egress routes in 2015.

6.3.2.2 Vegetation-Cut Areas (Activity B) in Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C

Monterey spineflower: An estimated 27.2 Monterey spineflower individuals/plot were documented in the 2012 baseline sampling in North Range 44 prior to disturbance (Table 6-

22 to 6-24). 2012 baseline Monterey spineflower densities were 40.5 individuals/plot in South Range 44 and 6 individuals/plot in Range 47 Subarea C.

North Range 44: In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in North Range 44, Monterey spineflower occurred in 39 grids, with a mean density of 48.9 plants/plot (Table 6-14). An estimated total of 40,832 Monterey spineflower individuals were present in post-activity Year 3 areas in 2015, with a mean of 1,047 individuals/grid. Year 3 (2015) Monterey spineflower density was slightly higher than the 2012 baseline density for North Range 44.

Two nearby reference plots supported a mean density of 104 Monterey spineflower individuals/plot.

South Range 44: In 2015 in Year 4 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in South Range 44, Monterey spineflower was located in 50 grids with a mean density of 674.1 plants/plot (Table 6-15) and a mean number of individuals per grid of 734.4, which exceeds the 2012 baseline. A total of 36,701 Monterey spineflower individuals were estimated to occur in South Range 44 in vegetation-cut areas, which unlike North Range 44, includes grassland vegetation (these data are discussed further in Appendix A).

Two nearby 2015 reference plots supported a total of 990 Monterey spineflower individuals with a mean density of 104 individuals/plot.

Range 47 Subarea C: In 2015 in Year 4 post-activity vegetation cut areas in Range 47 Subarea C, average Monterey spineflower density was 116.9 individuals/plot and an estimated 2,104 individuals/grid, encompassing 9 grids for a total estimated 18,932 individuals (Table 6-16). Mean Monterey spineflower density/plot was higher than the baseline or nearby North Range 44 reference plots.

Monterey Spineflower Summary for Vegetation-cut Areas in the IAR MRA: In summary, Monterey spineflower was found in 98 grids in vegetation-cut areas in the IAR MRA in 2015 (excluding Range 47 Subareas A and B), with mean densities that ranged from 48.9 to 674.1 plants /plot. 2015 Monterey spineflower density values are higher than their respective 2012 baseline values and both North Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C supported more Monterey spineflower total individuals in 2015 than in 2014, despite a multi-year drought. The total number of Monterey spineflower in areas subject to vegetation cutting in the IAR MRA are shown for the 2012 baseline and Years 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Figure 27. Furthermore, in both North and South Range 44, Monterey spineflower was found in more grids in 2015 than in the preceding year. In 2015, over 96,000 Monterey spineflower individuals were found in post-activity areas subject to vegetation cutting in the IAR MRA.

Sand (Monterey) gilia: No sand (Monterey) gilia were found in North Range 44 or Range 47 Subarea C in 2012 baseline sampling; an average 2.7 sand (Monterey) gilia/plot were documented in South Range 44.

North Range 44: In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in North Range 44, sand (Monterey) gilia was observed in 27 grids, with a mean density of 7.4 individuals/plot and an estimated 46 individuals/grid and 1,233 total individuals (Table 6-17). Although the total

number of sand (Monterey) gilia individuals is higher than the 2012 baseline value and nearby reference plots, sand (Monterey) gilia plants were extremely diminutive and found in relatively low densities in 2015. However, mean density and total sand (Monterey) gilia individuals were higher in 2015 than 2014, despite continued low seasonal rainfall totals.

Three nearby 2015 reference plots supported an average of 11 sand (Monterey) gilia/plot, 45 individuals/grid, and 136 total individuals.

South Range 44: In 2015 in Year 4 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in South Range 44, sand (Monterey) gilia was observed in 12 grids, with a mean density of 5.3 individuals/plot and a total of 183 individuals (Table 6-18). As in North Range 44, sand (Monterey) gilia plants were tiny and sparsely distributed in 2015 although with much higher total numbers than in the preceding year (183 in 2015 and 6 in 2014). The mean Year 4 density was higher than the 2012 baseline of 2.7 individuals/plot.

Three nearby 2015 reference plots supported an average of 11 sand (Monterey) gilia/plot, 45 individuals/grid, and 136 total individuals.

Range 47 Subarea C: No sand (Monterey) gilia were observed in Range 47 Subarea C in areas subject to vegetation cutting in 2011 (Table 6-19). A total of 80 plants were counted in four grids in Range 47 Subarea C in Year 4 post-activity sampling, at a mean density of 6.3 plants/plot.

Sand Gilia Summary for Vegetation-cut Areas in the IAR MRA: The total number of sand (Monterey) gilia in areas subject to vegetation cutting in the IAR MRA are shown for the 2012 baseline and Years 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Figure 28. Sand (Monterey) gilia stature and plant size were much smaller in 2015 compared with 2013; 2015 was the fourth year in a row of subnormal rainfall. Sand (Monterey) gilia was found in 43 grids in 2015 compared with 37 in 2013 and 20 in 2014 in areas subject to vegetation cutting; a total of 1,496 sand (Monterey) gilia were counted in these areas in 2015.

Seaside bird's-beak: 2012 baseline data for seaside bird's-beak indicate an average of 3.3 in North Range 44 and 9.3 plants per plot in South Range 44 prior to disturbance.

North Range 44: In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in North Range 44, seaside bird's-beak exhibited a mean density of 16.3 plants per plot in 9 grids, with a total of 2,051 seaside bird's-beak plants in vegetation-cut areas (Table 6-20). Seaside bird's-beak density and total distribution represented by grid was higher in Year 3 (2015) results than in the 2012 baseline and also higher than the nearby reference plot.

Nearby reference plots supported a total of 127 seaside bird's-beak individuals in 2015, with a mean density of 10.0 individuals/plot.

South Range 44: In 2015 in Year 4 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in South Range 44, seaside bird's-beak had an estimated average density of 5.0 plants per plot (Table 6-21). Seaside bird's-beak was located in 2 grids in 2015, compared with 14 grids in the 2012 baseline and 3 grids in 2013. A total of 10 seaside bird's-beak individuals were counted in

South Range 44 in all in vegetation-cut areas. Seaside bird's-beak density/plot in 2015 (Year 4) was lower than the 2012 baseline for South Range 44.

Nearby reference plots supported a total of 127 seaside bird's-beak individuals in 2015, with a mean density of 10.0 individuals/plot.

Range 47 Subarea C: No seaside bird's-beak plants were observed in Range 47 Subarea C either before or after munitions investigation activities.

Seaside Bird's-beak Summary for Vegetation-cut Areas in the IAR MRA: A total of 2,061 seaside bird's-beak individuals were observed in areas subject to vegetation cutting in the IAR MRA in 2015 sampling. Total individuals and density were higher than the 2012 baseline for North Range 44, the nearby 2015 reference plots, and the 2014 data site-wide. However, seaside bird's-beak density/plot was lower than the 2012 baseline for South Range 44. The total number of seaside bird's-beak in areas subject to vegetation cutting in the IAR MRA are shown for the 2012 baseline and Years 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Figure 29.

Coast wallflower: Prior to 2013, coast wallflower had not been observed in the IAR MRA by ESCA RP biologists, so no reference data from previous years exist. Two 2013 reference grids were established for coast wallflower in North Range 44, the only location in the IAR MRA where this HMP herbaceous perennial has been observed. A total of 11 plants were counted in the two reference grids (Table 6-22).

In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity vegetation-cut areas in North Range 44, coast wallflower was observed in one grid, with an estimated average density of 6.0 individuals/plot, compared with average density of 4.7 individuals/plot in 2013. A total of 38 plants were counted in North Range 44 in Year 3 vegetation-cut areas in 2015, five more than were found in these areas in 2014 (Figure 30).

6.3.2.3 Small-scale Excavation Areas (Activity C) in Range 44 and Range 47 Subarea C

Monterey spineflower: An estimated 27.2 Monterey spineflower individuals/plot were documented in the 2012 baseline sampling in North Range 44 prior to disturbance (Table 6-14 to 6-16). Baseline Monterey spineflower densities were 40.5 individuals/plot in South Range 44 and 6 individuals/plot in Range 47 Subarea C.

North Range 44: In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity areas subject to small-scale excavation in North Range 44, Monterey spineflower occurred in 16 grids, with a mean density of 25.2 plants/plot (Table 6-14). An estimated total of 3,216 Monterey spineflower individuals were present in post-activity Year 3 areas, with an estimated mean of 201 individuals/grid. Year 3 Monterey spineflower density was slightly higher than Year 1 and Year 2 density, and was comparable to the baseline density. Total Monterey spineflower numbers were higher in 2015 than in 2013, when there were an estimated 1,294 Monterey spineflower plants in 11 grids.

South Range 44: In 2015 in Year 4 post-activity areas subject to small-scale excavation in South Range 44, Monterey spineflower was observed in 13 grids in South Range, with an average estimated density of 108.1 plants/plot in Year 4 and 1,231 plants/grid (Table 6-15).

Approximately 15,997 Monterey spineflower individuals occurred in Year 4 post-activity small-scale excavation areas in 2015, higher than the 7,763 Monterey spineflower plants reported in 2013 or the 8,422 plants in 2014. Year 4 Monterey spineflower density was higher than the 2012 baseline.

Range 47 Subarea C: In Range 47 Subarea C in areas subject to small-scale excavations in 2011, one grid supported a single Monterey spineflower in 2015 (Year 4, Table 6-16).

Monterey Spineflower Summary for Small-scale Excavation Areas in the IAR MRA: In summary, Monterey spineflower was found in 30 grids in small-scale excavation areas in the IAR MRA in 2015 (excluding Range 47 Subareas A and B), expanding from 15 grids in 2013 and 24 in 2014. The mean density of Monterey spineflower individuals/plot varied from 25.2 individuals/plot in North Range 44 in Year 3 (2015) sampling to 108.1 individuals/plot in South Range 44 in Year 4 (2015) sampling. 2015 Monterey spineflower density values in South Range 44 are higher than the 2012 baseline values four years after small-scale excavation activities, and roughly comparable to 2012 baseline values in North Range 44 are three years after small-scale excavation activities. The total number of Monterey spineflower in areas subject to small-scale excavation in the IAR MRA was 19,223, and are presented for the 2012 baseline and Years 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Figure 27, indicating an overall increase site-wide.

Sand (Monterey) gilia: No sand (Monterey) gilia were found in North Range 44 or Range 47 Subarea C in 2012 baseline sampling; an average of 2.7 sand (Monterey) gilia/plot were documented in South Range 44 in the 2012 baseline.

North Range 44: In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity areas subject to small-scale excavation in North Range 44, sand (Monterey) gilia was observed in 17 grids, with a mean density of 9.3 individuals/plot, 68 individuals/grid, and an estimated total of 1,163 individuals (Table 6-17).

Year 3 (2015) mean sand (Monterey) gilia density values in small-scale excavation areas are higher than the 2012 baseline data as well as the Year 1 (2013) mean estimated density of 4.4 plants/plot, as are total estimated numbers of sand (Monterey) gilia, 1,163 in Year 3 (2015), 224 in Year 2 (2014), and 108 individuals in Year 1 (2013). 2015 mean density/plot is slightly lower than density observed in the 2015 reference plot, but mean number of sand (Monterey) gilia plants per grid and total numbers are higher in the small-scale excavation areas.

South Range 44: In 2015 in Year 4 post-activity areas subject to small-scale excavation in South Range 44, sand (Monterey) gilia was observed in 18 grids, with a mean density of 5.7 individuals/plot, 69 mean individuals/grid, and an estimated total of 1,240 sand (Monterey) gilia individuals (Table 6-18). These Year 4 (2015) data show higher mean sand (Monterey) gilia densities than the mean density in Year 2 (2013) of 3.7 plants/plot but slightly lower density/plot than Year 3 (2014), 7.6 individuals/plot. However, sand (Monterey) gilia was located in 3 grids in small-scale excavation areas in South Range 44 in 2013 and expanded to 18 grids in 2015 and total individuals increased from 11 in 2013, 237 in 2014, to 1,240 in 2015, higher than the 2012 baseline.

Range 47 Subarea C: In Range 47 Subarea C in areas subject to small-scale excavations in 2011, one sand (Monterey) gilia individual was located in Range 47 Subarea C in small-scale excavation areas in 2013 and six were recorded in 2015 (Table 6-19).

Sand (Monterey) Gilia Summary for Small-scale Excavation Areas in the IAR MRA: In summary, sand (Monterey) gilia density was higher in 2015 in both North and South Range 44 than in the 2012 baseline and was also higher in 2015 than in 2013. Sand (Monterey) gilia was found in 36 grids, up from 14 grids in 2013 and 21 in 2014 in areas subject to small-scale excavation the IAR MRA. The total number of sand (Monterey) gilia in 2015 in areas subject to small-scale excavation in the IAR MRA was 2,411. Figure 28 compares total sand gilia numbers for the 2012 baseline and Years 1, 2, 3, and 4, indicating an overall increase site-wide.

Seaside bird's-beak: 2012 baseline data for seaside bird's-beak indicate an average of 3.3 plants/plot in North Range 44 prior to disturbance and 9.3 individuals/plot in South Range 44.

Seaside bird's-beak was only observed in North Range 44 in small-scale excavation areas between 2013 and 2015; no seaside bird's-beak plants were located in South Range 44 or in Range 47 Subarea C in small-scale excavation areas in 2015.

In 2015 in Year 3 post-activity areas subject to small-scale excavation in North Range 44, seaside bird's-beak was observed in 16 grids, with a mean density of 4.3 individuals/plot, 23 individuals/grid, and an estimated total of 361 seaside bird's-beak individuals (Table 6-20), an increase over 2013, when seaside bird's-beak was only observed in two grids, with one individual each. The number of seaside bird's-beak in North Range 44 in 2015 exceeds the 2012 baseline.

The total number of seaside bird's-beak in areas subject to small-scale excavation in the IAR MRA are shown for the 2012 baseline and Years 1, 2, and 3 in Figure 29, indicating an overall increase site-wide.

Coast wallflower: In 2014, coast wallflower appeared in Year 2 small-scale excavation areas in North Range 44 for the first time, with an estimated average density of 5.0 individuals/plot and 10 plants per grid (Table 6-22, Figure 30). In 2015, two coast wallflower individuals were observed in small-scale excavation areas in two grids, each with a single coast wallflower.

6.4 HMP Herbaceous Species Monitoring Summary

Due to the long-term effects of consecutive years of drought, reduced densities and total numbers of HMP herbaceous species were expected during 2015 HMP herbaceous monitoring data. Observed individuals of HMP herbaceous species were often smaller and less robust than those found in wetter seasons. Numbers and densities of most HMP herbaceous species presence in the IAR MRA were stable, and in most cases, density and total numbers increased in 2015 over 2014 data (Figures 25 and 26, 27-30).

The IAR MRA currently supports four HMP herbaceous species, the most of any MRA, as well as the highest numbers of sand (Monterey) gilia, seaside bird's-beak, and coast wallflower HMP individuals. In 2015, more than 139,000 Monterey spineflower, 4,687 sand (Monterey) gilia, 2,846 seaside bird's-beak, and 40 coast wallflower individuals were found in all munitions investigation activity types in the IAR MRA.

Figures 27-30 present a summary of recruitment by HMP herbaceous species in the IAR MRA based on post-activity monitoring year and activity type; Year 1 data are not available in all cases due to monitoring restrictions as a result of munitions investigations.

In areas subject to vegetation cutting and target-specific investigation (Activity B) in both North and South Range 44, Monterey spineflower exhibited relatively high numbers of individuals and expected annual fluctuations in colony sizes during the first few years after munitions investigation activities (Figures 25 and 27). In areas subject to small-scale soil excavation (Activity C), total numbers of Monterey spineflower individuals have steadily grown each year. The 2015 Monterey spineflower density/plot exceeded the 2012 baseline in all locations.

Sand (Monterey) gilia exhibited a range of early establishment densities, with disproportionately high numbers in North Range 44, an area where this species has historically shown relatively elevated densities (see Figures 26 and 28). By Year 3, densities and total numbers of sand (Monterey) gilia showed steady increases in all areas subject to vegetation cutting and target-specific investigation, and small-scale soil excavation. 2015 sand (Monterey) gilia density/plot exceeded the 2012 baseline in all locations.

Seaside bird's-beak in the IAR MRA exhibited a jump in recruitment immediately after the munitions investigation activity, followed by a drop and general recovery between Year 3 and Year 4 (Figures 26 and 29). Localized variation occurs, however, with elevated numbers and densities of seaside bird's beak recorded in North Range 44 but lower densities and numbers in South Range 44 in 2015.

The response of HMP species following small-scale excavations in the IAR MRA generally suggests recolonization of both Monterey spineflower and sand (Monterey) gilia in monitoring areas, see Figures 27 and 28.

In the FEG MRA, HMP herbaceous species are currently confined to limited areas with sandy soils underlain by sandstone, where they often persist in fluctuating numbers year after year. Soil depth is shallower than in the IAR MRA and a comparison of weather data between Monterey airport and Spreckels, CA indicates slightly less rainfall inland. In 2015, Monterey spineflower and sand (Monterey) gilia individuals were more diminutive in the FEG MRA than in the IAR MRA, and plants flowered sooner and dried up earlier as well. Only 12 Monterey spineflower and 13 sand (Monterey) gilia were observed in the FEG MRA in 2015. Of the three HMP herbaceous species documented in the FEG MRA, only seaside bird's-beak exhibited an increase in numbers in 2015 over the previous year, from 375 to 422 individuals, while Monterey spineflower and sand (Monterey) gilia totals declined (Figure 23). Nonetheless, these small colonies of HMP herbaceous species in the FEG MRA have

exhibited resilience after vegetation cutting and are expected to increase in numbers or stabilize with sufficient rainfall, as long as suitable openings in the chaparral exist.

6.5 Aquatic Feature Monitoring in the Future East Garrison MRA

During 2015, aquatic feature monitoring was conducted in the FEG MRA grenade range aquatic features and encompassed the following: general site reconnaissance, botanical surveys, photo documentation, geological investigations, munitions investigation activities work monitoring, and restoration. Monitoring summaries for the FEG MRA aquatic features are provided in Appendix C.

All three of the aquatic features in the grenade range contained water at the beginning of 2015 after seasonal rains in late 2014 and early 2015. Ponded water depth slowly dropped during the relatively dry winter and spring until May 2015, when the last aquatic feature, AF09-2, dried out. From January through May 2015 both submergent and emergent vegetation were noted in AF09-1A and AF09-2 (Table C-1). A small colony of hooded ladies tresses orchids (*Spiranthes romanzoffiana*) was observed for the second year in a row immediately adjacent to AF09-2.

No California tiger salamanders (CTS) were observed in these aquatic features in 2015 and have never been observed in the FEG MRA grenade range; protocol CTS surveys were conducted in 2010-2011 in the grenade range and elsewhere in the FEG MRA (ESCA RP Team 2011a and 2012a).

Table C-2 in Appendix C compares 2015 data in the grenade range with pre-disturbance data collected in 2010 and 2011; only AF09-1A was subject to munitions investigation activities, which were completed in January 2013, but data from the other two pools in near proximity are included in Table C-2 as controls. The footprint of AF09-1A covers the same area prior and subsequent to munitions investigation activities. In general, site hydrology and wetland vegetation have been successfully restored in AF09-1A during the past two years. It should be noted that there was a pronounced difference in annual rainfall between the three years (23.6 inches [60 cm], 16.6 inches [42 cm] in 2011, 4.8 inches [12 cm] in 2015).

Water depth was slightly deeper in AF09-01A in January 2015 than in 2010 and 2011, roughly similar in March 2015, and shallower in April 2015 after a dry spring. Turbidity data collected during the same month are only available for March 2011 and 2015 and April 2010 and 2015. The restored pool exhibited medium turbidity levels in March 2015 compared with low turbidity in 2011; in April 2015, turbidity was low in the restored pool, which had exhibited medium turbidity in April 2010.

The percentage cover of wetland submergent and emergent vegetation in the restored pool was equivalent or higher in 2015 than cover in 2010 or 2011; comparable data are only available for the month of April.

A range of aquatic invertebrates were observed when the pool was full in 2015 (see Table C-1), although no California linderiella has been observed since restoration activities were

complete in January 2013. California linderiella were observed in AF09-1B in 2010 but were also absent in 2015 surveys of this “control” aquatic feature.

7.0 HABITAT RESTORATION IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING IN THE INTERIM ACTION RANGES MRA

Habitat restoration implementation and monitoring activities for 2015 are summarized in Appendix A and are based on an HRP prepared by the ESCA RP Team as an addendum to the Phase II Interim Action Work Plan for the IAR MRA (ESCA RP Team 2013a). The HRP details the methods for restoration implementation, maintenance, and monitoring of central maritime chaparral and associated plant populations in habitat parcels that were affected by munitions investigation activities in the IAR MRA. Four main activity types were associated with vegetation disturbance in these areas, each with associated remediation, monitoring, and restoration requirements: ingress/egress corridors, vegetation cutting, small-scale excavation, and large-scale excavation. These activity types are associated with the following restoration strategies: monitoring only, passive restoration, and passive and active restoration.

After soil replacement in Range 47 in December 2012, site preparation activities commenced, including installation of erosion control BMPs, animal deterrent fencing around the perimeter of the site, and an irrigation system and associated infrastructure. Over 30,000 container plants representing 16 species were planted in January and early February 2013. In addition, seeding of targeted areas in the IAR MRA was also conducted to boost native species cover and re-establish HMP herbaceous species in suitable locations.

Quantitative success criteria for plant survival, species richness, and percentage cover targeted for the first seven years following site restoration are included in the HRP and results of monitoring for these criteria for Year 3 are reported in Appendix A. As part of implementation of the HRP, a comprehensive adaptive management plan has been implemented that focuses on managing the active restoration sites. The adaptive management plan utilizes a wide range of qualitative and quantitative monitoring data to evaluate site conditions and determine the need for additional actions. Restoration monitoring and adaptive management will continue in 2016 in North Range 44, South Range 44, and Range 47.

8.0 MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

This section summarizes the habitat management and mitigation activities required by the HMP and BOs and performed by the ESCA RP Team through 2015.

8.1 Vegetation and HMP Species Protection Measures

The ESCA RP biologists worked closely with ESCA RP Team UXO personnel to successfully design the following species-specific and MRA-specific measures to reduce impacts to native vegetation and HMP species during field activities. A brief summary of these efforts over the past two years is provided below.

Future East Garrison MRA: In order to preserve mature seed-producing individuals of HMP manzanitas in the FEG MRA, Toro manzanita were preserved and limbed up and all Hooker's manzanita were preserved during vegetation cutting and associated target-specific investigations, where possible, between 2011 and 2012. High survival of Toro and Hooker's manzanitas was documented in 2012, 2013, and 2014 monitoring. Of the 548 Toro manzanitas recorded in sampled grid cells in 2012 only six plants had died after three years, a survival rate of 98.9%.

In addition, a "step-out" approach was employed to minimize the areas that were initially cut and investigated. When it became necessary to do munitions investigation in a larger area, successive grid step-outs were performed on an as-needed basis in order to reduce vegetation cutting to only that required for munitions investigation activities.

Parker Flats MRA: In order to preserve almost all coast live oak trees in the Parker Flats MRA Level 2 Residential Quality Assurance areas, oak tree retention was coordinated by the ESCA RP arborist and field biologists in approximately 10.3 acres (4.2 ha) of coast live oak woodland in 2013. Special measures were taken to preserve coast live oak trees greater than six inches (15.2 cm) diameter at breast height (dbh). Prior to munitions investigation activities, the ESCA RP arborist and field biology team measured the dbh, number of trunks per tree, and tree health of all trees in the work area. Approximately 885 coast live oak trees were evaluated; most oak trees were in good health and approximately ten trees were dead, diseased, or seriously damaged (bark removed and cambium damaged). Healthy trees greater than six inches dbh (15.2 cm) were left standing. Low-hanging limbs that presented a safety hazard for the munitions investigation team were removed if there was no overall threat to tree health.

Coast live oak trees were qualitatively monitored in 2015, and oak tree health is excellent overall. Native understory has also regrown vigorously in this area.

Interim Action Ranges MRA: Munitions investigation activities in intact central maritime chaparral vegetation were minimized to the maximum extent feasible. Ingress/egress corridors were restricted to existing road and every effort was made to minimize any additional widening or creation of new access routes. As a result, actual munitions investigation activities affected only 0.4 acres (0.2 ha) instead of the anticipated 5.5 acres (2.0 ha).

With the information gained from initial Design Study investigations, vegetation cutting and subsurface investigations in NCAs and SCAs in South Range 44 were confined primarily to 10-foot-wide (3-m-wide) linear transects that traversed grids in a north-south linear alignment in the study areas; see Section 5.1.4. As a result, out of 17.7 acres (7.2 ha) of intact central maritime chaparral, only 4.5 acres (1.8 ha) of native vegetation were disturbed during this effort and 13.2 acres (5.3 ha) of central maritime vegetation (75 %) was left intact, preserving central maritime chaparral in an area that supports numerous HMP species.

8.2 Wildlife Relocation

ESCA RP Team members perform animal rescue and/or relocation as needed to avoid or reduce impacts of the fieldwork on wildlife. No CTS were observed in 2015 in any MRA.

8.3 Environmental Awareness Training

Environmental awareness trainings are conducted by a QB for field personnel prior to initiation of fieldwork in all MRAs, placing special emphasis on CTS awareness, requirements, and mitigation measures. During the training personnel are advised of the locations of ponds, vernal pools, and aquatic features within 2 km (1.24 miles) that may be potential breeding habitats for CTS, including aquatic features in and near the FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs (Figure 5). Trainings also introduce work crews to the HMP, the relevant habitats in the MRAs, measures to comply with the federal ESA, protection of HMP species and their habitats, and minimization of environmental impacts during munitions investigation. Site requirements are reviewed, including restricting site access to established roads and paths whenever possible and limiting vegetation cutting and soil disturbance to the minimum feasible area required to conduct the field task. Where appropriate, the ESCA RP biologists communicate and/or mark out locations of HMP plant species and/or their habitats to assist avoidance by field crews. There was no field work conducted during the reporting period that required environmental awareness training (EAT), therefore, no environmental awareness trainings held in 2015.

8.4 Weed Management Activities

Monitoring and management activities for target weeds are routinely conducted in ESCA RP parcels, consistent with the requirements of the HMP (USACE 1997) and applicable BOs (USFWS 1999, 2002, 2005, and 2015); the 2005 BO (USFWS 2005, pp. 14-15) outlines weed control measures in detail. The goal of weed management is to avoid degradation of ecological communities and especially sensitive species populations as a result of weed invasion in parcels not designated for development.

During 2015, weed monitoring occurred on a regular basis, particularly in areas where weeds could easily spread from a development parcel to a habitat parcel. Most weed abatement was done in the IAR MRA Range 47. All pampas grass seedlings were removed as soon as they were observed. Ice plant seedlings were removed on an ongoing basis in Range 47 but periodically all ice plant seedlings were removed in a single concentrated effort.

All weed monitoring and removal activities are summarized in Appendix D.

8.5 Erosion Control Monitoring and Mitigation

Ongoing erosion control monitoring and installation of erosion control BMPs are implemented as needed in ESCA RP parcels, consistent with the requirements of the HMP (USACE 1997) and BOs relevant to ESCA RP activities (USFWS 1999, 2002, and 2005); the

2005 BO (USFWS 2005, pp. 14-15) and the ESCA RP Soil Management Field Implementation Plans for each MRA (ESCA RP Team 2011, 2012a) describe erosion control measures in detail.

Future Eats Garrison MRA - There were no major erosion issues in FEG during 2015. Broadcast and hydro-seeding efforts in 2013 and 2014 have been successful at vegetating much of the grenade range, particularly on the steep eastern slope where native herbaceous and woody species have become widely established (Figure 10a). Ongoing erosion control BMP maintenance was needed in 2015 in isolated locations where rilling was observed. In preparation for the 2015/2016 rainy season, additional erosion control measures were implemented, including repairs and improvements to water bars and installation of sandbags in target locations.

Interim Action Ranges MRA - There were no major erosion issues in the IAR during 2015. Ongoing erosion control BMP maintenance was needed where rilling or small gully formation was observed, such as in some locations in the IAR MRA development parcel (Figure 10b). In preparation for the 2015/2016 rainy season additional erosion control measures were implemented, as needed.

ESCA RP erosion monitoring activities are summarized in Appendix E.

9.0 CONCLUSION

No munitions investigation and response activities were conducted in any MRAs during 2015. Biological monitoring in 2015 included completion of 70 vegetation transects, 24 herbaceous species quadrats, and 323 HMP herbaceous species plots, along with surveys on 169 acres (68 ha) for HMP herbaceous species; these monitoring events and associated data provide the ESCA RP Team with valuable information to guide in ongoing site management.

Baseline vegetation and herbaceous transects were installed by the ESCA RP Team in the FEG, Parker Flats, and IAR MRAs between 2008 and 2012 in order to document native shrub cover prior to munitions investigation activities. Recovery of native vegetation cover after vegetation cutting has been rapid in central maritime chaparral, exceeding 58% in both Year 3 and Year 5 transects in the FEG MRA. In the IAR MRA, mean vegetation cover in Year 3 and 4 transects in North Range 44 exceeds 45% and Range 47 Subarea C exceeds 60%. Vegetation recovery in South Range 44 is slower, at 32% in Year 4 transects. However, only 25 % of central maritime chaparral in South Range 44 was cut or otherwise disturbed during munitions response activities, so overall native chaparral shrub cover per grid surpasses 80% or more. A range of seedlings of obligate-seeding shrubs in these vegetation-cut areas contribute to shrub diversity in chaparral stands in all areas, as evidenced by frequency and diversity data, including HMP shrubs.

HMP herbaceous species also show increased numbers in 2015 monitoring in the IAR MRA, which currently supports four HMP herbaceous species. Furthermore, the IAR supports the highest numbers of sand (Monterey) gilia, seaside bird's-beak, and coast wallflower HMP individuals of any MRA. In 2015, more than 139,000 Monterey spineflower, 4,687 sand

(Monterey) gilia, 2,846 seaside bird's-beak, and 40 coast wallflower individuals were found in all post-activity areas in the IAR MRA.

HMP species are restricted to isolated areas in the FEG MRA. Unlike the IAR MRA, numbers of Monterey spineflower and sand (Monterey) gilia declined in 2015, and were smaller and dried out earlier than the more coastal IAR MRA colonies. Seaside bird's-beak, which was not present in baseline surveys, continues to increase in numbers and area year by year in the FEG MRA.

Vegetation cover and species diversity data indicate recovery of all sensitive vegetation types subject to munitions response actions in ESCA RP MRAs. A combination of committed stewardship, including reductions in acreages potentially subject to vegetation cutting in South Range 44 (saving 13.2 acres [5.4 ha], or 75% of intact central maritime chaparral, along with a diversity of native and HMP species); retention of an average of 20.9 Toro manzanitas per acre in the FEG MRA; retention of over 880 coast live oak trees in the Parker Flats MRA development parcel; habitat restoration (see Appendix A); steady post-activity increases in vegetation cover, species diversity, and number of individual HMP herbaceous species; and ongoing weed and erosion control management activities all combine to promote habitat recovery after munitions investigation activities. The enhanced native species diversity and cover observed at all sites, along with wildlife usage and other indications of elevated ecological functionality, suggest all areas are on trajectories toward self-sustaining native plant communities equitable with the species richness and relative cover of species that were present on the site prior to the FORA ESCA RP Team munitions investigation and remedial efforts.

Appendix A provides details on the restoration monitoring activities in the IAR MRA in 2015.

Planned activities in FEG, IAR, and Parker Flats MRAs in 2016 include weed and erosion control monitoring and abatement. Habitat monitoring activities expected in 2016 for each MRA are listed below.

FEG MRA:

- Vegetation Transects
- Herbaceous Quadrats
- HMP Annual Surveys
- Species Diversity Documentation

IAR MRA (SCAs and NCAs):

- Vegetation Transects
- Herbaceous Quadrats, if needed
- HMP Annual Surveys, if needed
- Species Diversity Documentation

- Survival Censuses and Related Data in Range 47, if needed

Areas subject to monitoring in the IAR MRA per requirements outlined in the HRP have met Year 7 performance standards for particular activity types, as described in Appendix A; a reduced monitoring program focusing on areas that have not met Year 7 performance standards for particular activity types will be implemented in 2016, subject to approval.

No Year 7 biological monitoring is planned in the Parker Flats in 2016.

There are no biological monitoring requirements for the remaining ESCA RP MRAs (Seaside MRA, CSUMB Off-Campus MRA, County North MRA (property transferred to County of Monterey), Laguna Seca Parking MRA, MOUT Site MRA, and Del Rey Oaks/Monterey MRA).

10.0 REFERENCES

- Baldwin, B. G., Goldman, D. H., Keil, D. J., Patterson, R., Rosatti, T. J., and Wilken, D. H. (eds.). 2012. The Jepson Manual. Vascular plants of California. (2nd ed.). Univ. Calif. Press, Berkeley, CA. xxii+1568 pp. January.
- Burleson Consulting Inc. (Burleson). 2006. Wetlands Monitoring and Restoration Plan for Munitions and Contaminated Soil Remedial Activities at Former Fort Ord. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2453)
- . 2009. Protocol for Conducting Vegetation Monitoring in Compliance with the Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan at Former Fort Ord. March. (BW-2454a)
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW, then CDFG). 2003. Interim Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for Determining Presence or a Negative Finding of the California Tiger Salamander. Sacramento, California.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2010. List of Vegetation Alliances and Associations. Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program. Sacramento, CA. September.
- . 2015. Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDDB) RareFind Version 4. Sacramento, California.
- California Invasive Plant Council. 2006. California Invasive Plant Inventory. 39 pp.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2015. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants (online edition). Rare Plant Scientific Advisory Committee. California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, CA. www.cnps.org/inventory
- CH2M Hill and HydroGeoLogic, Inc. 2005. Operable Unit 1 (OU1) – Result of 2005 Monterey Spineflower and Sand Gilia Surveys, OU1, Former Fort Ord (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OU1-0533)
- Detka, Jon R. and Susan C. Lambrecht. 2010. Effects of Fire on Germination of *Ericameria fasciculata* (Asteraceae), a Rare Maritime Chaparral Shrub. *Madroño* 57(2):77-84.
- Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement Remediation Program Team (ESCA RP Team). 2009. 2008 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. 12 June. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0160)
- . 2010a. 2009 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. 5 February. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0248)

- . 2010b. ESCA Weed Management Plan, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California.
- . 2010c. Group 4, Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study Work Plan, Volume 1 - Work Plan, Future East Garrison Munitions investigation Area. 8 October. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0233C).
- . 2011a. 2010 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, 29 March. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0253.1)
- . 2011b. Final Phase II Interim Action Work Plan, Interim Action Ranges Munitions investigation Area, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. May 24. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0252B)
- . 2012a. 2011 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, 25 May. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0258)
- . 2012b. FVF G4WP-005 modifies the Final Group 4 RI/FS Work Plan, Future East Garrison Munitions investigation Area, Former Fort Ord, Volume 2, Appendix C. Addition of Standard Operating Procedures for Soil and Vegetation Handling in Aquatic Features. September 27, 2012 (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0233C.6)
- . 2013a. Phase II Interim Action Work Plan Addendum Habitat Restoration Plan, Interim Action Ranges Munitions investigation Area, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. February 2013. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0261)
- . 2013b. 2012 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, 29 March. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0266)
- . 2014. 2013 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, 28 March. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0283)
- . 2015. 2014 Annual Natural Resource Monitoring, Mitigation, and Management Report, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, 15 April. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. ESCA-0300)

Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+. Flora of North America North of Mexico. 12+ vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 1, 1993; vol. 2, 1993; vol. 3, 1997; vol. 4, 2003; vol. 5, 2005; vol. 19, 2006; vol. 20, 2006; vol. 21, 2006; vol. 22, 2000; vol. 23, 2002; vol. 25, 2003; vol. 26, 20.

- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA). 1996. 1996 Annual Monitoring Report, Biological Baseline Studies and Follow-up Monitoring at Unexploded Ordnance Sites 10 East, 10 West, 11, 12, and 16 Presidio of Monterey Annex. 1 December. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0212)
- . 1998. 1998 Annual Monitoring Report, Biological Baseline Studies and Follow-up Monitoring at Unexploded Ordnance Sites on Former Fort Ord, Presidio of Monterey Annex, Monterey, California. 10 December. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0431)
- . 2001. 2000 Annual Monitoring Report, Biological Baseline Studies and Follow-up Monitoring, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. 19 January. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2235)
- . 2003. 2002 Annual Monitoring Report, Biological Baseline Studies and Follow-up Monitoring, Former Fort Ord, Monterey, California. 28 January. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2237)
- Holland, R.F. 1986. Preliminary descriptions of the terrestrial natural communities of California. Nongame-Heritage Program, The Resources Agency, California Department of Fish and Game. Sacramento, California. iii + 156 pp.
- Holland, V.L. and David J. Keil. 1995. California Vegetation. Kendall-Hunt Publishing Company. Dubuque, Iowa. 516 pp.
- Hunt, Lawrence E. 1993. Origin, Maintenance and Land Use of Aeolian Sand Dunes of the Santa Maria Basin, California. Prepared for The Nature Conservancy, San Luis Obispo, California.
- Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. (Jones & Stokes). 1995b. Fort Ord 1994 Annual Monitoring Report for Biological Baseline Studies at Unexploded Ordnance Sites. 1 January. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0208)
- . 1995c. 1995 Annual Biological Monitoring Report for Unexploded Ordnance Removal Sites at Former Fort Ord. 1 September. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0209)
- MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. (MACTEC). 2006. Final Track 2 Munitions investigation Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study, Parker Flats Munitions investigation Area, Former Fort Ord, California. August 31. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0523N)
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2013. United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>.

- Parsons. 2005. 2005 Annual Biological Monitoring Report, Ranges 43-48, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California. 28 November. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0577)
- Pielou, E.C. 1974. Population and Community Ecology: Principles and Methods. Gordon and Breach, New York.
- Sawyer, John O., Todd Keeler-Wolf, and Julie M. Evens. 2009. Manual of California Vegetation. California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, California. 1300 pp.
- Smith, D., Curry, B., Kozlowski, D., Williams, R., Watson, F., Turrini-Smith, L., and Newman, W. 2002. Watershed and Riparian Assessment Report (WRAR) Bureau of Land Management Lands, Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, CA. Report No. WI-2002-01 (February 2002). The Watershed Institute. California State University Monterey Bay, Seaside, CA.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) (with Technical Assistance from Jones & Stokes Associates). 1992. Flora and Fauna Baseline Study of Fort Ord, California. Sacramento District. Sacramento, California. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-1938)
- . 1997. Installation-Wide Multispecies Habitat Management Plan for Former Fort Ord, CA, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District. April. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-1787)
- U.S. Department of the Army (Army). 2002. Record of Decision, Interim Action for Ordnance and Explosives at Ranges 43-48, Range 30A, and Site OE-16, Former Fort Ord, California. September 20. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0414)
- . 2008. Record of Decision, Parker Flats Munitions investigation Area, Track 2 Munitions investigation Site, Former Fort Ord, California. June 24. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0661)
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1993. Biological Opinion for the Disposal and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (1-8-93-F-14). October 19. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0045)
- . 1997a. Biological and Conference Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord (1-8-97-F/C-13). January 31. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2009)
- . 1997b. Biological and Conference Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (1-8-97-F/C-23R). March 11. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. OE-0044)

- . 1999. Biological Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (1-8-99-F/C-39R). March 30. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2232A)
- . 2002. Biological Opinion on the Closure and Reuse of Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects Monterey Spineflower Critical Habitat (1-8-01-F-70R). October 22. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2233)
- . 2005. Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields (1-8-04-F-25R). March 14. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2334)
- . 2007. Amendment to Biological Opinion 1-8-04-F-25R, for the Cleanup and Reuse of Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California, as it affects California Tiger Salamander and Critical Habitat for Contra Costa Goldfields. June 1. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2334C)
- . 2008a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical habitat for the Monterey Spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens* var. *pungens*). Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 6. 1525. January 9 (73 FR 1525).
- . 2008b. Sand (Monterey) gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora* subsp. *arenaria*) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. Ventura Fish and Wildlife Office, Ventura, California. March.
- . 2011. Biological Opinion for the Former Fort Ord Vegetation Clearance Activities and Transfer of Parcel E29b.3.1 (8-8-11-F-39). August 3. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2579)
- . 2014. Biological Opinion, Formal Consultation for Vegetative Clearance Activities on 309 Acres in Burn Units 1, 2, and 3, on Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (8-8-14-F-28). April 28. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2713)
- . 2015. Programmatic Biological Opinion for Cleanup and Property Transfer Actions Conducted at the Former Fort Ord, Monterey County, California (8-8-09-F-74). May 28. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2747)
- Zander Associates (Zander). 2002. Assessment East Garrison – Parker Flats Land Use Modifications, Fort Ord, California. May 1. (Fort Ord Administrative Record No. BW-2180).

[this page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX A

Interim Action Ranges Munitions Response Area Habitat Restoration Monitoring
Report

APPENDIX B

Documentation of Approval by USFWS for California Tiger Salamander Handling by
ESCA RP Biologists

APPENDIX C

2015 Aquatic Feature Monitoring in the Future East Garrison Munitions Response
Area Grenade Range

APPENDIX D

2014 Weed Monitoring and Maintenance

APPENDIX E

2015 Erosion Monitoring and Maintenance

APPENDIX F

Distribution List