

Field Work Variance No. Til-016
Page 1 of 2

FIELD WORK VARIANCE

Project Name/Number

Fort Ord / 846075

CTO/WAD

CTO 16 / WAD 06

Applicable Document: Draft Final Work Plan, MRS-16 Munitions and Explosives of Concern Removal, Former

Date:12/27/06

Fort Ord, California, August 2006, Revision 1

Problem Description:

Digital Geophysical Mapping (DGM) is to be performed at MRS-16 with the intent to identify anomalies for subsequent excavation by UXO Team. Current plan for field work is to conduct Schonstedt-assisted surface removal over the entire site prior to DGM. Approximately 13.5 acres of the site (See Figure 1) contains significant metallic debris on the surface. If there is significant debris in the subsurface, this may preclude identification of distinct anomalies by DGM. Current Work Plan text reads as follows: In areas where anomaly density is high as shown by the initial DGM, mag and dig or dig and sift operations may be conducted to locate and remove subsurface anomalies prior to the second DGM survey.

Recommended solution:

This FWV proposes to perform a magnetometer assisted subsurface removal in selected grids prior to DGM. Production rate and cost data will be collected to evaluate whether the magnetometer assisted subsurface removal improves cost efficiency compared with grids where only surface removal is conducted prior to DGM.

Impact on present and completed work:

Should have minor impact on MRS 16 cost and schedule. Results may indicate most cost effective solution for similar future sites.

Requested by: Kevin Siemann

Recommended revisions to the plan:

Conduct magnetometer assisted surface and subsurface removal in 23 grids (See Attachment 1) within the approximately 13.5 acres prior to DGM implementation. Subsurface removal may allow for better mapping of distinct anomalies during initial DGM phase.

Technical Scope

A new approach is going to be used in the MMRP clean-up effort at Ft Ord's MRS-16. The goal is to use a surface sweep to perform an initial clearance then to apply a dynamic and iterative DGM and reacquisition protocol to remove all detected subsurface anomalies equivalent to, or greater than that characteristic of the smallest MEC. The iterative approach is designed to focus on the most contaminated areas with a footprint reduction between each phase. It is assumed that at least 2 or 3 phases of DGM surveys will be required to achieve clean-up standards. The basis behind this approach is that past operations demonstrated the high costs associated with analog clearance to depth and noted that there was a limited reduction in the total number of anomalies that passed through to DGM activities. Due to the size of MRS-16, it was determined that this range is an ideal site to evaluate the cost effectiveness of such a repeat DGM process.

At the initiation of the project, a comparative analysis is to be performed in the most contaminated 13.5 acres of the site. The grids in this area have been divided roughly in half. Half the grids are to be initially analog cleared to depth while the other half is to be surface cleared. Once completed, both sets of grids will be subjected to the iterative DGM approach. Production time, including all man hours associated with analog and DGM operations will be tracked by grid and grid type (analog to depth vs. surface sweep). Sweeps and analog clearance operations will focus on grid-types and not work on multiple grid types during the same day to aid in tracking costs. DGM data will be collected in grid blocks where all grids within a block are of a unique grid type. Thus, as data is processed, targets picked and reacquired, the activities and times involved are automatically accounted for by grid type (and as a function of initial data acquisition). Following completion of the 13.5-acres clean-up data regarding all labor efforts associated with the analog clearance, surface sweep, DGM activities and digital reacquisitions will be compiled by grid and a cost comparison performed.

Personnel

Current field personnel will conduct work addressed in this FWV.

Shaw sha	aw Environmental, Inc.	Field Work Variance No. Page 2 of	TII-016 2
Clarification Affects Budget Affects Schedule	☐ Minor Change X Yes No X Yes X No	Major Change	
	Date 14/14/06 hnical liewer		
Shaw Approvals: Signature SUX Signature	7.// -	`Proje	אין ארב Date אין ארב ארב אין אין ארב ארב אין
	and alast	So Man	ayer
	afety Specialist	SignatureUSACE COR	01 TM Date 10/27/04

Attachment 1

	Attachine III	
DGM GRIDS	ANALOG GRIDS	
C3A2H1	C3A1G0	
C3A2H2	C3A2G1	
C3A2H3	C3A1F0	
C3A2H4	C3A1E0	
C3A2H5	C3A2F1	
C3A2H6	C3A2F2	
C3A2G2	C3A2F3	
C3A2G3	C3A2E1	
C3A2G4	C3A2E2	
C3A2G5	C3A2E3	
C3A2G6	C3A2E4	
C3A2G7	C3A2D1	
C3A2F4	C3A2D2	
C3A2F5	C3A2D3	
C3A2F6	C3A2D4	
C3A2F7	C3A2D5	
C3A2E5	C3A2I1	
C3A2E6	C3A2I2	
C3A2E7	C3A2I3	
C3A2D6	C3A2I4	
C3A2D7	C3A2I5	
C3A2D8	C3A2J2	
	C3A2.J3	

