## **ORIGINAL**

. 1	PROPOSED PLAN
2	NO FURTHER ACTION PROPOSED FOR
3	MUNITIONS RESPONSE SITE 34 (MRS-34)
4	(TRACK 2)
5	
6	
7	
8	FORMER FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA
9	PUBLIC MEETING
10	
1.1	
12	
13	June 11, 2013
14	Marina Library
15	190 Seaside Avenue, Marina, California
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by:
24	Cyndy R. Smith, CSR #5306
25	

## MARINA, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, JUNE 11, 2013, 6:52 P.M.

--000--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

MR. BEN STRUMWASSER, MEETING FACILITATOR: We will limit folks to five minutes. Go ahead.

MS. STONE: We are talking about the Fritzche Airport. I saw on the map this area that is supposed to be where the munitions was. I remember being at the airport and looking at this ditch over there and I am wondering if it is the same area, because it was a deep hole and it usually looked like it had something in it, oil or something like that. I'm not sure it is the same area, okay.

It says that the span, the scope of work was going to include or did include -- I'm not sure if that is will include or did include -- the removal and disposal of buildings within Range 37. And what buildings -- I don't know what buildings were taken down or are to be taken down.

It also says that the expanded scope of work is to include a limited subsurface munitions MEC. thought we had stopped using these acronyms years ago. But MEC remediation within 32 grids. Grids, meaning that you didn't do the whole thing, but you did pockets

1.1

1.7

1.8

of removal, I guess. Okay. I wanted to know -- it says also something about disposal of range buildings. I don't know what range buildings -- where they are, where they were, or the recycling of the debris. Where did it go? Disposal, recycling of the munitions. Where did it go? Where are the materials recycled to. And you are saying that the area is going to be used for some specific purposes or had been used for some specific purposes, and I'm not sure of what those are.

And it would have been good to be able to do what we are doing, now, before the report was done, because from my experience, commenting on what has happened after the report has been put together by a group of people that is not including the public, or the organizations in the process before the report comes out, has not generated a lot of trust in the process, and — where people can openly see what is being done before it is done, how it is being done, when it is being done and who is doing it.

I'm not sure of how much of these unexploded materials were removed from the area that we are talking about and when it was removed. Public participation and inclusiveness in the process is still something that the people in the community is looking for. I know they are looking for it, because they are not here. I came

/

1.7

because I got an e-mail, and, of course, I'm trying my best to keep up with what is happening, now, because things are happening at a very fast pace.

There is a building on Fort Ord, Behavioral Health Building. I don't even know when that happened. It used to be a child care center. But I will try to stay on the subject.

MR. STRUMWASSER: You got about 30 seconds.

MS. STONE: Thirty seconds, okay. I'm still concerned about the process and about the participation and inclusiveness of people in the community so that they can be involved in this process, and also the fact that if this is a new plan, and I'm not sure if it is or not — some of the people from the community, they are still not involved in helping to cleanup. But you said that this plan is already done. It sounds like it is already done and you are getting ready to turn it over for some kind of developments to take place, and I'm not not sure, but that sounds like what I heard.

MR. STRUMWASSER: Okay. Mike, did you want to make some comments?

MR. WEAVER: Mike Weaver, W-e-a-v-e-r. Fort Ord Community Advisory Group. Just a few comments, and I would like to retain the right to send in written comments, as well. Just from concerns I've heard here

this evening and a few concerns, this was an economic benefit parcel in 2001 for airport purposes. I'm reading this -- we find airport purposes include a resort, hotel, golf course, business park, time share, vacation club, spa, commercial recreation or airport loft living units. So there is going to be residential, here, too.

I'm concerned that we cannot answer a question about the depth of clearance, approximations. We don't know the depth. Footings for residential and commercial buildings can go quite deep, business park buildings.

So there is that concern. A big concern is that the soil hasn't been sampled, groundwater pesticides, herbicides, residual chemical contamination from munitions, there has been no sampling, here. There has been sampling of munitions and explosives. I am concerned if we are going to be putting recreational use out there and some residential and these other uses, it is imperative that the soil be sampled for chemical constituents. There are two hundred known chemical constituents used on Fort Ord. They need to be sampled and analyzed for a cumulative amount. The grid sampling is the old sample of a sample, where you pick out grids, to my understanding. And then you sample part of those grids, so you don't get a real clear sample of the whole

thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other concern I have is back when he Reservation Road was being widened, there was a CEQA categorial exemption for a 18-inch potable water main that was going to run out to Marina Airport.

Eighteen-inch water main is a huge water main that would allow for a lot of water use.

How far it got to the Marina Airport, I don't I know I did talk to the Fire Department, the Marina Fire Department at the time and was told there was no need additional water out there, given the existing airport and the uses, the airport uses and the size of that airport. It was okay, water-use wise for protection, for fire purposes, for what the Army needed and what the Airport was used for. Therefore, this 18-inch potable water main was going to be for new uses.

What those new uses are, we don't know, except they could be golf course, hotel, residential, all that. It is kind of scary when we don't know the depth that we can guarantee this has been cleaned to, and we haven't looked and sampled for soil contamination. Those are my concerns and I will be addressing other concerns in a letter to Ms. Roth, as well.

MR. STRUMWASSER: Other formal comments for the public record? We will stick around, so if there

are still questions, we will resume the poster session. Again, the comments are due by July 1st and there are documents up there about where they are to be sent to. Thank you, very much, for joining us. (The proceedings concluded at 7:03 p.m.) 

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

25

## CERTIFICATE

I, CYNDY R. SMITH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, License No. 5306, do hereby certify:

That I am the reporter who reported the above and foregoing proceedings; that said proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand at the time and place therein named and were thereafter transcribed; and the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the said proceedings to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney to any of the parties hereto, or am in any way interested in the events of this cause, and that I am not related to any party hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name on this  $12^{+1}$  day of Tune, 2013.

FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

8