## Certified

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	GROUP 2: PROPOSED PLAN
7	PUBLIC MEETING AND COMMENTS
8	
9	JUNE 19, 2013
10	910 2nd Avenue, Marina, California
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported By:
24	Jenna Osborn, CSR
25	

## MARINA, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 19, 2013, 7:05 P.M.

--000--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

1

2

MS. AIMEE' HOUGHTON: Mike Weaver, we'll start with you.

MR. MIKE WEAVER: I shall try to speak slowly for the court reporter.

My name is Mike Weaver. I am Co-Chair of the FOCAG.

We've read the Army's Proposed Plan and are disappointed that Alternative Number 2, Land Use Controls, is the Army's recommendation. FOCAG selects Alternative 3 and is submitting this letter and attached documents for inclusion into both the Fort Ord administrative record and any record of decision on this MRA issue. Please do include the entirety of this FOCAG letter and seven exhibits attachment. Total pages is 192. The exhibit attachments are Army BRAC e-mail invitation to me for purposes of receiving comments and answering questions and invitation to this meeting tonight. Apparently it's for an already selected Alternative Number 2.

There is a FOCAG letter attached, dated March 24, 2009, making a slight correction to a letter dated March 17. There is a FOCAG letter to FORA regarding this site, dated March 17, 2009, 15 pages, 143 pages of attachments and maps of concerns and questions. There is a FORA letter to FOCAG, Mike

22

23

24

25

Weaver, dated March 30th, denying us an extended comment periods for a very difficult and complicated site. There's a FOCAG letter to FORA and BRAC, dated November 20th, 2009, three pages. There is a FOCAG letter to BRAC, the U.S. EPA, California DTSC and FORA, dated March 7, 2012, 16 pages. And there's a BRAC letter to Lance Houston of FOCAG, dated May 10th, 2012 that's ten pages.

This last letter, dated May 10, 2012 from BRAC seems very well meaning but fails to substantively answer many of the FOCAG's concerns and questions. Similarly, FOCAG's longstanding concerns and questions regarding chemical contamination of the soil from munitions, pesticides and herbicides in this area and others remain not addressed, or superficially so.

Again, you won't find it if you don't look for it. It hasn't been looked for. You won't find it using less than the best equipment. And we heard grid samplings were used here to a large extent. You won't find it if you let the developers find it with a tractor and backhoe, and you won't find it if you allow inadequate clean-up and residential use, and the residential users accidentally find it.

FOCAG finds your risk analysis to be not credible. FOCAG finds your Alternative Number 2 to be unacceptable.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

MS. AIMEE' HOUGHTON: Thank you, Mike.

MR. MIKE WEAVER: With that, I would like to turn this in for the record, please.

MS. AIMEE' HOUGHTON: Levonne.

MS. LEVONNE STONE: Levonne Stone, Executive Director of the Fort Ord Environmental Justice Network.

I'll do the best that I can here. I still don't know how you are sure that all of the munitions have been removed from this site and, like I said earlier, the community itself that should be participating is not here, and we're talking about Cal State University Monterey Bay, what you call the Off-Site Munitions Response Area. There are no students and any of the faculty. And the public participation process is not at all like I expected it to be.

I have been hearing for months that some very important document would be coming out and it's called a Proposed Plan. Somebody asked me what that was and I'm still not sure because of the shortness of the title. I see here also that it states that this was a training of a maneuver area where the soldiers said that they buried all types of stuff and trained with all types of weapons and chemicals. And it says right here the training included the chemical, biological, and radiological training, and mine and booby trap training, practice mortar training, and minefield practice area, troop maneuvers, confidence course, whatever this course is, I don't know if that's the tower, land navigation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tra
don
the
con
at
wil
lan
sel

training. And that the -- there is some words here that I don't understand, like -- okay. Give me some time to get there. Like CRUP, with the DTSC. It has to do with land use control. It said the Army entered into a CRUP with the DTSC at the time the property was transferred to FORA. The DTSC will modify the existing CRUP, if appropriate, to document the land use restrictions included in the identified remedy, if selected. And it goes on talking about the CRUP.

And then it talks about also the land use controls and residential use would be allowed for the proposed future residential reuse area where the RQA Pilot Study was implemented. Construction support would be arranged during the planning stages of the project prior to the start of any intrusive activities. Two levels of construction support have been identified, on-call construction support and active construction support. A lot of construction support.

How will you alert the public if there is an accident -- this is on page 8. It says that the CSUMB Off-Campus MRA on-call construction support will be required. If evidence of MEC is found during construction support activities, the intrusive and ground-disturbing work will immediately cease, no attempt will be made to disturb, remove, or destroy the MEC, and the local police department will be immediately notified so that appropriate explosive ordinance disposal personnel can be dispatched to address the MEC, as

required under applicable laws and regulations. I don't know what those are.

And how will the public be notified of all this activity that will be happening right near them? So and this is -- that was Alternative 2, I assume.

And this is Alternative 3, additional subsurface MEC remediation. This alternative assumes that subsurface MEC remediation would be conducted throughout the entire footprint of the CSUMB Off-Campus MRA. Assumes that subsurface MEC remediation will be conducted.

So I am assuming that this has not been conducted over the entire CSUMB Off-Campus MRA. Additional subsurface MEC remediation would involve detection and removal of subsurface MEC to the depth of detection using the best available and appropriate detection technology procedures and Department of Defense Explosive Safety Board-approved MEC detonation procedures in areas. I don't know what those are. Where explosive MEC items are identified during remedial activities and require disposal. This alternative includes implementing the appropriate type of vegetation clearance in the MRA, if necessary, and the implementation of additional MEC remediation. The specific details of the vegetation clearance methods and the MEC detection equipment used would be presented in the Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan, or similar document. Whatever that is.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the Evaluation and Comparison of Alternatives.

Okay. Alternative 1 says no further action. This alternative does not meet the threshold criteria of overall protection of human health, therefore, it is not evaluated further.

Alternative 2, this alternative meets the threshold criteria of overall protection of human health and the environment by reducing the potentially remaining MEC risks by, requiring MEC recognition and safety training for people involved in intrusive activities prior to the start of intrusive work and requiring construction support by UXO-qualified personnel during intrusive activities.

Number 2, prohibiting use of any of the non-residential portion of the MRA for residential reuse in accordance with DTSC policy in the non-residential portion of the MRA. These are all under Alternative 2.

Okay. So it sounds like that number 2 would be more protective of human health. I'm not sure. But it sounds that way.

And so I am making an attempt to bring out some points in this document that needs further clarification for myself and my public.

And thank you for allowing me to express myself.

MS. AIMEE' HOUGHTON: Thank you, Levonne.

Mr. Bailey, did you want to make a comment tonight?

MR.	R.G.	В	AILEY:	Not	at	the	moment	t.	I'11	pass.
MS.	AIME	Ε'	HOUGHT	ON:	Oka	ay.	Thank	you	•	

Is there anyone else here tonight that would like to make a comment?

All right. That ends our meeting for this evening. I would like to thank everybody who came out for taking their time to come to the Proposed Plan meeting and giving us their comments. If you know of anyone else who would like to provide comments, please encourage them to send in written comments.

> And once again, thank you, and good night. (The proceedings concluded at 7:19 P.M.) -000-

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) ) ss.
2	COUNTY OF MONTEREY )
3	
4	The foregoing proceedings were held before me, JENNA
5	OSBORN, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8681 for the State of
6	California.
7	Said proceedings were taken at the time and place
8	previously stated.
9	The proceedings were taken by me in shorthand at the
10	time and place therein named and thereafter, under my
11	direction, transcribed into longhand.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
13	day of July , 2013.
14	
15	
16	CERTIFIED/SMORTHAND REPORTER FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	

A	2:17,24	complicated 3:2	disappointed	finds 3:22,23
accident 5:18	attempt 5:22	concerns 2:25	2:11	FOCAG 2:9,12
accidentally	7:20	3:10,11	dispatched 5:25	2:16,21,23,25
3:21	available 6:15	concluded 8:12	disposal 5:25	3:3,4,6,22,23
action 6:24 7:2	Avenue 1:10	conducted 6:8	6:19	FOCAG's 3:10
active 5:15	В	6:10,11	disturb 5:22	3:10
activities 5:14	backhoe 3:19	confidence 4:24	document 4:15	footprint 6:8
5:21 6:19 7:10		construction	5:6 6:25 7:21	FORA 2:23,25
7:12	Bailey 7:25 8:1	5:12,14,15,16	documents 2:13	3:3,5 5:5
activity 6:4	Bay 4:10	5:16,19,20	<b>DTSC</b> 3:5 5:3,4	foregoing 9:4
additional 6:6	best 3:17 4:6	7:11	5:5 7:15	Fort 2:14 4:5
6:12,21	6:14	contamination	E	found 5:20
address 5:25	biological 4:22	3:12		further 7:2,5,21
addressed 3:13	Board-approv	control 5:4	e-mail 2:17	future 5:10
administrative	6:16	<b>controls</b> 2:11 5:9	earlier 4:8	
2:14	booby 4:22	correction 2:22	encourage 8:9	G
AIMEE 2:5 3:25	BRAC 2:17 3:3	COUNTY 9:2	ends 8:5	Give 5:2
4:3 7:24 8:2	3:4,6,8	course 4:24,24	entered 5:4	giving 8:7
alert 5:17	bring 7:20	court 2:8	entire 6:8,12	goes 5:8
allow 3:20	buried 4:19	credible 3:22	entirety 2:15	good 8:11
allowed 5:10	C	criteria 7:3,7	environment 7:8	grid 3:17
allowing 7:23	Cal 4:10	CRUP 5:3,4,6,8	Environmental	ground-distur
alternative 2:11	The state of the s	CSR 1:24	4:5	5:21
2:12,20 3:23	California 1:10	CSUMB 5:18	EPA 3:4	GROUP 1:6
6:5,6,7,19 7:2	2:1 3:5 9:1,6	6:9,12	equipment 3:17	Н
7:3,6,6,16	9:16		6:23	hand 9:12
Alternatives 7:1	call 4:10	D	evaluated 7:4	
analysis 3:22	called 4:15	dated 2:21,22,23	Evaluation 7:1	happening 6:4
answer 3:9	cease 5:22	3:1,3,5,6,8	evening 8:5	health 7:4,7,18
answering 2:19	Certified 9:5,16	day 9:13	everybody 8:6	heard 3:17
Apparently 2:20	chemical 3:11	decision 2:15	evidence 5:20	hearing 4:14
applicable 6:1	4:21	Defense 6:16	Executive 4:4	held 9:4
appropriate 5:6	chemicals 4:20	denying 3:1	exhibit 2:17	herbicides 3:13
5:24 6:15,20	clarification	department 5:23	exhibits 2:16	hereunto 9:12
area 3:13 4:11	7:21	6:16	existing 5:6	HOUGHTON
4:19,24 5:11	clean-up 3:20	depth 6:14	expected 4:13	2:5 3:25 4:3
areas 6:17	clearance 6:20	Design/Remed	explosive 5:24	7:24 8:2
Army 2:17 5:4	6:23	6:24	6:16,18	Houston 3:6
Army's 2:10,12	Co-Chair 2:9	destroy 5:23	express 7:23	human 7:4,7,18
arranged 5:12	come 8:7	details 6:22	extended 3:1	1
asked 4:16	coming 4:15	detection 6:13	extent 3:18	identified 5:7,1
assume 6:5	comment 3:1,24	6:14,15,23		100
assumes 6:7,9	7:25 8:4	detonation 6:17	F	6:18
assumes 6:7,9 assuming 6:11	comments 1:7	developers 3:19	faculty 4:12	immediately
	2:18 8:8,9,10	difficult 3:2	fails 3:9	5:22,24
attached 2:13,21	community 4:8	direction 9:11	find 3:15,16,18	implementation
attachment 2:16	Comparison 7:1	Director 4:4	3:19,20,21	6:21

implemented	looked 3:16	non-residential	policy 7:15	6:24
5:12	lot 5:16	7:14,15	portion 7:14,15	remediation 6:7
implementing		<b>notified</b> 5:24 6:3	potentially 7:8	6:8,10,13,22
6:20	M	November 3:3	practice 4:23,23	remedy 5:7
important 4:15	making 2:22	number 2:11,20	presented 6:24	removal 6:13
inadequate 3:20	7:20	3:23 7:13,17	previously 9:8	remove 5:22
include 2:15	maneuver 4:18		prior 5:13 7:10	removed 4:7
included 4:21	maneuvers 4:24	0	procedures 6:15	Reported 1:23
5:7	maps 2:24	o0o 2:3 8:13	6:17	reporter 2:8 9:5
includes 6:19	March 2:21,22	Off-Campus	proceedings	9:16
inclusion 2:13	2:24 3:1,5	5:19 6:9,12	8:12 9:4,7,9	require 6:19
intrusive 5:14	Marina 1:10 2:1	Off-Site 4:11	process 4:12	required 5:19
5:21 7:10,11	meaning 3:9	okay 5:2 7:2,17	prohibiting 7:13	6:1
7:12	MEC 5:20,23,25	8:2	project 5:13	requiring 7:9,1
invitation 2:18	6:6,7,9,13,14	on-call 5:15,19	property 5:5	residential 3:20
2:19	6:16,18,22,23	once 8:11	proposed 1:6	3:21 5:10,11
involve 6:13	7:8,9	opportunity	2:10 4:16 5:10	7:14
involved 7:10	meet 7:3	3:24	8:7	Response 4:11
issue 2:15	meeting 1:7 2:19	Ord 2:14 4:5	protection 7:4,7	restrictions 5:7
items 6:18	8:5,7	ordinance 5:24	protection 7.4,7	reuse 5:11 7:14
items 0.16	meets 7:6	Osborn 1:24 9:5	provide 8:9	right 4:21 6:4
J	methods 6:23	overall 7:3,7	public 1:7 4:12	8:5
Jenna 1:24 9:4	Mike 2:5,7,9,25		5:17 6:3 7:22	risk 3:22
JUNE 1:9 2:2	3:25 4:1	P	purposes 2:18	risks 7:8
Justice 4:5	mine 4:22	P.M 2:2 8:12	purposes 2.10	RQA 5:11
	minefield 4:23	page 5:18	0	KQA 3.11
K	modify 5:6	pages 2:16,24,24	questions 2:19	S
know 4:6,25 6:1	moment 8:1	3:4,5,7	2:25 3:10,11	safety 6:16 7:9
6:17 8:8	Monterey 4:10	participating	2.23 3.10,11	samplings 3:17
	9:2	4:9	R	says 4:21 5:18
L	months 4:14	participation	R.G 8:1	7:2
Lance 3:6	mortar 4:23	4:12	radiological	see 4:17
land 2:11 4:25	MRA 2:15 5:19	pass 8:1	4:22	selected 2:20 5:
5:3,7,9	6:9,12,21 7:14	people 7:9	read 2:10	selected 2.20 3.6
large 3:18	7:16	periods 3:2	receiving 2:18	serects 2.12 send 8:9
laws 6:1	munitions 3:12	personnel 5:25	recognition 7:9	set 9:12
letter 2:13,16,21		7:12	recommendati	set 9.12 seven 2:16
2:22,23,25 3:3	4:7,11	pesticides 3:12	2:12	The state of the s
3:4,6,8	N	Pilot 5:11	record 2:14,14	shorthand 9:5,9 9:16
levels 5:14	name 2:9	place 9:7,10	4:2	
Levonne 4:3,4,4	named 9:10	Plan 1:6 2:10	reducing 7:8	shortness 4:17
7:24	navigation 4:25	4:16 6:24 8:7	regarding 2:23	similar 6:25
local 5:23	near 6:4	planning 5:13	3:11	Similarly 3:10
longhand 9:11	necessary 6:21	please 2:15 4:2	2022	site 2:23 3:2 4:8
longstanding	necessary 6:21 needs 7:21		regulations 6:1 remain 3:13	slight 2:22
3:11	THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	8:9	A SECTION AND A SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P	slowly 2:7
look 3:15	Network 4:5	points 7:21	remaining 7:8	soil 3:12
100K J.1J	night 8:11	police 5:23	remedial 6:18	soldiers 4:19

Somebody 4:16	trained 4:20	written 8:9	8 5:18	
sounds 7:17,18	training 4:18,21	v	8681 9:5	
speak 2:7	4:22,23,23 5:1	X		
specific 6:22	7:9	Y	9	
ss 9:1	transcribed 9:11		<b>910</b> 1:10	
stages 5:13	transferred 5:5	Z		
start 2:5 5:13	trap 4:22			
7:10	troop 4:24	0		
State 4:10 9:1,5	try 2:7			
9:16	turn 4:1	1		
stated 9:8	Two 5:14	17:2		
states 4:18	type 6:20	10 3:8		
Stone 4:4,4	types 4:19,20	10th 3:7		
students 4:11		143 2:24		
Study 5:11	U	15 2:24		
stuff 4:20	U.S 3:4	16 3:5		
submitting 2:13	unacceptable	17 2:22,24		
substantively	3:23	19 1:9 2:2		
3:9	understand 5:2	192 2:17		
subsurface 6:6,7	University 4:10	172 2.17		
6:9,12,14	use 2:11 3:20 5:3	2		
superficially	5:7,9,10 7:13	<b>2</b> 1:6 2:11,20		
3:14	users 3:21	3:23 6:5 7:6,13		
support 5:12,14	UXO-qualified	7:16,17		
5:15,16,16,19	7:12	2009 2:22,24 3:3		
5:20 7:11	V(190209).	2012 3:5,7,8		
sure 4:7,17 7:18	V	2013 1:9 2:2		
sure 4.7,17 7.10	vegetation 6:20	9:13		
T	6:22	20th 3:3		
taken 9:7,9	s	<b>24</b> 2:21		
talking 4:9 5:8	W	2nd 1:10		
talks 5:9	want 7:25	2nd 1.10		
technology 6:15	way 7:19	3		
ten 3:7	we'll 2:5	3 2:12 6:6		
thank 3:24,25	we're 4:9	30th 3:1		
7:23,24 8:2,6	We've 2:10			
8:11	weapons 4:20	4		
three 3:4	Weaver 2:5,7,9			
threshold 7:3,6	3:1 4:1	5		
time 5:2,5 8:7	WEDNESDAY	6		
9:7,10	2:2	0		
title 4:17	WHEREOF	7		
tonight 2:19	9:12	73:5		
7:25 8:3	WITNESS 9:12	7:05 2:2	and the second s	
Total 2:16	words 5:1			
tower 4:25	work 5:21 6:24	7:19 8:12		
tractor 3:19	7:11	8		
actor 5.19				